### The Adyar Library Series-No. 66

#### GENERAL EDITOR :

G. SRINIVASA MURTI, B.A., B.L., M.B. & C.M., VAIDYARATNA

Director, Adyar Library

# INDIAN CAMERALISM A SURVEY OF SOME ASPECTS OF ARTHAS'ASTRA



# INDIAN CAMERALISM

A SURVEY OF SOME ASPECTS OF ARTHAS'ĀSTRA

READERSHIP LECTURES, CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY

K. V. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR



THE ADYAR LIBRARY
1949

Price Rs. 7

#### FOREWORD

PROFESSOR K. V. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR'S lectures now published deal with the sociological ideas of ancient India from original sources, and particularly from the standpoint of Arthasastra. They were delivered by him as a Special Reader in Calcutta University in 1934, and have to be read with his other studies, which preceded and followed these lectures. They are the Sir S. Subramanya Aiyar Lectures on Ancient Indian Polity, delivered in the University of Madras in 1914 (first published in 1916, and issued in a second edition in 1935) and the Manindra Lectures on Aspects of Ancient Indian Economic Thought, delivered in the Benares Hindu University in 1927 (published in 1934) as well as his later Krishnaswami Row Lectures on Rajadharma, given before the University of Madras in 1938 and published by the Advar Library in 1941. Owing to his many pre-occupations, the Calcutta University lectures could not be sent to the press for over the full Horatian period. To suit his convenience, Professor Rangaswami Aiyangar has secured from the Syndicate of the Calcutta University permission to publish them, and he has transferred the work to the Adyar Library.

A word of explanation of the title that he chose for these Lectures is necessary to save misunderstanding in these days of constitution-making. *Cameralism* represents the ideas of a school of social thought, which had a great vogue on the continent of Europe from the 15th to the 18th centuries to which German Economics is indebted. Knowledge of it was scanty in English speaking countries till recently. The name is derived from German kammer (Latin camera), 'chamber,' which was the designation of the administrative and advisory body of experts which was found in most German states towards the end of the Middle Ages, and which was further developed by the emperor Maximilian at the beginning of the sixteenth century and became influential in the Austrian dominions both within and outside Germany. The Kammer dealt not only with purely economic questions like agriculture and industry, finance and taxation but also with police and law. Cameral writings present a greater resemblance to Arthasastra than to either Economics or Politics, which have been used as its English equivalents, Professor Pangaryami Aiyangar stresses the wider sense of Arthasastra, and from its greater resemblance to German Cameralism, he has given the title Indian Cameralism to the study, largely based on Arthasāstra, the results of which he placed before the Calcutta University in 1934.

Adyar Library
6th February, 1949

G. SRINIVASA MURTI Honorary Director

#### PREFACE

The lectures on Indian Cameralism now published were given in the form of Readership Lectures in the University of Calcutta. They were written out as six lectures, but were delivered in the Library Hall of the Darbhanga Buildings of the University on four consecutive evenings from the 12th March 1934. The course was opened and closed by the Vice-Chancellor, the late Sir Hassan Suhravadhy, Kt., O.B.E., LL.D., M.D., F.R.C.S.I., who took the chair on both occasions. In the absence of the Vice-Chancellor for part of the lectures on both days, and on the intervening days, Principal J. R. Banerjee of the Vidyasagar College, Dr. W. S. Urquahart, Principal of the Scottish Churches College, Dr. Pramathanath Banerjee, University Professor of Economics, and Dr. D. R. Bhandarkar, Carmicheal Professor of Ancient Indian History, presided.

Under the Regulations of the University the lectures given by a University Reader appointed by the Senate (as I was) are to be printed by the University. The attention that the lectures then received in the press and in presidential remarks, largely owing to the challening nature of some of my conclusions, made me feel that when printed the authorities for the statements should be given as footnotes. The lectures had been written when, after thirty-three years of service, I entered on leave preparatory to retirement from the Travancore Educational Service. The footnotes were not

added when the lectures were written. I required some leisure for it. But, within a year of the delivery of the lectures, I accepted the invitation of Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya to accept the appointments of Principal and head of the Economics Department in Benares Hindu University, as University Professor. The heavy work that devolved on me made it difficult to take up the task. When I retired from the University in 1938, I was in bad health. When hardly convalescent, I was induced to take up the organization of the Sri Venkateswara Oriental Institute at Tirupati, and its headship as Director. Before I retired from Travancore service. I had begun editing the Vyavahāranirnava of Varadaraia, the most important digest on the subject emanating from South India, and had also undertaken, on the invitation of H. H. Sir Sayaji Gaekwar, the late Maharaja of Baroda the editing of the Krtya-Kalpataru of Laksmidhara, the oldest surviving digest of Dharmasastra and one of the largest, and the reconstruction of the great smyti of Brhaspati, which has existed for centuries only in the form of quotations. These have been appearing since then in the Gaekwad's Oriental Series, and have absorbed the scanty leisure that my official duties permitted. In the interval, other lectures on kindred subjects had to be given before academic audiences, at Madras, Mysore, Lucknow and Baroda. These combined to make me lay aside the work of preparing the Calcutta Readership Lectures for the Press for more than the Horatian term of twelve years. As it was difficult for me to supervise the printing of the lectures from a great distance, I requested in December, 1946 the Syndicate of the University of Calcutta to make over the copyright of the lectures to me so that I might transfer it to the Adyar Library, to which I had already given the copyright of Vyavahāranirnaya and the lectures on Rājadharma given by me before the University of Madras. The Syndicate very generously gave me the permission on the 28th June, 1946. The Adyar Library began printing the lectures in instalments in its quarterly journal, Brahmavidyā, owing to the distance in a speciating and the scarcity of paper. The lectures are thus appearing fourteen years after they were delivered at Calcutta.

The lectures were given the title of Indian Cameralism, a name first suggested for Arthasāstra by the late Professor Sir J. C. Coyajee, from its resemblance to German Cameralism. The title intrigued many readers, who read newspaper reports of the lectures at the time of their delivery. "The name Cameralist," as explained by Dr. Edwin Cannan, "is derived from Camera, the king's chamber, in which he was thought to spend his time discussing with his Ministers the ever-present problem of how to make ends meet. . . . Cameralists are those who wrote from the view point of ministers of State." "The Cameralists (as contrasted with the Mercantilists, thought of the State in the first place.")

This definition is somewhat rough and is not an exact description of Cameralism as it was developed in four centuries of assiduous cultivation in the lands of the Holy Roman Empire. This will be seen from Lecture I. But it indicates one of the features that made it a better equivalent for Arthasāstra than "Polity" or "Political Science" or "Political Thought" with which it had been equated ever since its study came into prominence—and almost into an academic "fashion"—after the publication of Kauṭilya's Arthasāstra by Dr. R. Shama Sastri in 1909.

Wrong synonyms are apt to lead to misconceptions of the content and outlook of branches of knowledge. It has

<sup>1</sup> Review of Economic Theory, p. 13.

been so with Arthas astra. My interest in it was roused in my college days, when I had to study the Mudrārākṣasa and I saw the grim humour of the dramatist, who concealed under a veneer of Bismarckism the outlines of the character of the great Mauryan King-maker and patriot, who lived the life of the true Brāhmana teacher, which only a close study of the play would reveal. A Mysore friend (the late Mr. Sambasiva Sastri), who came to me in 1901 for tuition in Economics repeated to me some sūtras from the Arthasāstra, of which a solitary manuscript (the one subsequently edited by Dr. Shama Sastri) had been secured by the Mysore Government Oriental Manuscripts Library. In 1904, I began its study from a transcript of this manuscript, procured for me by a former pupil, Mr. M. S. Krishnamacharya B.A., B.L. In 1905, it was seen with me by a University Commission that visited H.H. the Maharaja's College, Trivandram. It was intrigued by my description of it as the work of an Indian contemporary of Aristotle, on Politics and Economics. Mr. V. P. Madhava Row, C.I.E., then the Dewan of Travancore (who accompanied the University Commission) and Dr. H. J. Bhaba, Inspector-General of Education in Mysore, who was a Member of the Commission, noted the name and particulars, and its printing was ordered at once. Printed forms of the work were sent to me, long before its release for publication. The first use that was made by my study of the Arthasastra was for my class lectures. In 1912, I was asked to inaugurate the first research lectureship founded in the University of Madras, and named after Dr. S. Subramanya Aiyar. I made the Arthasāstra my theme, and dealt with some aspects of it in two lectures on Ancient Indian Polity, which were delivered in 1914. The lectures were printed with elaborate notes in 1916 (New edition, 1935). They attracted much attention.

In 1925, I was invited to give a course of lectures under the Manindra Chandra Foundation in Benares Hindu University. I chose the *Bconomic* aspects and teachings of *Arthasāstra* for the course. The lectures were published by the university in 1934 with the title, *Ancient Indian Bconomic Thought*. The approach through *Arthasāstra* to the study of ancient Indian ideas on economics and polity in the two lectures named, was continued in the wider survey of *Indian Cameralism*. The three may be roughly described as studies in aspects of ancient Indian polity, economics and society.

In the quarter century that separates the publication of Kautilya's Arthasastra (1909) and the delivery of the lectures on Indian Cameralism, Arthasăstra had come to obtain an important place in the curricula of studies in Indian universities. The older texts on Nilisasire were so to speak, resurrected, and studied in conjunction with Kautilya's work. of which translations in other languages, Indian and European. also appeared. Mahāmahonādhyāya, Dr. T. Ganapati Sastri, conducted (on my suggestion) a search for other manuscripts of Kautilva's work, and embodied his discoveries in a new edition of the classic (1924-25) with a commentary of his own, in which he used an ancient Malayalam commentary, which must have embodied much traditional interpretation of Kautilya's work. About the same time came the Index Verborum to the Kautiliya by Dr. R. Shama Sastri, and the fragments of the old commentaries on the Arthas'astra by Bhattasvāmin (ed. K. P. Jayaswal and A. Banerji Sastri, 1926) and by Mādhava-yajvan, (the Nayacandrikā, ed. J. Jolly and Pt. Udayavira Sastri 1924). Kāmandaka's Nītisāra. which Dr. Rajendralala Mitra had published (Bibliotheca Indica, 1861) with an anonymous commentary, was re-edited with the ancient commentary of S'ankarārya (the commentator

of Kālidāsa's Kumārasambhava) in 1912. Manmathanath Dutt published his English translation of the earlier edition of this work in 1896. The bafding S'ukranîtisara, which Dr. G. Oppert had published in 1882, appeared in new editions and an English translation by B. K. Sarkar (1914). Somadeva's Nicivakvāmeia, a 9th century work that gave in epigrammatic prose the teachings of earlier writers on Arthasastra, came out first in the Grantian run da scrial, and in 1923 with a comme tary full of bogus quotations. Floating verses of practical wisdom, fathered on Canakya (Kautilya) have been current in several collections as Cānakva-nīti. D. T. Ganapati Sastri's printer published an edition of it in 1912, which Dr. Shama Sastri reproduced in the 2nd edition of Kantilva's Arthasastra (1919). Other recensions of it were published by Jibananda Vidyasagar in 1907, by Isvaracandra in 1919 and by Dr. I. van Manen in 1926. Dr. J. Hertel's critical reconstruction of the Pañcatantra, which embodied much Arthasastra teaching, appeared between 1908 and 1915. A great literature on Kautilya sprang up in many languages (see Bibliography to my Ancient Indian Polity, ed. 1935).

The discovery and development of Arthasāstra studies in our generation have coincided with the resurgence of Indian nationalism. While it has stimulated the study of the subject, it has also endangered the correct perception of its teachings, affiliations and methods. Incorrect notions gained ground and have become almost 'academic myths.' The attitude of Arthasāstra to absolutism has been understood in a haze created by wrong interpretation and by the resurrection of legends which grew round Cāṇakya (Kanaliya)— to some of which the dramatist Viṣākhadatta and the irony of Dandin gave wide currency. Its position in the orthodox canon, its relations to Dharmasāstra, its attitude to the fundamental

aims of life (as conceived in Ancient India), its "secularism," its adaptability to environment and circumstances, its conception of law and the legislative power of the State and its ethics have been incorrectly grasped. The influence of authority on opinion is almost like that of popular slogans often repeated and shouted. The repercussions of such views on conceptions of the Indian State and social order, and on ethical standards became visible in current comments. There was therefore need to re-examine the problems that lie behind these concepts. Analogy with Cameralism suggested not only a means of approach in study and presentation. but the comparison was illuminating. Western Cameralism virtually died out, as an organized study, but survived in its silent influence on Economic thought. Adam Smith, who admitted his indebtedness to the Physiocrats, was virtually a Cameralist, German Economics is more the child of Cameralism than of Mercantalism.

A low estimate of the standards of the sources of the Indian view life is reflected in low estimates of Indian ethics. Much of the error may be traced to overlooking the study of the background of Indian life, which is common to both Arthasäsira and Dharmasästra. In the lectures now published on attempt is made to deal with the neglected aspects.

Western Cameralism remained at the time of the delivery of these lectures little known to English students of the history of Economic Camera. Professor E. Cannan's Review of Beconomic Theory, (1930), from the unpublished version of which generations of London pupils derived their knowledge of and inspiration in Economics, has only a few sentences (not quite accurate too) on Cameralism. Ingram's History of Political Economy dismisses a school of thought which gave birth to hundreds of works, in a page. Dr. A. W. Small's

valuable study, the only one in English, is not accessible. This is the reason for co-ordinating in these lectures the exposition of Western Cameralist thought with the Indian counterpart.

In the first lecture, certain aspects of Cameralism have been explained in view of their relevance in a comparison with the views of Arthasastra. The second lecture summarizes the aspects of Arthur Nov. rayarded as an analogue to Cameralism. Its background, alleged secularism and liberalism, as compared with Dharmasastra, its methods and content, and alleged greater adjustability to the changing needs of society are examined in it. The third lecture views Indian society in its chief phases from the standpoint of Arthasastra. The conclusions will be seen to be hardly distinguishable from those of Dharmasastra. The fourth lecture deals with the polity and law of Arthasastra, and pointedly considers the alleged power of the king's edicts to over-ride Dharma. The fifth lecture attempts a survey of the Economy theory of Arthasāstra, and the last deals with the mixture of Economics and Politics, in a Cameral background, through a consideration of the conception of the province of the State and of the principles of Public Finance. The lectures end with a consideration of the causes of the growth and decay of Cameralism in the West and in India. Western Cameralism cannot be traced to the Indian. The two represent the response of administrative and scientific minds in two different epochs and areas to environments and forces of a similar kind.

The lapse of so many years after the delivery of lectures gives an opportunity for revision and change. During this period a great deal of my time has been devoted to the study of *Dharmasāstra*, in the course of editing some of its texts

and digests. The lectures have been scrutinized in the light of my later reading and thought. I have found no need for making even verbal changes, except perhaps to place a "might" where a more positive expression has been used in the original draft. In some matters I might express myself with more emphasis and fulness now. It is unnecessary to revise the lectures to embody such revisions, as the books which contain my later views (Rājadharma, 1941, the Social and Political System of Manusmṛti, 1949 and the Introductions to six volumes of Kṛtya-Kalpataru, 1941-1948) are available for consultation. I may add that I am now less inclined to accept some of the views of the late Dr. K. P. Jayaswal than I was fifteen years ago.

In one topic, viz. the alleged power of a royal edict to override even *Dharma*, what I had originally written had to be expanded during the oral delivery of the lecture. I have now revised this part (infra pp. 102-110) and slightly expanded the original draft. The footnotes are only of literature available to me before 1934. It might show how little progress has been made in regard to valid conclusions and their data in the intervening period in spite of their later consideration.

It remains to record my debts. To the Senate of the University of Calcutta I owe thanks for honouring me with an invitation to address academic audiences under its aegis as one of its Readers. To the distinguished scholars that presided over the four meetings and gave expression to their appreciation, I am also indebted. To the Syndicate of the University I am under a special obligation for overlooking for years my failure to send the lectures to its printers, and at last for giving me the copyright in the lectures in order that I might make it over to the Adyar Library, in whose series this book now appears. I have to thank the authorities of the

Adyar Library, and especially its Honorary Director, Captain G. Srinivasamurti, B.A., B.L., M.B.C.M., Vaidyaratna, for undertaking the printing and publication of the lectures. Failing sight has compelled me to throw the burden of proof correction on two friends, Mr. N. Raghavacharya, M.A., L.T., formerly of the Madras Educational Service and Mr. A. N. Krishna Aiyaugar, M.A., L.T., of the Adyar Library, my old pupil and co-worker for many years. Mr. Krishna Aiyangar has also provided the Index. To Mr. C. Subbarayuda, Superintendent of the Vasanta Press, Adyar, I owe thanks for the excellence of the printing and for co-operation in taking the work through the press.

But the greatest debt of all is that which I have to record. It is to my venerated teacher and chief for fifteen years, Professor R. S. Lepper, M.A., LL.M., formerly Principal, Pachiyappa's College, Madras and later Professor of History and Economics in H. H. The Maharaja's College, Trivandram,—(and now of Elsinore, Crawfurdsburn, Bangor, North Ireland). To him I owe my knowledge of and love for Economics and History and devotion to the culture of my Motherland. Were I permitted, I would inscribe on these lectures (without any responsibility on his part for the opinions expressed in them) his room and read reade. In undying gratitude, veneration and love.

K. V. RANGASWAMI

3, ASOKA ROAD New Delhi 1st February, 1949

# CONTENTS

								PAGE
For	EWORD							v
Pre	FACE .							vii
LEC	TURE I. PRE	LIMINA	RY S	SURVEY	WE	STERN		
	CAMERALIS	м					,	1-24
	Neglect of Ca	meralis	n : r	easons,	acade	nic and	his-	,
	torical					•		1
	Recent revival	of inter	est					2
	Widespread in	fluence, c	of Ca	meral t	hought			4
	Effect of the d	iscovery	of th	ne Kaut	ilīya			5
	Indian analog	ue to C	ame	ralism-	-the p	hilosopl	ical	
	background							6
	Small's Concer	tion of	Came	eralism				7
	Cannan's view							8
	Cameralism an	d Mona	rchy				٠.	8
	Cameralism an	d Religi	on					10
	The Life of th	e King			•			11
	Attitude to Ab	solutism						12
	Two Phases of	Camer	alism					.13
	National service	e of Car	nera	lism				13
	Cameralism an	d Merca	ntilis	sın				14
	Cameralism an	d the M	oderi	State				15
	Economics and	Sociolo	gy					16
	Indian Camera	alistic lit	eratı	ıre : its	sociolo	gical as	pect	
	missed ,				•.			16
	Aims of the Co	urse						17
*	Value of the co	mparati	ve st	udy				17
	Cameralism an	d the Pr	ince					19
	Literary forms	and the	ir inf	luence			٠	20
	Practical natur	e of Ind	ian (	Cameral	ism	, •		21
	_							

#### INDIAN CAMERALISM

		PAGE
Its fertility and significance		22
Its composite nature		23
LECTURE II. INDIAN CAMERALISM-GENERAL	L	
SURVEY		25-56
Literature of Indian Cameralism, its division	ns and	
inter-relations		25
Traditions of its origin		. 26
Significance of the Tradition		28
Arthas astra in the organon of Indian thought		29
Points of its inclusion in the Vedic group .		30
Idea of Trivarga		31
Artha and Dharma, their affinities		32
Their alleged rivalry		33
And secularism		34
Scope of Arthasastra		35
Its later identification with Natisastra .		36
Its actual relation to Charmas vsira .		38
Theory of its secularism		39
Results of the theory		45
Liberal views of Arthas astra		46
Methods of Artha and Dharma works .		47
Is Arthavāstra of Non-Brāhmaņa origin? .		49
Is it a rival of Dharmas āstra?		49
Artha content of Dharma Literature .		50
How the two were separated for Study .		50
Why Arthasastra gained influence .		51
Later re-adjustment of views of Dharma .		51
Adaptability of Arthasāstra		52
Why it became a close science		53
Indian and Western Cameral Literature compa	red .	- 54
LECTURE III. CAMERAL SOCIOLOGY		57-86
Definition		57
Destudence of Indian Community		

	CONT	ENTS			xix
					PAGE
The Triple Debt					59
Brahmacarya		٠.			61
Attitude to Heresy					62
Effect of the ideal of	Brahm	acarya			63
Population .					65
Attitude to Marriage				•	65
Married Life (Gārhas	sthya)				67
Polygamy .					71
The Individual and the	e Fami	ly			72
Position of Women	• •				73
The Varydsrama Sys	tem				75
The Brāhmaņa					76
Caste and Office					81
Position of other caste	s: the	Kşatriy	<i>r</i> a		81
The Vaisya and the S'	ūdra `				83
Summary .					85
LECTURE IV. CAMERAL	POLIT	Y AND I	Law		87-127
Political Theory of Ge	rman (	Cameral	ism		87
Cameral aims of the S	tate				89
Comparison with Arth	as ästr	a			90
Genesis of Arthasiasti	a				90
The Artha Ideal			٠.		92
The Origin of the Stat	e-Ind	ian The	ories		93
Horror of Anarchy					96
Glorification of the Ki	ng's po	sition			96
Checks on Absolutism				.'	99
Importance of the Peo	ple				 102
Power to make Laws					103
Scrappiness of Law in	Smrtis				110
Kautilya's Cameral ou	tlook				112
The King-in-Council					112
The Army .					115
Diplomacy .					116
Official Secrecy and Co	rruptio	n			117

					PAGE
The Exchequer					118
Civil Law .					119
Group Organizations					122
Criminal Law.		,			123
Liberty and Citizensin	ip				126
LECTURE V. ECONOMIC	s of I	IDIAN C	CAMERA	LISM	128-153
Introductory .			• .		128
Unity and interdepend	dence of	Indian	Social		
sciences .					129
Practice and Theory			· » ·		129
Vārtā.	. •		•		130
Scope of Artha					131
No Mercantilism in A	ncient	India			131
Ascetic trends .					132
Social Postulates				•,	136
Origin of the State					136
Liberty .					137
Property, its limitatio	ns				139
Land					142
Labour .					145
Money economy					148
LECTURE VI. PROVINCE	E OF TE	ie Stat	re.		154-176
Public Finance				. ^	154
Aims of the State					154
Functions of the State	•				157
Collectivist Interpreta	tion-cri	ticized			159
State Finance			• ,		162
Expenditure .					164
Income .					166
Emergency Finance					168
Postulates of Public I	inance				170
Causes of the growth	and dec	ay of Ca	ameralis	m	172
Conclusion .					175
INDEX					177-184

#### PRELIMINARY SURVEY-WESTERN CAMERALISM

NEGLECT OF CAMERALISM: REASONS, ACADEMIC

CAMERALISM is perhaps not as familiar a term today to students of political and social science as it should be. This is due to an accident. Early in the revived study of Cameralistic literature, the economic aspects of Cameralism were stressed. This was how Kautz and Roscher dealt with it.1 The annexation of Cameralism by Economists and the description of it as a kind of inchoate economic science, were not questioned by the exponents of the other social sciences. The low opinion of Cameralism entertained by political writers is illustrated by Bluntschli's denial of its claim to any originality. In the mineteenth century, the general attitude towards Cameralism was that of the antiquarian, rather than that of the student of a living science. In a famous plan for a cooperative history of German Science, which he drew up in 1856 for the Royal Prussian Academy, the historian Ranke. then at the peak of his reputation, merged Cameralism with Economics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Albion W. Small, The Cameralists, the Pioneers of German Social Polity (Chicago, 1909), bassim, and particularly pp. iv. ff., for the opinions cited in this Lecture. Jalian Kacter's Historical Enquiry Into National Economy (in German) was published at Vienna in 1800; W. Rescher's History of National Economy in Germany (in German) was published at Munich in 1874. It was translated by J. G. Lelor in two volumes in the U.S.A.

#### HISTORICAL

In the evolution of a national monarchy in Germany, Mercantilist policies contributed to the growth of a new economic system, which furnished the stable foundation for the New Monarchy. Mercantilism became the ally and the servant of absolutism. In his brilliant study of German Mercantalism, Schmoller identified it with Cameralism.\(^1\) In the eclipse of Cameralism, during the Revolutionary epoch, the new science of Political Economy which had grown in England after the publication of the Wealth of Nations (1776) invaded the lands where Cameralism had prevailed. Cameralism thenceforward was consigned to the lumber room of economic archaeology.

#### RECENT REVIVAL OF INTEREST

Even in the renaissance of historical studies in the latter half of the nineteenth century, Cameralists failed to come by their own. In the 17th and 18th centuries, there were no political thinkers more systematic and virile than the Cameralists. Cameralism was not only original as a theory; it had been effective as a policy. The influence of Cameralists on public affairs within the Empire was more effective if less realized, than that of Grotius or Montesquieu. Among social thinkers, Adam Smith alone may be held to have exercised an equally powerful influence on State policy. In spite of its fine record, Cameralism was neglected, and its outlines were hazy even to encyclopaedic writers like Roscher, who had

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. W. J. Ashley's trn. of the chapter on the Mercantile system in G. Schmoller's Reanonic History of Frederick the Grant, how, the common Chimar Solve, a reservoir to the Solve Liberthey at a 1's Tick we incommit Theory, Eng. trn., 1931, pp. 38-39.

first-hand knowledge of its voluminous literature. Even after Marchet corrected (1885) the century-long misapprehension of the scope and content of Cameralism, and upheld it as a study of Politics and Administration. Cameralism continued to be classed with economic pamphleteering, like the Political Arithmetic of the 17th century in England. Adam Smith's lectures at Glasgow, on subjects which formed the staple material of Cameralistic thought, and which contained anticipations of the Wealth of Nations, were recovered and published by Dr. Cannan in 1896.2 But, even after its, publication, the opportunity to compare his attitude towards society with that of the Cameralists was missed. To students of social thought, who derived their inspiration from English writers, Cameralism remained a lost chapter in the history of social theory. At last, Dr. A. W. Small, an enthusiastic American economist. collected relevant and typical fragments of Cameralistic thought, and presented them in the form of an adequate and sympathetic study, in 1909. Since the publication of Dr. Small's Cameralists, interest in Cameralism has revived, in its native area. Nielson, Zielenzigar and Louise Sommer have made intensive studies of aspects of Cameralism? Dr. Small's work however remains the only English work of reference for a comparative study of Cameralism.

Among economists and students of Cameralism, Dr. Small alone hit upon its section is significance. To him, even

<sup>1</sup> Small, pp. xix-xx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lectures on Justice, Police, Revenue and Arms, delivered in the University of Glasgow, by Adam Smith, reported by a student in 1763, edited by E. Cannan, Oviord, 1896.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Article on Cameralism by Louise Sommer in Seligman's Encyclobaedia of the Social Sciences, Vol. III, pp. 158-160

Adam Smith was less the founder of modern Economics than of modern Sociology. But, he described Cameralism as Political Science, and succumbing perhaps unconsciously to the bias of profession and daily occupation, he referred virtually only to its economic side. A correct attitude towards Cameralism might have saved him from some inadequate appreciation of the thinkers, whose teachings he has recovered.

#### WIDESPREAD INFLUENCE OF CAMERAL THOUGHT

Another misfortune to the study of Cameralism has come from regarding it as an exclusive German product. The comparative study of Adam Smith's intellectual ancestry reveals English views similar to those of the Cameralists, held in the 18th century. Montesquieu's famous classic, whose extraordinary political and historical influence has been acclaimed with memorable eloquence, by Maine (1861), Sorel (1887) and Ilbert (1911), would repay comparison with Cameral classics. Considered as a sociological work, in the felicitous way in which it has recently been treated by Prof. Fletcher as an economic treatise, conveying inspiration to English economists, the Spirit of the Laws might have shown that the works of the Cameralists, no less than those of Montesquieu and Adam Smith, were the natural products of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See his Adam Smith and Moder Sariyan, Chinga, 1007, passim; also Jan St. Lewinski, Pounders of the historia, 121, pp. 67-72; E. Cannan—A Review of Economic Physics, 13, 11, 1 and H and his edn. of the Wealth of Nations, 2 vols. 1994, Tritroductions.

<sup>\*</sup>Del Fighrit des Lois was published anonymously in 1748, was put on the Index and passed through twenty-two editions in two years. For Sir Heary Metic's remarks on Montesquien's influence, see Ancient Law, ed. Pollock, 1927, pp. 91, 115, 125, and 174.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  F. T. H. The better that since co. Montesquieu on English Political Economists," A case of H street from 1.34 pp. 77-92.

their times and environment, and are by no means unique, except in their excellence.

### EFFECT OF THE DISCOVERY OF THE KAUTILIVA

To the student of Indian Sociology, the year 1909 is memorable. It saw the publication of the Arihasastic of Kautilya, the most remarkable work on social polity, now available in Sanskrit. The same year witnessed the publication of Dr. Small's comprehensive study and source book of Cameralism. To those who studied the two, side by side, the resemblance of relations, subject-matter and angle of vision. between the Mauryan Chancellor and the German Sociologists was remarkable. When the classic of Kautily a became available in an English version, the resemblances began to attract even the attention of Economists, who were not familiar with Sanskrit. The only paper to be published till now, in which the resemblances on the economic side between the Arthasastra and Cameralism is worked out, is that of one of your own distinguished former Professors, Sir Jehangir Coyajee.1 His work was not followed up. The fruitful interpretations of Indian Social thought, and their application to current problems, which the comparative studies of Cameralism might have vielded, have been denied to us till now. The aim of the present course of lectures, is to attempt such a comparative study. Analogical reasoning with the safeguards prescribed by the logic of inference, must help in a better understanding of Indian Sociological classics, lead to a more accurate perception of their meaning and drift than we now possess, and may result in a juster appreciation of the value of such contributions to history and culture.

<sup>1</sup> It appeared in the Bengal Economic Journal, 1919.

# Indian Analogue to Cameralism—the Philosophical Background

It is difficult to remove wrong labels. The vitality of erroneous classification is remarkable. The persistence with which Cameralists are still classed as economists, and the general agreement to equate Aithasastra with the Science of Polity illustrate these truths. Sir Henry Maine used to lament (in the vivid language of which he was master) the wide and lasting influence of false generalizations. That men of affairs can have no philosophy of conduct is an apt instance of such a false generalization. It may perhaps account for the unanimity with which modern exponents of Cameralism have denied that any connecting thread of philosophy is to be found in Cameral writings. In the course of his description of the views of Seckendorff, whom Dr. Small compares with Adam Smith, he asserts that Adam Smith was a philosopher first and incidentally an economist, while Cameralists like Seckendorff were first and last advisition as a place. He contends that Cameralists are not even conscious that their technique goes back to fundamental problems of philosophy. The only exception to the care religious a rich Dy. Strail concedes, lies in the Cameralistic reference to r 1526 as doctrine. But, even these are dismissed as perfunctory tributes to popular creeds. The comparison misses an essential point of difference. The form of a Cameralistic work is different from that of works like those of Adam Smith on the one hand, and Indian Sociological treatises on the other. Smith's Theory of Moral Sentiments and his Lectures on Justice, Police, Revenue and Arms were formal lectures,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Small, op. cit., pp. 69, 105-6, and his Adam Smith and Modern Sociology, pp. 9, 27, 32, 65 et passim.

addressed to University students. Cameralists, on the other hand especially the earlier, wrote as men of affairs, addressing administrators. The indication of a cole cole this and ye is essential in the former; it is superfluous except by implication in the latter. This distinction is important, and suggests the scrutiny of similar generalizations concerning the alleged absence of philosophical or religious background, in our Artharāstra and Nītisāstra works. In their case too, it will be wrong to deny a connecting and unifying principle of thought, suggesting conscious intellectual and spiritual relations between author and author, and to maintain that the systematic treatment attempted by Indian works on Sociology is only the reflex to the unconscious reaction of a common political motive.

#### SMALL'S CONCEPTION OF CAMERALISM

Before proceeding to develop these further, some ideas of the basic principles of Western Cameralism is desirable. Dr. Small regards Cameralists as Political Scientists, who were servants and supporters of the State, to whom the central problem was that of the State, and the key to the welfare of the State the revenue, which would supply the public needs. Cameralism was a theory and practice not of Economics, but of Politics. It was a technique and a theory of administration. It raised no fundamental question of Economics directly. Economic relations, when dealt with, are treated only as incidental to administration. Cameralists were first and last servants of the State. To them, the purposes of the State were paramount. They helped to form the German territorial Monarchy. It was a mere accident

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the author's Some Aspects of Ancient Indian Polity, (first published 1916), new edn. 1934, passim.

that, in the conditions of Germany in the epochs following the Reformation and the Thirty Year's War, the State, which the Cameralists propped up, was an economic as much as a political necessity. The essence of Cameralistic policy lay in the substitution of national for local and territorial policies. Cameralism was "the routine of the bureaus, in which the administrative employees of Governments did their work," and, in a wider sense, it was "systematized governmental procedure, the application of which was made in the administrative bureaus."

#### CANNAN'S VIEW

We owe to Dr. Cannan a better definition and description of Cameralism, which lays stress on outlook than content. Cameralism is derived from the Hofkammer, which the Emperor Maximillian established towards the end of the 15th century, at Innsbruck and Vienna, and from the chairs which were founded in Germany, in the 17th century, to give adequate training to those who took service under the King, particularly in the Exchequer.

#### CAMERALISM AND MONARCHY

Even to superficial observation, the intimate association of Cameralism with German monarchy becomes apparent; but, this association should be properly understood. Several Cameralistic works were no doubt produced by order of the reigning Princes. Osse's (1505-1556) Civics is an instance. Several Cameralists are also associated with contemporary rulers in their reforming work: e.g., Seckendorff (1626-92)

Review of Economic Theory, pp. 13-14.
 H. Haney, History of Economic Thought, 1915, pp. 113-130.

with Duke Ernst of Gotha, Justi with the Empress Maria Theresa, and Zincke (1672-1768), Darjes (1714-91) and Justi with Frederick the Great.' The relation of Cameralists to courts has generated the belief that they were servile persons, who exalted the king over the people and sound morals. Such a view is unjust. By historical association and by the needs of the times, Cameralism was compelled to emphasize stateindependence and political unity. In the conditions of their day and country, the Cameral writers were justified in believing that these were realizable only under a quasi-absolute prince. The enunciation of such opinions contributed to the welcome extended by the German Courts to Cameral views, and to the influence on affairs exercised by Cameral teachings. But, the Cameralists also held other views, which were deliberately intended to temper or curb absolutism, and which were expressed no less clearly than the justifications of the State and monarchy. The duties of the rulers to the ruled and to sound morality were stated with emphasis. The magistracy (i.e., Kingship), urged Becher (1635-1682), is created by God only in order that a State of humanity and natural laws reight be secured." Another Cameralist (Schröder), following the practice of his age, looks into the Old Testament for blacorkal Plasmatics of the divine origin of kingship, and the repudiation of the theory of the origin of the State in a social compact.3 Seckendorff (1626-1692), the Adam Smith of Cameralism,4 maintains that the power to make laws vests solely in the king, and that "no power on earth is justified in holding the Prince accountable for his acts, and no one but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Small, pp. 23, 62, 260, 267, 287-89, 291.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small, Chap. V, passim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See the citations in Small, pp. 138-139, Schroeder's work appeared anonymously in 1686.

The resemblance to Adam Smith is noted by Small, op. cit., p. 69.

God has the right to discipline the Prince." But, he follows up such acceptable dogma, with such statements as that the Prince exists only to promote the common welfare and that 'a subject is not a slave.' Cameral writings abound in opinions, which denote the belief in the identity of interest between the ruler and the ruled, and the king and the kingdom, in the king's responsibility for the happiness of his people, in the indissoluble ties binding king and subject, and in the necessity for the prince to earn by his good government the confidence and affection of the people. The ideas of the common good as the end of the State, and of freedom and security as essential pre-requisites of national prosperity run like connecting threads through the writings of Justi (1717-1771) the greatest of the Cameralists.

## CAMERALISM AND RELIGION

The Cameralist does not parade his religious views. Nevertheless, they are stated, in order to control the Prince's uncontrolled authority. "The will of God is superior to the King's will." "The King should maintain religion according to custom and usage." Even religion is not accepted without discussion of its social utility. Sonnenfels values religion as the fountain of good morals. The belief in a future life of rewards and punishments, for acts done in this life, corrects disruptive tendencies in civil society. Justi values in religion the discipline more than the dogma, and expatiates on the beneficial reaction of the religious mind on conduct. Religion stimulates diligence and develops skill. It prevents depopulation and promotes civic virtues.' A religious sanction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ibid., p. 79. Section of the Sunt., p. 65 and p. 81. Irid., p. 511 ff ( Ibid., p. 456

for a social precept is frequently of a limp-backed recall Kautilya's contempt for the king, who is a limp-backed fatalist, Justi condemns the civic loss resulting from the excessive spirituality, which undermines exertion, spreads the spirit of other worldliness among the people and makes them languid and supine. It is the ascetic element in religion, which provokes the anger of the Cameralist. Even Christianity is condemned, when it results in an attitude unsuitable to the State. The recognition of the harm that would result from the spread of ascetic-mindedness among the people is often vivid, in the epochs of reconstruction after prolonged wars and national suffering.

#### THE LIFE OF THE KING

The 'Cameral' King, like the King in the Arthasāstra, has to lead a life the details of which are regulated with great minuteness. It is to be a dedicated life, in which there will be little scope for the 'mystad pursuit of low pleasures.' Morality and thrift' urges Obrecht, 'should be the watchwords of the King.' The King should govern his own life, and govern the lives of his subjects by a wise censorship, and thereby increase their efficiency. The duty of the Prince does not end when he has scound independence for his State, and internal order. He must work actively to promote morality making the people. The moral value of freedom is also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kauțiltya, ' स्टार्चन, p. 349. नक्षत्रमतिष्टच्छन्तं बालमर्थोतिवर्तते । अर्थो द्यर्थस्य नक्षत्रं कि करिष्यन्ति तारकाः ॥

Ibid., p. 295.---देवप्रमाणी जिलाहरूको निपानसम्बद्धिः पर्वतिकारित

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Small, p. 475 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Small, p. 46.

vividly realized. Liberty of conscience is the secure basis of civic prosperity. To conscient sting such views, Cameralists like Justi approach the transition from the conception of regulation to liberty, as the most effective means of promoting national welfare.

Cameralism is thus both a theory of Economics and a theory of Politics, in its most extended sense.

#### ATTITUDE TO ABSOLUTISM

It could hardly have been otherwise. Even now, we have complaints that Economics is an incomplete science, and that even to comprehend it involves of necessity a consideration of its history and setting. While we may regard Cameralism, as its American interpreter has done, primarily as a theory and technique of Government, in which economic questions arise only incidentally, in the consideration of the State's welfare, it is no less important to visualize the ethical and religious implications of the system, even if they are not explicitly stated or developed in argument, with the same fulness and clearness as its political and economic doctrines. "No method of sporeach will show that Cameralism is not advocacy of absolutism. The interests of the State are indeed paramount over all others, and the Prince stands for the State. But, the common duty of every one, prince or peasant, is to subordinate personal interests and wishes to the collective interest. Such subordination is not incompatible with the moral sense of man. It only leads to a recognition of limits to the moral power of the State, though no methods of keeping the Prince within bounds are suggested. The Cameralist is thus only a quasiabsolutist.

#### TWO PHASES OF CAMERALISM

A study of the history of Cameral thought in Germany reveals a difference in tone between its earlier and later exponents. The 'Cameralists of the bureau.' obsessed by the practical needs of administration (which are assumed as fixed) come before the 'Cameralists of the books.' who work out, for publication and teaching, a system, which the Governments should follow. Cameralists ' of the books,' no less than those 'of the bureau,' were men of experience, and were not mere arm-chair politicians. The difference in their treatment reflects the different needs of the respective epochs. The earlier Cameralists wrote during a period of universal insecurity caused by the impact of the Reformation and the Wars of Religion, and at a time when Foudal and Renaissance ideals in Politics were coming to close grips. National feeling was then at a low ebb, and foreign intervention in domestic quarrels was not resented. Writing under such conditions, the earlier Cameralists naturally argued, with almost missionary fervour, in favour of political unity and integrity, at any cost, and magnified the position of the Prince in whom the unity was visibly centred. The eighteenth century Cameralist wrote after settled order had supervened, and strong territorial kingdoms had been established, and there was no need to plead for unity. The Cameralist of those times became an apologist of benevolent despotism, and pointed to the material prosperity, which had sprung from enlightened autocracy and an elaborate administration, in support of his pleas.

#### . NATIONAL SERVICES OF CAMERALISM

The Cameralist did not create the absolute German state, but he upheld it. The benevolent autocrat fulfilled the dreams

of the academician. The work of German monarchy in the eighteenth century, in the political and economic spheres, reflects the Cameral programmes Centralized administrations, with a central fisc and national financial systems, then replaced the old feudal regime. Common laws were promulgated for controlling the economic activities of the entire kingdom. The liberation of industry from guild menopoly and control, the universal adoption of a money economy and of uniform municipal laws, and the carrying out of programmes of economic reconstruction, so well described for Prussia by Schmoller, were the other main services of the new regime. Cameral influence may claim credit for these achievements. But, with the disappearance of the guilds and the feudal lord, Prince and subject were left face to face without any intermediary. Absolutism had to justify the enlargement of the sphere of State action. Cameralism furnished the justification for the State in political theories derived from a rejected Social Compact, and for the limits of the State in the theories of Natural Rights. With these developments of theory, the Cameralistic synthesis was complete. Cameralism came to comprehend politics, economics and administration. The legacy of Cameralism to succeeding generations was the development of specialization of its different elements.

### CAMERALISM AND .... STILLS.

Cameralism, as has been already noted, was confused with Margurellism, and it has been described as German Mercantilism. Both were systems of severally and other than economic. The precepts of Mercantilism in regard to economic reconstruction are usually in accord with those of

Cameralism, but the regulation of commerce and the importance of money are more stressed in Mercantilism. Cheapness and plenty have the same meaning to the Cameralist as to the Mercantilist. Sumptuary laws, the prohibition of the export of raw materials, and the removal of the barriers erected by guilds and cities are common ideals of both. The importance of money for securing adequate military protection leads to the Mercantilist emphasis on the value of money. Bodin's famous dictum 'Money is the nerve of the Commonwealth'—gives the clue to the Mercantilist exaggeration of its importance.

#### CAMERALISM AND THE MODERN STATE

The work of the Cameralists was done before the storm of the French Revolution burst on Europe. A new conception of individual freedom shifted the view-point of politics from the Prince to the citizen. National prosperity is discussed without any political implications other than those furnished by new postulates, such as the nobility of freedom and of artichtened self-interest. The francition is seen if the literary record of Adam Smith's academic discourses at Glasgow are compared with his Wealth of Nations. His Lectures on Justice. Police. Revenue and Arms, comprehend the main topics of Cameralistic Civics, and anticipate a great part of the contents of the later Wealth of Nations. Sociology (which Adam Smith calls Jurisprudence) in its ethical, economic. and political aspect, is essentially a moral affair, of which the economic process is a detail. Here arises the justification for regarding Adam Smith as the founder of not only modern Economics but of modern Sociology-an honour, which all Cameral writers can also claim.

#### ECONOMICS AND SOCIOLOGY

The Wealth of Nations should be studied in its literary context, as it has been done by specialists like Dr. Carnan. The classical school of Economists derived their science from it, in cold and hard forms. The influence of the classical school popularized their shifting of the emphasis from man to wealth. The development of a wider science than Economics, out of the broad social foundations of Adam Smith's works, was put off in England, and a permanent rift sprang between Economics and writers of a sociological outlook.

# Indian Cameralistic Literature: Its Sec. (2), (0), (2)). Aspect Missed

We may now turn to the literature of India, to which by analogy. I have applied the designation -" Indian Cameralism." The Indian literature of Sociology is contained in Dharmasästra, and Arthasästra or Nītisastra, bringing within the categories, such parts of Indian cole literature - and there are extensive—as deal with these subjects. Dharma and Nati have usually been equated with Law and Politics. The work of Kautilya is generally treated as a treatise on Political Science, in which, as in Montesquieu's Spirit of the Laws. Economics has a place, though a subordinate one. A differentiation, based on differences of outlook, is usually made between Dharmasastra and Arthasastra, but their interrelations are obscured by overlocking their essentially complementary character. The emulation of scholars has produced many studies of Arthasastra, in some of which it has been compared with western political literature. The political and economic theories of the Arthasastra have been studied

separately, and writers like Kautilya have been classed often as political thinkers, and some times as economists. Among so many studies, no attempt has yet been made to consider Arthasästra as Sociology, by analogy with Cameralism, which alone of western branches of knowledge, has most points of resemblance to Arthasästra.

#### AIMS OF THE COURSE

Many problems arising from the Arthasastra are still matters of controversy. Is Arthasastra secular? What are its relations to allied subjects, and its lines of demarcation from them? Is it Socialistic? Is its tone archaic or modern? Are its ideals absolutist or democratic? Does it treat of large or small States? In the attempts to answer such questions. it is not enough to balance the data for the opposed views. The paramount need today is a change in the augle of vision, based on the conviction that neither Arthasāstra nor Dharmas'āstra can rightly bear the names of any of the developed social sciences of today. The comparative study attempted in these lectures, is undertaken in the hope that it might lead to a correct perception of the nature of our Dharmasastra and Arthasästra and of their influence on history, to a proper estimation of their permanent services to India and the world. and incidentally result in satisfactory answers, to some of the controverted questions already mentioned.

## VALUE OF ITS COMPARATIVE STUDY

The vicissitudes of German Cameralism, as of its English ally, also convey a lesson and a warning to the students of the sociological literature of ancient India. The separation of the

elements of Cameral teaching, and their development as different sciences, furnishes a logical or historical ground for the later clash in Europe between Socialism and classical Economics. In a consideration of the origin, character, content and aim of the Sociological literature of ancient India, the experience of Cameralism history is of value. The comparative study of Cameralism and our *Dharmasāstra* and Arthasāstra will clear up obscurities of interpretation of the latter, and furnish helpful suggestions for proper appreciation of its views.

A comparative study of this character is necessary. Works on Arthasastra and Dharmasastra have been usually studied without reference to their inter-relations, and their historic contexts. Descriptions, which are correct in regard to parts of these subjects, are applied to the whole. The renaissance of Indian historical studies has synchronized with the growth of a powerful national feeling. Political feeling has influenced, and sometimes endeavoured to dominate our sociological studies. A result of such obsession has been the selection of only those parts of our old literature, which furnish sanctions to the slogans of the day. With the exception of Manusmrti, which has been expounded as a classic of social science, the major treatises of Dharmas'āstra and Arthasāstra continue to be identified with law and politics. In defiance of etymology and history, we persist, according to our inclination or bias, in describing Arthavāstra as political or economic science. In the West, failure to keep up the Cameral tradition of studying society in a group of associated subjects resulted in the socialist attacks on Politics and Economics. By overlooking the inter-relation of the elements of our old social sciences, and by interpreting them, as if they conformed to the definition of

modern political or economic sciences, we are heading to a similar danger. The necessary corrections to this view, furnished by context and history, as well as by the content and inter-relation of *Dharmasāstra* and *Arthasāstra* literature, will suggest themselves in a comparative study of the literature which I would call, 'Indian Cameralism.' In accepting the analogy, it is not forgotten that in analogical reasoning superficial resemblances often mislead. But, they cannot be ignored. What is necessary is that fundamental resemblances and differences should be considered exhaustively.

The spiritual and philosophic background of western Cameralism, as well as the form and tone of its literature, betray traces of the survival of the scholastic and religious influences of the Middle Ages and of the Reformation. The academic and official atmosphere, in which Cameralism was born and nurtured, is reflected in the didactic, discursive and expository character of the Cameral classics. Cameral writers are masters of administrative technique. They display with wearisome frequency, their command of the details of political, administrative, agratian, commercial and fiscal economy. While they share the religious views and prejudices of the day, they do not obtrude them in formal expositions.

## CAMERALISM AND THE PRINCE

The Cameralist is conscious of his value to society, because of his teaching the Prince the best way of saving society. His court patronage is not hidden by the Cameralist. On the other hand, the Cameralist Obrecht (1598), even regards his teachings as 'Caviare to the general' and as only fit for the ears of Princes.' A Cameralist work was printed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Small, p. 41.

with ostentatious secrecy, because its author held that the matters he expounded were not for common folk but for Princes.

#### LITERARY FORMS AND THEIR INFLUENCE

To every one of these features of western Cameralism, a parallel can be cited from the Arthas astra. The writings of western Cameralists are many and voluminous. The fragments of Arthasāstra, which have survived, do not to the exceed the dimensions of two or three modern books. The German Cameralist wrote after the invention and popularization of printing. The Indian Cameralist like any other ancient Indian : "rice" had to transmit his teachings orally. from master to pupil, and in the dearth of other means of publication, he had to use a form of literary composition. which helped memorizing.2 The refrain of verse gave it an advantage in this respect, led to its use even in scientific works. The use of the sloka was dictated by the need to provide an easy method of memorizing so important in times when books were scarce.3 If an alternative to verse was required, it was found in sūtras, i.e., aphoristic prose. A sūtra book was designed to be memorized, and not to be read.4. Separated from its commentary, a sūtra work would prove minicipality or misleading. In his famous justification for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The exion: of Arthas'astra literature before the *Kauţiliya* is indicated by his charion of the views of seventeen predecessors, whose works are lost.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This was an invariable practice as is seen in the basic works of Indian grammar, philosophy and  $Dharmas\bar{a}stra$ 

 $<sup>^3\ {\</sup>rm Cf.}$  The earliest extant medical treatises of Bhela, Caraka and S'us'ruta which are in verse.

See the remarks of T. W. Rhys Divided Divided of the Buddha, I. pp. XX, XXII and E. J. Rapson, Archive India, 1914, pp. 76-77 and my Ancient Indian Polity, (1st edition, 1916, etc.) on the 1970.

himself composing the text and commentary—Surra and Bhāṣya—a duty usually divided between a master and a disciple—Kauṭilya explains that the step was forced on him by the misunderstanding of commentators and the frequent discord between a text and its commentaries. Kauṭilya's sutras are mere chapter headings.¹ Of the inherent power of his cryptic chapter headings to mislead, abundant illustrations can now be given from modern studies of Kauṭily a'a clausic. Even the commentary of Kauṭilya is in condensed prose, and many parts of his important treatise, can be interpreted solely because commentaries on them transmitting traditional interpretations, have survived for those parts, while other parts remain obscure for want of such filumination.

## PRACTICAL NATURE OF INDIAN CAMERALISM

The works of Kauţilya and S'ukra, exhibit a knowledge of administrative and economic technique, which could only have been derived from official experience and the co-operation of specialists. The Indian Cameralist is no apologist for his relation to the State. Nor had he any reason to take up that attitude, when, as in the case of Kauţilya, he spoke as a patriot statesman, who after an unparalleled foreign invasion and a series of domestic calamities, built up an antional empire out of discordant elements, and witnessed the growth of a

1 Kauşiliya, \sı edino., 1909, p. 429;

# दृष्ट्वा वित्रतिपत्तिं बहुधा शास्त्रेषु भाष्यकाराणाम् । स्वयमेव विष्णुगुप्तश्रकार सूत्रं च भाष्यं च ॥

finished administrative technique, which protected that empire from dissolution for centuries.

#### ITS FERTILITY-ITS SIGNIFICANCE

The fecundity of Cameralistic Jung't in the West is paralleled in ancient India. The mention of 18 teachers or schools in discussions in the Kautiliva might suggest that there were even more writers and schools than those explicitly named, who had dealt with Arthasastra long before Alexander's invasion. The references suggest periods of intense intellectual activity, comparable to, though not necessarily contemporaneous with, the ages in which, from the discussions on religion and philosophy contained in early Upanisads, arose Buddhist and Jaina thought, as well as the orthodox systems of philosophy (Darsana). It is evident that the Indian mind was not so preoccupied with matters spiritual, that secular studies, which were no less required for normal life were neglected. The literary traditions of the evolution of Dharmas astra and Arthas astra in parallel streams, after their initial divine exposition, denote the conditions of scholastic activity, which obtained in periods of intellectual fermentation.

वेन शास्त्रं च शस्त्रं च नन्दराजगता च भू: । प्र्यारेनियुक्तकारः, तेन शास्त्रसिदं इतम् ॥ Cf. Anthermore and all or court to Anthers, vo.1, 3-6. :

जातवेद। इवार्षिध्मान्वेदान्वेदविदां वरः । योऽबीऽवान्स्यन्त्ररः न्यतःऽवेदवेदवत् ॥

ग्रद्धःः । व्यातामुख्यः श्रीमान्युपर्व नन्दपर्वतः ॥ एकाकी

मन्त्रश्वरत्या यः शक्रया शक्तिथरोपमः । आजद्यर न्यचन्द्राय चन्द्रगुप्ताय वेदिनीय् ।

नीतिकास्त्रायः श्रीमानर्थशस्त्रमहोददेः । य उद्देशे नगरूकी विश्वरूपय वेदिसी ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kandidya, p. 429;

#### ITS COMPOSITE NATURE

A feature, which Arthasastra and the Dharmasastra share with western Cameralism, is the composite character of their contents. Whether we believe that this was because the component sciences were in too crude a stage to develop into separate sciences, or that their application was of deliberate choice, the fact that they were studied only in association with each other must be admitted. I have had occasion before now to suggest 1 a hypothesis concerning the encyclopaedic trend of Indian schools of thought, which induced the founders of every school to compose treatises on all branches of knowledge, in conformity with the fundamental principles of their respective schools. The importance of the hypothesis is illustrated by the discussion in the Kautilīya on 'what constitutes relevant Knowledge' (Vidyā). The opinions cited range from those of the traditionalists, who brought under knowledge all sacred and secular lore, to the uncompromising rationalist and realist, who recognized only a single relevant science, namely that of society. Under this hypothesis, the attribution to writers with identical names of subjects as different from each other as Law, Politics, Economics, Grammar, Erotics, and Chemistry, might justify at least a re-examination of their disparate origin. The possibility of such comprehensive schools of choose'n is stream them di by the absence of any term in ancient Indian literature, which has the exact sense of religion, and of the inability of an ancient thinker to make the distinction which occurs to us so

<sup>1</sup> în my Ancient Indian Polity, (1st edn., 1914), p. 20 aug 5, 120. Ghojamukha and Cārāyapa two predecessors of Kaujilya are veferred to in the Kāmasītra as writers on Kāmasātska; anothu bianadvaja is alluded in Pataūjali's Vyākariji Danieli in alluded in Pataūjali's Vyākariji Danieli in alluded in the Ancientas on those the other set two me ala carstronuture.

readily, between secular and religious knowledge. The penetration of secular influences on scientific thought, and the influence of philosophic and religious ideas on what would now be called secular opinion, were more common in ancient India than is commonly imagined. Abundant illustrations of this fact will occur in a study of our old social literature, when it is viewed in comparison with western Cameralism.

### INDIAN CAMERALISM-GENERAL SURVEY

LITERATURE OF INDIAN CAMERALISM—
ITS DIVISIONS AND THEIR INTER-...

The Indian analogues to Western Cameralism, in both its in Indian analogues to Transfer to be found in the works classed as Arthasāstra. In a study of Indian Cameralism it will be necessary to travel beyond the limits of this group. Dandanīti, Rājanīti and Nītisāstra are terms which are used with varying connotations to signify part or the whole of Arthasāstra, and sometimes even its sources. Further, the great body of literature which exists by its side, namely Dharmasāstra, has an Arthasāstra core. It has been customary to place the two classes of literature side by side for comparison, if not in opposition. While Arthasāstra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. the Mitakşaru on Yajhavalkyasmyti, 11, 21—" धर्मशास्त्रान्तमैव राजनीतिलक्षणमर्थशास्त्रितं विवक्षितम् ;" and Medatithi (अत्यासक्ष्यक्रतः, VII, 1)— " धर्मशस्त्रः कर्तव्यतावचन इत्युक्तम् । कर्तव्यत्र ह्यार्थं वाङ्गुण्यादि । अद्धार्यमिन्निहोमादि ! तन्नेह प्राधान्येन द्रष्ट्यं उपदिश्यते । तन्नेव राजधर्मप्रसिद्धिः "

and Dharmas āstra evolved separately as branches of know-ledge, and were cultivated, in accordance with the specific implications of the Indian conception of knowledge, changes in their outlook and content, brought about by historical circumstances, as well as by the remoteness of the literature of both classes from those who wrote the great digests (nibandha) and the commentaries (bhāṣya) in the middle ages, blurred the outlines of both the \$7\$stras, and tended to their merger. In a consideration of the evolution of the concepts of Indian Cameralism, the variations of opinion, from age to age, in regard to the respective content of Dharma and Artha literature and to their mutual relations, are valuable. For a mere indication of the sources of Indian Cameralism, the distinction is not necessary.

## TRADITIONS OF ITS ORIGIN

Ancient tradition ascribed a common source to both classes of literature. A well known story of the Mahābhārata, gives two traditions, relating to the origin and progress of Arthasāstra and Dharmasāstra respectively. Bṛhaspati, S'iva (Viselākṣa), Kāvya (S'ukra), Indra, Manu-Pṛācetasa, Bharadvāja and Gaurasiras were the sages through whom Arthasāstra, descending from the Supreme Being, was handed down to the world. In another chapter, it is stated that the Creator composed a colossal work on Dandanīti, which was abridged successively by Visālakṣa (S'iva), Subrahmanya,

' Santiparva, 57, 1-3: एतते ाडका निर्मानवनीतं कृष्टिः । यहस्पतिर्हि भग-वान् नान्यं धर्म प्रश्चेति ॥ विशालाक्षश्च भगवान् काव्यवेव महातपाः । सहसाक्षो महेन्द्रश्च तथा प्राचेतको मनुः॥ भरद्वाज्ञश्च भगवान् तथा गौरशिरा सुनिः। राजशास्त्रप्रणेतारो ब्राह्मणा ब्रह्मवादिनः॥ Indra, Brhaspati and Sukra. In a third place, we are told-that the seven sages composed a huge work on Dharma, which Minutescher reliable promulgated, and Brhaspati and S'ukra composed abridgements of Mann's work. A fourth tradition in a Smrti, is to the effect that Manu composed a huge work on Dharmasästia, communicated it to Nārada, who then abridged it, and it was subsequently abridged by Mārkandeya, and further compressed by Surral-Minutescher. The extant Manusmṛti narrates how Manu, who was descended from Brahma, instructed his pupil Dligge (i.e., Bhārgava).

<sup>2</sup> S'ântiparva, 343, 28, 45-46-

ये हि ते ऋषयः ख्याताः सप्त चित्रशिखण्डिनः । तैरेकमतिभिर्मृत्वा यत्प्रोकं शाक्रगुत्तमम् ॥ तस्मात्प्रवक्ष्यते धर्मान्मचुः स्वायंश्चनः स्वयम् । खशना पुरस्यक्षिये यशेनस्त्री भविष्यतः । तदा प्रवक्ष्यतः शास्त्रं तुष्यन्मतिभिरद्भृतम् ॥

ै इह् हि भगवान्मनुः प्रथमं सर्वभूतानुष्रहार्थं आचारिश्वति-हेतुभूतं शास्त्रं थकार । तदेतदम् रं श्रीतरा शाक्षेत्र प्राप्तान्य । तहेत्र न प्राप्तान्य राग्येक्ष्य राग्येक्ष्य प्राप्तान्य । स च द्वादशिमः सहस्रोः संक्षित्य तं च महर्षये मार्येम्ष्ट्रयाय प्राप्तान्य रा स चाष्ट्रभः सहक्षेः संविक्षेप तं च सुमृतये भार्यवाय प्रायच्छत् । सुमृतिरिप चतुर्भिः सहस्रोः संविक्षेप ॥ नारदस्यतिः, प्र. १-२.) to communicate the science of Dharma to the world. The Bhavisya Purāṇa mentions four instead of three versions of the original Dharmasāstra of Manu, as composed by There. Nārada, Bṛhaspati, and Angiras respectively.

### SIGNIFICANCE OF THE TRADITION

Another instance of the attribution of a divine origin to branches of knowledge is furnished by Vātsyāyana. The Supreme Being (Brahmā) having created men, composed, for the purpose of insuring the realization of the trivarga, a huge work in hundred thousand verses. That part of it which related to Diamma was edited by Manu-Svāyambhuva, that on Artha by Brhaspati, and that on Kāma by Nandī, from whom the Kāmasūtra descended from teacher to teacher to Vātsyāyana's day. The derivation of all branches of knowledge from a divine source became a literary fashion. Rājasekhara (circa. 900 A.D.) has a story that the science of poetry was composed by the Supreme Being, who taught it to Brahmā and sixty-four other pupils, that Brahmā imparted

<sup>े</sup> एतद्वीऽयं स्यु: शास्त्रं श्रावयिष्यत्यक्षेषतः । एतद्धि मत्तोऽधिजर्गे सर्वमेवाऽस्त्रिलं सुनि:॥ (मन्नु, १, ५९)

भागवी नारदीया च वार्हस्पत्याङ्गिरस्यित । स्वायंभुवस्य शास्त्रस्य चतस्रः संहिता मताः ॥

<sup>(</sup>cited in V. N. Mandlik's Vyavahāra-Mayūkha, p. xlvii, where it is ascribed to Skāndapurāņa)

<sup>ै</sup> प्रजापतिर्हि प्रेजा: स्युा तासां स्थितिनियन्धनं त्रिवगस्य साधनसध्यायानां हात-सहसेण प्रोबाव । तस्यैकदेशं कार्यक्रकेशं कार्यक्रिकः ग्रिक्शं प्रथक् चकार । वृहस्पतिरस्राधि-कारम् । महादेवातुचस्य नन्दी . १६०१४०१६२ प्रथकाससूत्रं प्रोवाच ॥ (कामसूत्र, १, ५-५)

it to his son Kavyapuruşa, who taught it to Indra and others.¹ Whether Rājesekhara's story is a cynical parody of the accounts in the Mahābhārata, and the Kāmasūtra, or records an old tradition, is not very material, as the divine origin of knowledge is a general hypothesis of ancient Indian thought. This implication of the derivation is not that the sciences acquire a sanctity from their inspired origin, but it merely denotes that 'God is the first postulate of knowledge.' It has indeed been suggested that a divine origin for branches of knowledge gives the sciences the same rank as the Purāṇas. The essential point of the story is not the sanctification of the different branches of knowledge, so much as of knowledge itself.

## ARTHAS'ASTRA IN THE ORGANON OF INDIAN THOUGHT

Among the Indian writers on Arlhasāstra themselves, the divinity of their science is implied rather than openly stated. Kautilya declares Vidyā (Knowledge) to be fourfold viz., Revelation (Tray). Philosophy (Anvikṣikī), Vārta, and Danānīti. Under Revelation, he brings the Vedas, Itihāsas, and Purāṇas. He places Arthasāstra within Itihāsa. Other ancient Indian traditions class it as an appendage of Atharvaveda. To relate Arthasāstra to the fourth Veda is not to imply its inferiority to other branches of knowledge. To kings, the Atharvaveda was of paramount importance. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> काव्यमीमांसा.

<sup>2</sup> आल्बीक्षिकी त्रयी वार्ता दण्डनीतिश्वेति विद्याः । सांख्यं योगो लोकायतं वेत्यान्वीक्षिकी । धर्माघर्मी त्रय्याम् । सामर्श्वर्जेदास्त्रयः त्रयी । अथर्ववेदेतिहासवेदौ च वेदाः ॥ (अ. शा., pp. 6-7)

<sup>3</sup> S'aunaka's Caranavvilha.

royal preceptor (like Kālidāsa's Vasinia, who has been described as 'the treasury of the Atharva lore' Atharvanidhi) had to be an adept in Atharvaveda.' The Atharvana Parisisia describes Atharva-veda as a Brahma 'divine' Veda.' For coronations and other important royal ceremonials, the sūtra authority is the Kausika-sūtra, which belongs to Atharvaveda.

### POINTS OF ITS INCLUSION IN THE VEDIC GROUP

The exaltation of the Arthasāstra reached its apogee in in the 12th century, when the Smysikāra Lakṣmīdhara, the foreign minister of King Govindacandra of Benares, classed it as a Sixth Veda, the Mahābhārata having been already admitted as the fifth. Whether Arthasāstra was a sixth Veda or a part of the fourth Veda or the fifth Veda, the significance of the suggestion is obvious, and is shown by Āpastamba, who defines the Knowledge which is open to women and S'ūdras, as 'the furtherest limit of the Vedas.' The interdiction of the Veda to women and S'ūdras did not extend to the Itihāsas and Purāṇas. Accordingly, the drift of the derivation is, ûrstly, that Arthasāstra forms an orthodox branch of knowledge, as contrasted with heretical sciences, and secondly, that it can be studied by women as well as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Raghuvamsa, I, 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Max Müller-History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, 1860, p. 445.

<sup>ी</sup> सा निष्टा या विद्या कीषु इद्देषु च (आ. घ. सू., २, २९, ११)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Manusmṛti, IV, 80, <sup>6</sup>न शुद्धाय मति द्यात् <sup>7</sup> is often interpreted as forbulance in a transfer. Arthough to 19 % int.

by men, and by all members of the community, in spite of its Vedic affinity. In the epochs of Buddhist predominance, as much as in periods of Hindu reaction, a claim of this kind has value. It further served to prevent the views of Arthasātra being brushed aside, if they seemed to be in conflict with the views of Smṛti.

### IDEA OF TRIVARGA

The hyphen between Arthasāstra and Dharmasāstra is the Indian conception of trivarga—the three-fold aim of existence—Dharma, Artha and Kāma. The terms are difficult to render into English. Dharma signifies a rule of duty or conduct, which is in harmony with specific religious injunctions. In the Aitareya Brāhmana, Dharma stands for the sum-total of religious duties; in the Chandogya Upanisad it is treated as the duty of caste and station; and the idea is amplified by the commentator Medhātithi, so as to comprise five classes of duties. Artha on the other hand, as a part of trivarga, is best translated as 'well-being' and Kāma as 'pleasure.' A common scholastic exercise was to compare the relative importance of the three. There was

े धर्मस्य गोप्ता जानीति तमस्युत्कृष्टमेयंदिद्रभिषेक्षप्रजेतयाचीभिकान्त्रयेत ॥ ऐ. बा., ७, १७.

ै त्रयो धर्मस्कन्धा यज्ञोध्ययनं दानमिति प्रथमस्तप एवेति द्वितीयो ब्रह्मचार्या-चार्यकुळवासी नृतीयोऽस्थन्तमात्नान्याचार्यकुळ्ञादयन् सर्व एते पुण्यलोका भवन्ति ब्रह्मसंस्थोऽस्रतन्त्रमेति ॥ (२, २३)

<sup>8</sup> प्रवापकारो अर्म इति स्मृतिविवरणकाराः प्रवायन्ति । वर्णवर्म आश्रमधर्मा वर्णाश्रमधर्मा नैमित्तिको धर्मो गुण्यमेथिति ॥ (मेधातिथिः, २,२५)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See the discussion in the distributed of Erron in Theoght, Brunes, 1934, pp. 17-18, 21, 25, 35 and does blonger on two, the trace of Social Organization, 1972, pp. 41-56.

unanimity in the view that each should be pursued in union with the other two, the preceding factor in the order of enumeration, being given precedence over the succeeding. The hedonism of the Indian Cameralist is shown by Kautilya's injunction to kings not to neglect aesthetic satisfaction and cutivate a joyless existence, while pursuing *Dharma* and *Artha*.

### ARTHA AND DHARMA-THEIR AFFINITIES

Considered in this sense, the science relating to each of the *trivargas* would have the same ends as those of the other two, but the ways of approach to the common end will be different. The overlapping of *Artha* and *Dharma* is not only permissible but necessary.<sup>3</sup> In later times, collections

¹ धर्मार्था बुस्यते श्रेय: कामार्थी धर्म एव च । अर्थ एवेह वा श्रेयक्रियर्ग इति तु स्थिति: ॥ (मनु, २, २२४)

अन्योग्यानुबद्धं परस्पस्यानुपघातकं त्रिवर्गं सेवेत । कामस्यूत्र, २, १) । तेषां मान्यः हे पूर्वः पूर्वः प्रवीगरीयान् (कामस्त्र, २, १४)

ै धर्मार्थिक्रोसेल कामं सेवेत न निस्सुख: स्यात । समं वा विवर्गमत्योन्यानु-वन्त्रम् । एको हि अत्यादेखितो धर्मार्थकामाजातत्मानामन्त्रो च पीडयत् । अर्थ एव प्रधान इति कौटित्य: । अर्थमृत्रो हि धर्मकामौ इति (अर्थ. जा., १. ७)

of Dharma (Dharmasamhita), like those of Manu and Yājñavalkya absorbed large slices of doctrine from the Arthasāstra. But, they had a common element even from the beginning. The brief allusions to Rājadharma (the subject matter of Arthasāstra) in old smṛtis, like those of Gautama, Baudhāyana, and Āpastamba, relate to the duties of the king, civil law, and economic regulations. Their later elaboration in great metrical smṛtis, like those of Manu and Yājñavalkya, might mean that Arthasāstra was originally taught in the schools of Dharmasāstra. This idea will be correct if stated in the form that instruction in Dharmasāstra and Arthasāstra was normally given by one and the same Brahmanical teachers. The monopoly of instruction in all branches was possessed by the Brāhmana teacher.

### THEIR ALLEGED RIVALRY

An essential difference between the Arthasāstra and the Dharmasāstra has been made out to be this: Dharmasāstra rests its teachings on the Veda, while Arthasāstra inculcates principles, independently of their conformity or in opposition even to the Veda. This view is untenable. Even a suggestion that a Hindu science, like Arthasāstra, steers clear of the Veda, i.e., is Vedabālyaka, is an outrage on Hindu belief. It will be inconceivable to a Hindu that anything contrary to the Vedas could have validity. It is true that, in the indication of the schools of philosophy of most value to the student of Arthasāstra, and to kings particularly, Kautilya cites only the Sāmkhya, Yoga and Lohāyata schools. The recommendation of these rational philosophies for regal study

war only lynn many hoth Brahmanas were the teachers of the art of

is not the same thing as an injunction to the prince to accept their doctrines.

#### THEIR ALLEGED SECCLARISM

Kautilya took the world as he found it, composed of believers and heretics, the followers of normal and of abnormal religious practices. He was himself orthodox, but there are recommendations in the Kaudiliva for the considerate treatment of heretic 1 schools of philosophic thought, which kept out the Veda and organized themselves obviously for defence in a hostile atmosphere of orthodoxy. The organization of the Buddhist Sangha is an outstanding example of such a hetrodox foundation. Nārada mentions guilds of heretics and enjoins the enforcement of their rules.2 In works on Rajadharma. kings are advised to give precedence in audiences to heretics. magicians and Brahmanas.3 The implication means that weakness and power should both receive attention. It is noteworthy that while Vārtā, (Economics of Agriculture, Industry and Trade) has to be learnt by Kautilya's Prince from experts, who will necessarily be non-brāhmanas, the study of the three suggested groups of philosophies, is to be pursued only under brāhmaņas of unimpeachable orthodoxy and rectitude (sista). Yājhava,kva while grouping heretics with thieves, women who murder their husbands, prostitutes.

<sup>1</sup> Cf. p. १२—देवतासः नाम्माः भौजित-इत् राज्यास्यानाः वालहद्धव्याधित-व्यसनित्यताथानां स्त्रीणां च कमेशः कार्यापः वर्षेति (राजा). पाषण्डसंघ is mentioned on p. 36, and the wealth of such corporations on p. 242.

<sup>े</sup> पाषण्डिनैगमादीनां ्रिपंडिः समय उच्यते । समयस्यानपाकमं तद्विवादपदं स्प्रतम् ॥ पागिष्डिनेगनधोगीरपप्रतायमदितः । संस्कृतः समयं राजा दुर्गे जनपदे तथा ॥ (बारदस्यति, १०, १-२)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supra, f. n. 1,

drunkards and suicides and the like, whose death does not entail the observation of death impurity and Phathard to the manes, allows the customs of heretics to be enforced as laws. Hatred of the heretics cannot be carried to the length of ignoring their influence. The heretic is a nuisance who is to be reckoned with; but his is not an example to be followed.

## SCOPE OF ARTHAS'ĀSTRA

Kautilya indicates his conception of the scope of Arthasāstra in two contexts. Government (Daṇḍa) is necessary for the existence and functioning of philosophy, the traditional faith and economic occupation. The science which regulates it is Daṇḍanīti. Its purpose is to acquire advantages, which are not already possessed, to conserve what has been acquired, to increase what is conserved, and to effect a distribution among proper recipients. The ordinary business of life is rooted in Daṇḍanīti. He who aspires to lead a normal life should therefore uphold Government. Vārtā (the Economics of Agriculture, Industry and Trade) comes within Daṇḍanīti. Kautilya holds that the Earth is of concern only because it is the home of men, that the

¹ पाखण्डवाशिता: स्तेना भर्तृष्ट्य: कामगादिका:। सुराण्य आत्मत्यागिन्यो नाशोचोदकमाजना:॥ (याज्ञ. स्ट., ३, ६).

<sup>2</sup> श्रेणिनैयमपाखिण्डमणानाम्ब्यं विधि: ।

भेढं चैषां नृपो रक्षेत पूर्ववृत्ति च पालयेत् ॥ (याज्ञ. स्मृ, २, १९२).

- ³ आन्वीक्षक्रीत्रशीवार्तावां योगक्षेमसाधनो दण्डः । . . तस्यामाथत्ता लोकयात्रा । तस्माक्षोकयात्रार्थी निस्मुखतदण्डस्स्यात् ॥ (अ. शा., p. 9.)
- 4 अलब्धलाभार्या लब्धपरिरक्षणी रक्षितविवर्धनी बृद्धस्य तीर्थेषु प्रतिपादनी व ॥ (Ibid., p. 9.)

preoccupation of men is with the pursuit of wealth and well-being and that the function of Arthasastra is therefore to lay down the methods of acquiring and safeguarding the populated earth.1 Thus, he would stress the politicoeconomic aspects in Dandanītī, the economic aspect in Vārtā, and over and above both of them, the political aspect in Arthasastra. In his days, Dandanīti was a part of Arthasāstra, while in later times (possibly under the influence of Brhaspati, who claimed it to be the only relevant division of knowledge; it came to be equated with Arthasāstra.2 S'ukra defines Arthasāstra as the science which deals with the rational acquisitions of kings in ways harmonizing with the Scriptures.3 Between Kautilya's conception of Arthavāstra and S'ukra's there is this point to discounties. Kautilya does not import conformity to the Scriptures into his definition, though the whole spirit of his teaching is to suggest it; Steen enunciates it explicitly. This may be explained as showing that the attacks on the Scriptures, in the time of the later writer, necessitated an emphatic assertion of their binding authority.

#### ITS LATER IDENTIFICATION WITH NITIS'ASTRA

S'ukra equates Arthasāstra with Nīti.' The preference of Kauṭilya for the term Arthasāstra may be due to his

<sup>े</sup> महाप्याणां वृत्तिरथै: । मनुष्यवती भूमिरित्यर्थै: । নদ্দা, पृथिव्या लाभपालनो-पायः शास्त्रमर्थशास्त्रमिति ॥ (अ. शा., p. 424.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> वार्ता उपानी नेकेंग वाईस्पत्याः (अ. शा., p. 6.)

श्रुतिस्मृत्वितेषिन राजनृत्तादिशासनम् ।
 सुयुक्ताथार्जनं यत्र हार्यशास्त्रं तदुन्यते ॥ (श्रुक्तगीति, ४, ३, ५५).

<sup>ै</sup> दमो दण्ड इति व्यानस्तरमादृष्टो महीपतिः । तस्य चीरिर्देण चीरिर्देशमादीरिरमपरे ॥ (Ibid., १, १५७).

desire to bring his science within the ambit of the Vidyās, relating to the aims of life (Trivarga). S'nkm stresses the practical value of the precepts of Arthasāstra, in describing it as a science which guides [Arthasāstra] Though his work is less useful for practical guidance than his original (the Kautilīya), Naturolikas gives his own book the title Nitisāra. It is likely that a neutral term, like Nīti, may have been preferred, owing to the established reputation of Arthasāstra for practical-mindedness, as much as property of the desire to use a term which would not obtrude the Brahmanical conception of Trivarga, in a Buddhist age.

The property Barhaspatya Arthasatra, in which signs of archaism and rampant modernism appear side by side, definitely holds the view that the fruit of the Nitisastra is the attainment of Trivarga, though this view is opposed to the traditional heterodoxy of its reputed author, Brhaspati.

The great antiquity of the Arthasāstra and its enduring influence are now established beyond doubt. There are citations in the Kautiliya of the opinions of eighteen of his predecessors. How closely opinion on the fundamentals of the science came to approximate between the different schools is shown by the fewness of the points of divergence of view between Kautilya and his predecessors. In Sütra literature, it is the convention that agreement toust be presumed when dissent is not expressed. The remarkable freedom from

ed. F. W. Thomas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> नीते: फलं धर्मार्थकामानि (२, ४३).

Five schools are quoted by the state of the schools are quoted by the schools are quoted by the schools are quoted by the schools are greatly the scho

ethical or readitional bias, which some of the opinions of Kautilya's predecessors reflect, indicate periods of intense dialectical activity, in which argument was pursued step by step with ruthless logic.

## ITS ACTUAL RELATION TO DHARMAS'ASTRA

Dharmas āstras themselves bear testimony to the powerful influence of Arthas āstra. In a famous sātra of Āpastamba (5th cent. B.C.) the king is asked to send certain transgressors for sentence to the Purohita, who must be expert in Arthas āstra as well as in Dharmas āstra. The Purohita, from Vedic times, had a commanding influence in the Court.

While his work mainly lay in sacerdotal directions, one of his qualifications for office, was, by common consent of smrtis and Nītisāstra, his mastery of Arthasāstra. Manu's famous denunciation of those, who are devoted to the study of Arthasāstra and Kāmasāstra, might indicate an irritation not unnatural in one who had felt the overpowering influence of the Arthasāstrā. Later smrtis, which had witnessed the fusion in the Yajāavaikya and Manu samhitas of Artha and Dharma precepts, do not manifest this jealousy. Nārada advocates the harmonious application of both Arthasāstra and Dharmasāstra. Hārīta holds that judicial proceeding

<sup>े</sup> तस्य चेन्छास्नमतित्रवर्तेरन् राजानं गमयेत् । राजा पुरोहितं अमःर्थहुज्यस्यः ॥ (आप. घ., २, १०, १४-१५).

थे थोऽवमन्येत ते मूळे हेनुग्राक्षाश्रयाद्द्विन: । स साधुभिवंहिष्कार्यो नास्तिको वेदिनिन्दक: ॥ (२, ११). अर्थकामेध्यसकानां धर्मश्लानं विधीयते ।

वर्म जिज्ञासमानानां ब्रष्टाणं परमं श्रुतिः ॥ (२, १३). <sup>3</sup> क्षत्रेमाराजीताहास्साराविहीलेन **यत्न**तः ।

संपश्यमानो निपुणं व्यवहारगतिं नयेत् ॥ (१, ३७).

to be righteous which is founded on the maxims of Arthasastra and Dharmasastra, conforms to the usage of good men, and is not misleading. That there was a time when there were separate courts in which the Artha and Dharma Laws were administered, as Common Law and Canon Law were administered in Mediaeval England, is shown by the bifurcation of judicial functions between the Dharmasthiya and Kantaksodhana Courts in Mauryan India.

## THEORY OF ITS SECULARISM

In any consideration of the Arthasāstra, as an analogue of Western Cameralism, it is not right to overlook the view of its alleged secular character. In favour of the view that Kautilya shows his freedom from ethical and religious bias in numerous ways, the following points have been cited. The Purohita is not one of the seven Prakṛtis or essential elements of the kingdom,³ though he is given a dignified and lucrative office in the (Kautiliyan) administration; 'Kautilya omits specifying the Purohita's skill

े धर्मशास्त्रार्थशास्त्रोक्तः शिष्टाचारादिलक्षणः ।

छलेन च व्यपेतो यो व्यवहारः स चार्मिकः ॥ (हारीत: cited in स्मृतिचन्द्रिका, ब्यव., p. 26).

The Kautillya mentions two kinds of an equation blood ranking decivil litigation. An equation library is a contract of the property of the pro

<sup>े</sup> ल्यास्त्रताल्यवरण्यपुर्वेदेशहर अनियानि पञ्चतः (अर्थ. शा., p. 255).

<sup>े</sup> पुरोहितसुदितोदितकुळश्चीलं बडिश वेदे देवे निमित्ते दण्डनीत्यां चाभिविनीत-मापदां देवनायुर्वणारक्षकीरारकेचे प्रक्रिकारीर क्ववीत । तमाचार्य शिष्य: पितर पुत्रो एट्स २५०, निर्माण चातुर्वतेत ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 15).

in the catalogue of the king's threefold sakti (power); 1 Kauţilya provides a place for Indian materialism (Lokāyatas) in the royal curriculum of studies; 2 Kautilya regards Artha (material welfare) as the paramount element of Trivarga;3 two at least out of the four branches of knowledge (vidyā) in his category are secular. He denounces addiction to astrology.4 He advocates immoral practices and unscrupulous fiscal measures. He permits judicial torture, which the Dharmas'astra replaces by ordeals. He allows the State to derive profit from the management of slaughter houses.6 Lastly, he sanctions the remarriage of the deserted wife." and permits divorce,8 while to Manu marriage is indissoluble and a sacrameut.9 Modern scholars, who view with tolerance Hellenic lapses and the teachings of Machiavelli, are shocked by Kautilya's 'recommendation' of devices, which exploit the religious credulity of the people to the advantage of the king, To every one of these alleged proofs of Kautilya's secular bent

¹ शक्तिक्विवधा—ज्ञानवळ मन्त्रघक्तिः। कोशदण्डवळ प्रभुशक्तिः। विक्रमवळ-मुत्साहगक्तिः॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 259).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> सांख्यं योगो छोकात्रतं चेल्यान्यीक्षकी ॥ (Ibid., p. 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> अर्थ एव प्रधान इति कौटिल्य: । अर्थमूळौ हि धर्मकामानिति ॥ (अर्थू. शा., p. 12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> नक्षत्रमतिष्टुच्छन्तं बालमर्थो**ऽतिवर्तते** ।

अर्थो हार्थस्य नक्षत्रं किं करिष्यन्ति तारकाः ॥ (अर्थ. शा., का...).

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Kāma or judicial torture is dealt with in IV, 8, 83, pp. 216-321 of the  $Arthas \bar{a}stra$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> अर्थ. शा., २, २६, ४३ (पृ. १२२-१२३) (सुनाध्यक्ष),

<sup>7</sup> Ibid., pp. 158-159.

<sup>\*</sup> For divorce (mokṣa) see Ibid., p. 135 . यह कार्यो हारामार्व १० वर्गका हिन्स हा-परस्परं देखान्मोक्षः

<sup>े</sup> न निष्क्रयविद्याभ्यां मर्तुर्भायां विसुच्यते (मतु, ९, ४६); अन्योन्यस्याव्यभि-चारो अवेदामरणान्तिकः (Ibid., ९, १०१).

of mind, an effective answer is possible. The Purohita is included in the ministry, which has a place among the 'seven prakṛtis'. In unambiguous begins a Kauṭilya lauds the triumph of the king, whose power is strengthened by the counsel of his Purohita.' It is a strengthened by the counsel of his Purohita.' It is a strengthened by the counsel of his Purohita.' It is a strengthened by the counsel of his Purohita.' It is a strengthened by the counsel of his Purohita.' It is a strengthened with safeguards against atheistical influences. The praise of Artha occurs in the Dharmasāstra too, while the transitoriness of material welfare is emphasized by Kauṭilya.' Kauṭilya was himself an adept in astrology and believed in it.' What he condemns is limp-backed fatalism, as contrasted with Utthāna, the spirit of enterprise,' of which we have an eloquent panegyric in the Mahābhārata. The dubious measures, which are

<sup>ो</sup> प्राप्तिक पुरित्ते । प्राप्तिक प्रतिकारिक प्राप्तिक विद्याप्तिक विद्यापति व

<sup>े</sup> शक्कोनेश्वितं क्षत्रं मन्त्रिमन्त्राभिमन्त्रितम् । जयत्यजितमत्यन्तं शास्त्रानुगम-शक्तिम् ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 16). The brahmana is the purohita.

<sup>ै</sup> दैवमानुषीणां अधरीभरुगायैथ प्रतिकर्तारं (पुरोहितं) कुर्वीत । (अर्थ, शा, p. 15).

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Lokāyata' is comprehended in ānvīkṣakī, which must be studied by the prince under teachers of acknowledged orthodoxy and character (আন্বীধ্রমী নিষ্টেম্ম, p. 90).

<sup>ै</sup> धर्ममूलोऽर्थ इत्युक्तः। (Santiparra, 123, 167.)

<sup>ं</sup> दा क्षानित्ये धने दया? (अर्थ. शा., p. 381).

Kautilya (Arthas'astra, p. 245) provides a salary of one-thousand for the soothsayer, the reader of omens and the astrology the reader of mens and the astrology that are installed by the salars and the astrology that are installed by the salars and the astrology of the salars and the astrology of the salars and the salars and the salars are installed by the salars and the salars are installed by the salars are installe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> तस्मात् (राजा) उत्थानमात्मन: कुर्बीत (p. 37 and p. 428). राङ्गो हि त्र तुम् त्थानम् (p. 39): अनुत्थाने धुनो नाशः (p. 39, अर्थ. शा) *Utthana* as preparedness is treated in Sukraniti (I. 266) as preparedness for war.

recommended for circumventing an enemy and seditious subjects, are specifically limited to disloyal and unrighteous persons, and are not to be used against others. There is little to choose between the risks of ordeals (divya) and torture. The supervision of the slaughter-house is a necessary measure of sanitation, in an epoch in which the killing of animals for meat was not interdicted. Divorce is allowed only in the lower forms of marriage, and Kautilya specifically refuses it to those married in normal ways; that is to say, it was permitted to the lower strata of Society, in which the cruder forms of marriage still prevailed. The discarded woman is permitted to remarry, even by some Dharmasāstras. Desperate occasions require desperate remedies, and the dubious fiscal expedients suggested by Kautilya are to be put into operation only when all normal measures fail.

On the other hand, the conformity of Kautilya, as a typical exponent of Arthasāstra, to the recognized religiosocial views of his day can be proved by many situstrations. He excludes from inheritance, and even from the claim to maintenance, the outcaste and his descendants. He condemns pratiloma unions, and attributes their occurrence to

<sup>1</sup> एवं बूड्येषु अन्यतिकेः च वर्तेत । नेतरेषु ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 244).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf., परिसृत्मशिरः पादास्थि विगन्धं स्वयंसृतं च न विकीणीरन्। अन्यथा द्वादशपणो दण्डः॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 123).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> अमोक्षो धर्मविवाहानाम् । (अर्थ. शा., p. 155).

नष्टे मृते प्रव्रजिते हीबे च पतिते पतौ ।
 पञ्चस्वापत्सु नारीणां पतिरन्यो विधीयते ॥ (नारदरमृति, p. 186).

Medhātithi (मनु, भ), explains pati as pālaka (protector), and not as. husband : तत्र पाळनात्पत्तिमस्यारक्ष्येत के स्वाधनिकारकारकार्ये ।

<sup>ै</sup> पतितः एतिताजांता: क्षीयाध्यानंद्या: । (अ. शा. p. 161).

regal neglect.¹ He regards the unorthodox king as worse than a ruler who is physically blind.⁵ The ideal king should be devout and devoted to Dharma.³ The best treasure is that which has been righteously come by.⁴ Even hermits must be made to conform to the rules of their order.⁵ The suicide and his abettors are to be punished.⁴ A S'ūdra masquerading as a Brāhmaṇa is to be blinded or fined.⁵ The immunities of Brāhmaṇa in taxation are to be respected.⁴ Land owned by 'heretic Saṅghas' (e.g., Buddhists) may be confiscated.⁵ Kauṭilya prescribes incantations to secure rain,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> एते प्रतिलोमा: स्वथमातिकमादाज्ञः सम्भवन्ति (p. 165).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> अन्य: चित्रवाक्षो वा राजेति—"अशालचक्षः अन्यो यस्क्रिचनकारी इडाभिनिवेशी परप्रणेयो वा राज्य नन्यानेको यन्ति नु चित्रवाख्यस्तु यत्र शाखाचितमति-भेवति, श्रवयानुनयो भक्तीस्याचार्याः। नेति कौटिस्य:—अन्यो राजा शक्यते सहायसंपदा यत्र तत्र वा प्रयेवस्थापितुःकेति । चित्रतशाखस्तु शाखादन्यथाऽभिनिविष्टबुद्धिरन्यायेन राज्यमारमानं चोपह्न्तीति ॥ (pp. 323-324).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> तत्र स्वामिसंपत्—महाकुळीनो दैववुद्धिः सत्वसंपन्नो वृद्धदर्शी धार्मिकः . . . . इत्याभिगामिका गुणाः । (p. 255.<sup>)</sup>

<sup>4</sup> धर्माविगत: पूर्वे: स्वयं वा हेग्रह्म्यप्रायक्षित्रस्थृतरम्बिरण्यो वीर्घामप्यापदमनायति सहेत इति कोशसंपत् ॥ (p. 256)

प्रत्रज्यासु यथाचारात्राजा दण्डेन वारयेत् ।
 धर्मो ह्यधर्मोपहत: शास्तारं हन्त्युपेक्षित: ॥ (р. 191).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> रज्जुम्मृशिर्द्धिः कामक्षेत्रक्षेत्रः यः। घातयेत् स्वयमारमानं स्त्री वा पापेन मोहिता॥ रखना राजमार्गे तां चण्डालेनापकपैयेत्। न व्मशानविधिस्तेषां न संबन्धि-क्रियास्त्रणा॥ वन्सुस्तेषां तु यः क्रुर्योत् प्रेतकायक्रियःविध्यम् । तद्गति स चरेत्यश्चात्स्व-जनाद्वा प्रमुच्यते ॥ (p. 217).

<sup>ें</sup> राद्रस्य ब्राह्मणवादिनो देवद्रव्यमयस्तृणतो मञ्जद्वसमादिनातो द्विनेत्रमेदिनश्च योगाञ्जनेनात्यस्वमध्यतो वा दण्ड: ॥ (p. 225).

<sup>8</sup> श्रोत्रियस्वं च परिहरेत (p. 240).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> पाष्**०**डसंघद्रव्यमश्रोत्रियभोग्यं ह**रेवः** (p. 242).

to cast off spirits, to prevent natural calamities. Compelling a Brahman to consume interdicted food or drink is a criminal offence. The private use of cattle dedicated to temples entails heavy punishments. The Varnāsramadharma is to be maintained in its fulness.

It has been alleged that S'ukra also shows secular mindedness. In proof of this position the following opinions of his are pointed out. Considerations of caste and family are important only in weddings and dinners. The soldier's profession is not the monopoly of the kṣatriya caste. What commands respect is character, not caste or family. Efficiency, irrespective of caste, is the main consideration in filling appointments. Barbarians can enter the army and enjoy citizenship. On the strength of these opinions, it has been asserted "in S'ukra we rarely meet canonical views on Politics." Such a dogmatic assertion can be rebutted by many statements from S'ukranīti. S'ukra makes the king the

शहा वा क्षत्रिया वैरया म्लेच्छास्संस्कारसंभवा: । सेनाधिपास्त्रीनिकाथ कार्यो राज्ञा जयार्थिना ॥ (शुक्र., २, १३९-१४०).

राजा नियोजितन्यास्ते सभ्यास्सर्वानु जातिषु ॥ (४, ५, १७).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See अर्थः शा., ४, ३, pp. 204-206 (उवनिपानप्रशीकारः),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> शाह्यणमपेयमभक्ष्यं वा मङ्गासयत उत्तमो दण्ड: । (p. 231).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> देवपशुमृषममुक्षाणं गोकुमारीं वा वाहयतः पत्रशतो दण्डः । (p. 233).

चतुर्वणिश्रमो लोको राज्ञा दण्डेन पालित: ।
 चर्चिकारिकारी वर्तते स्वेष वर्त्मस् ॥ (p. 9).

<sup>ै</sup> कर्मक्षीलगुणाः पुत्र्यास्त्रभा कातिङ्केलेन हि । न जात्या न कुळेनैन श्रेष्ठस्यं प्रति-पद्यते ॥ विवाहे भोजने नित्यं कुळमाहिवियेचनस् । सत्यवाक् गुणसंपन्नः तथाऽभिजन-वान् धनी ॥ (कुक्र., २, ५६-५७).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> स्वधर्मनिरता नित्यं स्वासिभक्ता रिपुद्विषः ।

<sup>ँ</sup> दिस्तार । विकास सर्वे सः प्रियंवदाः ।

<sup>8</sup> A. K. Sen, Studies in Hindu Political Thought, p. 6.

guardian of orthodox conduct.\(^1\) He believes implicitly in Karma.\(^2\) As 'the maker of the age,' the king should insist on the subjects following their respective traditional rules of conduct.\(^3\) As the 'maker of time-cycles,' the king should teach his subjects what is ordained and \(^1\) \(^1\

#### RESULTS OF THE THEORY

The erroneous assumption of the emancipation of Artha-sāstra from religious and ethical tradition has spread from work to work, and in the transition has gained in strength and volume. A recent history of Indian Materialism asserts that the real theoretical materialism of India is only to be found in the Nītisāstra, and that in Politics, the Hindus were emancipated from all prejudices, their sole point of view being human and moral. Much capital is made of the traditional derivation of Nītisāstra from Bṛḥnspnti, by the identification of this sage with the eponymous founder of the Lokāyata School. As a corrective to the time-honoured belief in the total absorption of the Indian mind in metaphysical speculation, and its alleged disdain of worldly matters, an illusion which has been revived in modern attempts to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> आचारप्रेरको राजा (शुक्र. १, २२).

<sup>ै</sup> कर्मैव कारणं चात्र नुगतिं दुर्गतिं प्रति । कर्मैव प्राक्तनमपि क्षणं विं बोऽस्ति चावित्र: ॥ (शुक्र., १,३७).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> कालस्य कारणं राजा सदसत्कर्मणस्त्वत: । २वह<sup>ुक्</sup>रान्ट्रान्ट्राम्स स्वधर्मे स्थापयेरप्रजा: ॥ (शुक्र, १, ६०).

<sup>ै</sup> युगप्रवर्तको राजा धनश्चिमंत्रज्ञिक्षणात् । युगानां न प्रजानां न दोषः किं तु नृषस्य हि ॥ (शुक्र., ४, १, ५८).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A. M. Pizzigalli—"The real theoretical materialism of India is found in the Nitis'ästra." (B. K. Sarkar, Hindu Sociology, p. 187).

popularize or advertize Indian Philosophy—such emphasis on the liberal features of the thought of the Indian Cameralism is of value. But it is an attempt to apply to ancient Indian thought a category, which has no place in it. It overlooks an essential feature of Indian life and sentiment, viz., that the distinction between "secular" and "religious," does not exist for the Hindu.\(^1\) All branches of knowledge are part of a vast aggregate, which has its root in God. Even the distinction, now in vogue, between the \(^1\)Ciphus-points and worldly persons, the vaidiha and the laukikas, is an anachronism, when applied to ancient India. The attempt ignores the essential points of identity between Arthasästra and Dharmasästra, and \(^1\)Communication in the content of the properties of the properti

## THE LIBERAL VIEWS OF ARTHAS'ASTRA

A refusal to characterize Indian Cameral thought as secular is not to stigmatize it as illiberal or irrational. Reasonableness is not necessarily modern. The jurisprudence of the Arthasāstra is acclaimed as modern, when what is implied is only that it is sensible. The attitude of the Arthasāstra towards women, has evoked admiration and has led an enthusiast to describe the Arthasāstra as the emancipator of women. The services of Arthasāstra in this respect merit praise. Rauţilya's work not being sruti was open to women. His laws prohibit harshness to women. Striking a woman or abusing her in vile language are offences, even in men to whom they are under tutelage. The right of owning separate

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;नम्ने विनम्ने नयहगेऽपितृकेऽमानृके' इस्त्रनिर्देशेन वित्तयमाहणम् । वेणुदल-, एड्डराइन्ययस्थानेन वा पृष्ठे त्रिराधातः। तस्थातिक्रमे धारद्रगणपाराज्यप्रध्यास्थि वण्डाः॥ (अर्थः शा., p. 154-5).

property, judicial separation, remarriage, divorce, and inheritance are allowed to women. Kautilya does not descend to the cynical gibes on the innate evil disposition of women, like S'ukra and the so-called moralists. Women are a first charge on their relations, and ultimately on the State, if unable to support themselves. They were not secluded. Their self-respect, even in poverty, is protected by affording them facilities for earning a living by honest work instead of going out to beg. Kautilya's treatment of women, of slaves, and his laws of master and servant are considerate. If to be wise is to be modern, more instances of modernism can be culled from S'ukra, as well as Neurilya.

## METHODS OF ARTHA AND DHARMA WORKS

There is a *real* distinction between *Dharmasāstra* and *Arthasāstra*, which is indicated by the traditional affiliation of the latter to *Itihāsa*. All that means is that the principles •

ě

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For सीधन, सोक्ष, निवेश, See अर्थ- शा. ३, २ (pp. 151-154, and ३, ४, pp. 158-9).

² e.g., S'ukra, III, 120—कृत्वा स्वतन्त्रां तरुणीं श्चियं गच्छेन्न वै कचित्। श्चियो मृत्यमनर्थस्य तरुण्यः किं परैस्सह ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ०हु.. मनु, २, २१३-२९४—स्वभाव एव नारीणां नराणासिह दूषणम् । अतोऽर्थात्र प्रमादान्ति प्रमदासु विपश्चितः ॥ अविद्वांसमलं लोके विद्वांसमि वा पुनः । प्रमदा सुत्पयं नेतुं कामकोधवशानुगम् ॥; also, ९, १४-१५—नेता रूपं परीक्षन्ते नासां वयसि संस्थिति: । सुरूपं वा विरूपं वा पुमानित्येव मुझते ॥ पौंश्वल्याबलिक्ताच नेन्नेहाच स्वभावतः । रक्षिता यस्नतोऽपीह मर्तप्वेता विकृषिते ॥

<sup>ं</sup> अर्थ. ज्ञा., २, २३ (p. 114). याथानिष्कासिन्य: श्रेषितविधवा न्यज्ञा कन्यका वा आत्मानं विध्युस्ता: स्वदासीभिरत्तुसार्यं सोपग्रहं कर्म कारयितव्या: । स्वयनागन्छन्तीनां वा सवजालां प्रत्यविस भाण्डवैतनवितिमयं कारयेत् ॥

<sup>5</sup> The Arthas'astra rules in these matters are identical with smrti rules,

of Dharmasāstra are eternal and are discoverable by research and intuition. The method of the Arthasastra is inductive. The historical method in an extreme form cannot be profitable in the social sciences, and the application of deduction and empiricism, presuming on psychological facts, is equally unprofitable. A combination of the two furnishes the happy mean. Such a mean is adopted in the Arthasāstra. Kautilya and Sukra are realists, who never lose their footing, and who enforce their conclusions by reference to tradition and history. Allowing for the scantiness of historical material in their days, even their crude historical methods mark their superiority to other writers. Sir Henry Maine denied the claim of the smrtis to historicity, by declaring that a 'code' like Manu z described not what was, but what (according to a writer with sacerdotal views) ought to be.1 The same charge used to be made against the scholastic writers of medieval Europe, and has been rebutted by Ashley, Cunningham and others. Undoubtedly, it might be difficult to discover any age in which the ideals of Virgitivam Albarma wee, fully enforced. But, independent testimony is available to show in many epochs and kingdoms a great approximation of conditions to such ideals. The Arthasāstra idealized perhaps less than the Dharmasāstra, its function being more closely connected with the utilization of actualities. But, even an Arthasastra would suggest to kings ideals which were in advance of the time. The difference is less in idealization than in the degree of it. Arthavāstra had the restraining influence of its own method. In a famous passage, Kautilya lays upon a king's minister the duty to teach his sovereign, either by himself or through

<sup>, &</sup>quot;It does not, as a whole, represent a set of rules ever actually administered in Hindustan. It is, in great part, an ideal picture of that which, in the view of the Brahmins, ought to be the law." (Ancient Law, ed. Pollock, p. 15.)

his favourites, the principles of Arthasāstra, by means of 100, sortile is drawn from history and tradition.

## IS ARTHAS'ASTRA OF NON-BRAHMANA ORIGIN?

An attempt has sometimes been made to communicate between the Dharmasastra and Arthasastra on the ground that the former was promulgated by Brāhmana writers and the latter by non-brāhmanas. So far as Dharma literature is concerned, the statement may be correct, if semi-divine sages like Manu can be classified as Brāhmanas. In the middle ages, digests of Dharmasastra were composed under nonbrähmann writers or patronage, as for example by Bhūpati or Dhāresvara (Bhoja), Pratāparudra Gajapati, Todar Mal and possibly Candesvara. We hardly know the caste of the chief writers on Arthasastra with the exception of Kautilya, who was a Brahmana. Somadeva was a Jaina, and Candesvara possibly a Ksatriya (Thākur). But the three most considerable authorities on Arthasastra emanated from a Brāhmana (Kautilva) and one who assumed the name of a mythical sage (S'ukra) as well as from Brhaspati.

### IS IT A RIVAL OF DHARMAS'ASTRA?

It would be seen that the suggested opposition or antagonism of *Dharma* and *Artha* literature is mislcading. The Indian view emphasized the necessity of both. For instance, *Naradasanti* rules that the king should administer the law without discord between the rules of *Dharmasāstra* and *Arthasāstra*. Hārīta bases a valid judicial proceeding on

<sup>े</sup> सुख्यैरवग्रहीतं वा राजानं तत्त्रियाश्रितः । इतित्रुत्तपुराणाभ्यां बोधयेदर्थशास्त्रवित् ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 255).

both Arthasāstra and Dharmasāstra. Vijūānesvara explicite leditor. Arthasāstra within Dharmasāstra.

#### ARTHA CONTENT OF DHARMA LITERATURE

S'ukra's definition of Dharmasāstra (smrti) also makes it comprehend Arthasastra.3 The statements of Vijnanesvara and S'ukra are corroborated by an analysis of the contents of the smrtis of Gautama, Apastamba, Baudhayana, Vasistha, Manu, Visnu, Yaifar aika and Brhaspati. Such an analysis, reveals that the Artha content of these important smrtis is quantitatively a sixth, a fifteenth, a twelfth, a fifth, a third, a fourth; a half, and a little less than a half of the smrtis respectively. Almost the entire content of Nāradasmrti is Artha-matter. The Smrti of Brhaspati exists in fragments, of which the Artha portions have been better preserved than the non-Artha.4 But, the importance of Artha matter in a smrti cannot be decided quantitatively, as in Dharmasastras the disposition was to indicate topics or cardinal doctrines. and leave them for oral exposition either by Dharma schools or by Artha writers.

## How the Two were Separated for Study

I venture to suggest that the balance of probability is in favour of the separation of Arthasāstra and its evolution as an independent subject, because the Smrtis did not afford scope for the detailed exposition within their own covers of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 39, Supra.

<sup>ి</sup> ক্রিডেলেরিমীর রাজনীরিজেরকা বিষয়েটির বিরয়িল ন (মিদাল্লর, ২, ২৭).

<sup>ै</sup> वर्णाक्षित्रक्षेत्रकरणं यत्र वेदाविरोधकम् । कीर्तनं चार्थशास्त्राणां स्मृतिः सा च प्रकीर्तिनः ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collected and edited since the delivery of these lectures by the author and published in 1941 in the Gaekwad's Oriental Series as No. 85.

Artha material. With the progress of political evolution, the heads of the Smrti schools must have experienced increasing inability, through want of experience, to discourse profitably on matters which necessitated continuous touch with the administration. This view does not rebut a presumption that in the ages in which Arthasāstra had established its influence, silent borrowings by smrtis from Arthasāstra were carried on with the result that their teachings did not drift apart.

#### WHY ARTHAS'ASTRA GAINED INFLUENCE

A greater influence of Arthasāstra may be presumed from its character and content, the prestige of the great names which were associated with the enunciation of its principles, and the dawn of epochs in which reference to the Veda and canonical authority for justification of the actions of domestic and political life and the consultation of literature based on such assumptions for guidance of ordinary life, did not hold out the appeal which the rational development of sociology by successive generations of Artha teachers held to kings and peoples. Arthasāstra had a valuable asset in authors whose names are memorable for political achievement e.g., Drona, Bhīsma, Uddhava, Brhaspati, S'ukra and Kautilya. With the rise of non-ksatriya dynasties, and in the heyday of non-Vedic religions like Buddhism and Jainism, the eclipse of Dharmas'āstra by Arthas'āstra was inevitable, even apart from the prestige of great names associated with the latter.

# LATER READJUSTMENTS OF VIEWS OF DHARMA

The Dharmasāstras might have retained their influence by adjusting themselves to altered conditions, in the manner in which the Arthasāstras had done. The way in which mediaeval smrtis and digests of Theory as is the condone or find defence for departures from the strict Varnasramadharma shows this. In the belief that for each age a separate Smrti was especially valuable, Parasara-Smrti being the one recommended for our Iron Age,1 one may be tempted to see a belated, and somewhat pathetic attempt, to bring smrti teachings into harmony with the times. The reconversion of an apostate, sea-voyages beyond the bounds of India, the extension of the area within which the practice of the elect (sista) might furnish precedents to follow, the necessity to throw open the kingship and the monasteries to non-Brahmans and non-kṣatriyas, creep into later usage.2 That, in spite of its comprehensive character, Manusmrti escaped an adaptation is possibly due to its being, as suggested by Dr. Jayaswal, the code par excellence of a Brahmanical reaction in the S'unga period.3 There are passages in Manusmrti which may appear to condone, against the spirit of Dharma, the occupation of the throne and of positions of military command by Brāhmanas. It has been argued that they can be appreciated only when viewed as defences of an accomplished Brahmanical usurpation. But, by that time, the influence of Arthasastra had been established.

#### ADAPTABILITY OF ARTHASISTRA

The capacity of the Arthasastra to adapt itself to the times was greater than that of Dharma. When the great

<sup>े</sup> कृते तु मानवा धर्माखेतायां गौतनास्स्मृतः । द्वापरे वकुळिन्याः कळौ पाराशराः स्मृताः ॥ (कानस्स्वितः १, २४).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For reconversion of an apostate, see P. V. Kane, History of Dharmastatra, II, 972-974 and the pseudo-Devalasmrti, printed in Singii Collections.
<sup>3</sup> Jayaswal, Manu and Yajjawalkya, p. 43.

empires again gave place to petty Hindu States, during the epoch of Muhammadan conquest, Candesvara, in a work on Rājanāti, developed the theses that a king need not aspire to universal dominion (impossible for a mediaeval Indian Rājā), that he may be of any caste, that 'he is king who protects,' that the rites of coronation are unnecessary to validate a succession and that, as in conquest dominion is derived from facts, so in the succession to the throne mere possession confers legitimacy.\(^1\) Its greater realism, its habit of rationalization, its steering clear of both citation of and conflict with the Sacred Word, and its recognized position as part of revealed literature, gave the Arthasāstra for many centuries an influence not possessed by the parallel Dharma literature.

## WHY IT BECAME A CLOSE SCIENCE

The thoroughness with which, by a combination of learning and insight, reason and experience, the diseases of society and of political society in particular, had been dealt with exhaustively by Kautilya, rendered Arthasāstra like those of Western Cameral works, welcome in the cabinets of kings. "Their enunciation of possibilities, irrespective of their ethical or religious justifiability, gave a work like the Kautilīya a twofold induccuent to become a cherished political classic. The description of the means by which a prince might outwit his enemies, if broadcast, might enable the victim to save himself. The enunciation of the methods of speculation by government servants might put the dishonest public servant on his mettle, and compel him to discover

<sup>1</sup> Rajanītiratnākara, pp. 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Arthas'āstra, Bk. II, Ch. 8.

unrecorded methods of deception. It is natural to construe a constitute, as a recommendation. As with Machine All and writers of his type, an evil reputation has clouded the names of the exponents of Arthasästra. The gradual conquest of India by rulers of foreign extraction, first Hindus and then non-Hindus, reduced the areas within which the precepts of Arthasāstra might be put into effect, and their results studied. The formation of great empires, which absorbed a host of minor kingdoms, had already produced the same The utility of the Arthasastra to the prince disappeared automatically, when the prince had become an Emperor, when a permanent administrative machine had taken the place of the inefficient hand-to-hand devices of old rulers, and when the autocracy of the king was established in theory and in fact. This was the case with Western Cameralism, and it was so with the Indian. The remarkable result of a school of thought, which had been influential enough to mould history and help in the creation of strong monarchies, becoming rapidly so obscure, by its own success, as to have allusions made to its doctrines by later writers, with an air of self-conscious learning has been the fate of Indian as of German Cameralism.

# INDIAN AND WESTERN CAMERAL LITERATURE COMPARED

It might be of interest to compare the contents of typical works on Arthasāstra with a developed Cameral classic in order to bring out more fully the general resemblances between Western and Indian Cameralists. We may take up the works of Justi and Adam Smith's lectures on the one hand, and those of Kautilya and S'ukra on the other. Justi's

writings1 contain a summary of all previous Cameral il ought. and Adam Smith, though perhaps not aware of his affinities to Cameralism, virtually deals with the same topics as the Cameralists, and often in much the same way. Justi claims that Cameral sciences are very old in the world, since their application must have begun when property was introduced and States came into existence. He gives an analysis of government, and deals with the rules of succession, the duties of the Princes, the increase of the wealth and population of a State, commerce, mines, precious metals, trade, cooperation of rulers and subjects in promoting national wealth, cities, public finance, collection of extraordinary sums for the State, political organization, forms of government, polity etc. Adam Smith's lectures, which formed a prelude to the Wealin of Nations, begin by describing the objects of 'jurisprudence' (i.e., Government) as Justice, Police, Revenue and Arms. The object of 'justice' is security from injury; of 'police' cheapness, plenty, public security and cleanliness; 'revenue' the levying and use of funds to defray the expenditure of government, and of 'arms' the protection of the nation and the formulation of laws of nations. 'Police,' like Cameral 'policy' is derived from Greek politik, which originally signified the policy of civil government, but came later to be restricted to sanitation, security, cheapness and plenty. In the Kautiliya, the principles and the techinque of government proper, occupy more than half the book, 'law' and 'police' a fourth, and what Adam Smith would call 'Arms' and 'Law of Nations,' the other fourth. Social and economic aspects are interwoven in the treatment of all the subjects. In the S'ukranīti, the social, ethical, and religious portions form a fifth of the whole, the political and administrative a linio

<sup>1</sup> Small, pp. 284-480.

over a fourth, law and police, arms and economics a sixth, and technological matter a twelfth. The work of Kāman-daka¹ is similarly divided into king, society, government, foreign policy, police and the army. Its economic content is negligible, and it shows little knowledge of administrative technique. He was a mere compiler, and his work is a king-centred manual. The work of Somadeva² is mainly ethical, and is interspersed with shrewd remarks and advice on practical administration, obviously derived from observation and experience of life. It deals with politics, administration, law, nilitary science, foreign policy and economics. A comparative analysis of the contents of Indian cameral works shows the applicability to them of Justi's dictum: "A Cameralist should at the same time be a police expert and an economist."

In the lectures that follow, Indian Cameralism will be considered in comparison with the western, under heads roughly corresponding to their main divisions, and considered as Sociology, Politics, Economics and Fiscal Science.

Nītisāra.

<sup>2 27&</sup>quot;: A. "In-"are: a

# III

### CAMERAL SOCIOLOGY

#### DEFINITION

CAMERALISM is, in its essence, Sociology with a political bias. The character of the treatises in which its teachings were expounded, and the context in which they were made, precluded logical and complete statements of theory, such as would disclose the hypotheses from which the reductions of the science were made. To the contemporaries to whom Cameral writers mainly addressed themselves such statements were unnecessary. In times removed from those in which they were first stated an indication of the background of Cameral thought is necessary for a proper appreciation of Cameral teaching.

## POSTULATES OF INDIAN CAMERALISM

The postulates of Indian Cameralism are identical with those of Indian thought in general. They reflect the beliefs of the time. Society is accepted as it is held to rest on divine sanction. The divisions of society and social regulations have also a superhuman basis. The final authority is the

1 See the account of the creation in Manusmṛti, I, 5-111.

Veda. I Such views were universally entertained till creeds rose which denied the authority of the Veda. Even after the rise of such schismatic sects, the doctrines of reincarnation and transmigration (samsāra) and the eternity of thought and action (karma) were accepted as the common postulates of philosophy and life by even Indians who disbelieved in the revealed nature of the Veda." The division of society into four castes and four orders (Varnāsramadharma) was generally presumed to be both necessary and divinely ordained.3 The essential features of such a division were not rejected by even non-brahmanical thinkers. Opponents of the Brahmana claims like the Buddhists and the Jains did not altogether reject Varnasrama. Their canonical literature might place the Ksatriya above the Brahmana, and refer to the latter as of a 'low caste (hīnajāti) but their reverence to the Brāhmana is shown by the special privileges and immunities continued to him as much in Buddhist times as under Varnāsramadharma. The Hindu strg. s of life (Asrama) were imitated by the anti-Brahmanical bodies. The influence of Buddhism and Jainism popularized the asceticism and its modes, incorporated the first (Brahmacarva) and the last stages of Brahmanical division of life (asrama), and exalted them over the householder's life (Garhastya) ' against the spirit and even the express teachings of Brahmanism. Such' an attitude was anti-social, uneconomic and anti-political. It was therefore condemned, and the spread of the monastic ideal among the people water its come in for strong condemnation from Brahmanical writers as being unorthodox. The pious man is exhorted to abjure States in which 'heresy'

3 Ibid.

<sup>1</sup> For the Veda as the ultimate source of Law, see Manusmrti, II, 6-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See H. C. Warren, Buddhism in Translations, 1906, passim.

prevailed, in which heretics had power and States round as kings. Such appeals, which are frequent in the Hindu smrtis exhibit the combination of a social with a religious animus against Buddhism and Jainism.

The Hindus have believed that India is divinely marked out as the region of the Universe in which action is fruitful i.e., good and bad Karma can be acquired. It is the place for action (Karma-bhūmi). The end of life is ultimate release from life (Moksa). That end may be attained by the pursuit of three objects, namely, Duty (Dharma), Welfare (Artha), and Pleasure (Kāma). The four aims of life (Caturvarga) correspond to the four stages of life (Caturasrama). asrama division is for discipline. Its successive stages reflect the gradual ascent of man by successive steps, by training of the individual when young, widening the range of his interests through the family, enforcing the obligations of the individual and the family to the race and race-culture through a retreat to the forests for meditation, and the realization of the debt of the individual to the Universal Spirit by his renouncing the World so as to come into tune with the Infinite.

#### THE TRIPLE DEBT

The ideas are also conveyed by the 'doctrine of the triple debt' (Rnatraya). Every human being is born with debt

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., p. 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> न शहराज्ये निवसेत नाधार्मिकजनावृते ।

न पाषण्डिगणाकान्ते नोपस्ष्टेऽन्त्यजैन्यः ॥ (मनु, ४, ६१).

अजयमानो वै ब्राह्मणिक्सिमर्फणवा जायते ब्रह्मचर्येण ऋषिभ्यो यहेन देवेभ्य, प्रजया पितृभ्यः एव वा अनुणो यः पुत्री यज्या श्रह्मचःश्यिती। (तैति. सं., ६, ३: १०, ५).

which must be discharged, before the cycle of his existence can cease. These obligations are the debt to ancestors, the debt to the authors of race-culture (ysis., i.e., sages) and the debt to the gods, who sustain the Universe. The debt to ancestors is held to be discharged by the perpetuation of the race by starting a family, and the debts to the sages and gods are repaid by the education and the promotion of traditional culture, and by the practice of the prescribed sacrifice, ritual and worship.

The spirit of all canonical divisions of the people and life is Discipling. The pursuit of the ends of life, in an ordered sequence reflects the disciplined life. Each stage must normally be passed before the next is begun i.e., brahmacarva before garhastva and garhastva before sanvasa. The life of normal asceticism (Sanvāsa) was denied in Hinduism to the military and industrial sections of society.1 It was opened to them by Buddhism and Jainism. A mode of living in accordance with the ideals of Varnāsrama tended to create a type of life both in the world and out of it, which the Roman Catholic divines of the 17th and 18th centuries, who were the contemporaries of the European Cameralists, used to describe as "worldly asceticism" and popularize among the members of the middle class. What the Indian sects, which stood outside the pale of Brahmanism (Vedabāhvāh) attempted to provide for monks only, was offered by Varnas'rama-Dharma, in varying degrees, to all the members of the Society. The spirit of the regulation, which canonical writers as well as the Arthasāstra make it the duty of the State to

<sup>े</sup> चरवारी आकृणम्कोरकाः आश्रमाः शुरैन्योतिकः । क्षत्रियस्य त्रयः प्रोक्ताः द्वावेको वैश्यस्यत्रयोः ॥ विशेषाः स्थापः

maintain, 'lies in the inculcation of self-restraint and of a sense of wide-spread obligation among all members of society. To a monk, who had followed the example of Luther and settled down as a married Protestant, trying to live the high moral life enjoined by Luther, a Catholic remarked "You think you have escaped from the monastery, but you are hereafter to be a monk through life." The remark illustrates the attitude to the life of restraint, which were taken by the orthodox and the un-orthodox Hindu sects.

The Western Cameralist derived comfort from the doctrine which Calvin program is, that man is only the administrator of what God has given him, and that while he should fly from the world for his own selfish salvation he, should, when remaining in the world, avoid all excess in pleasure, and look on co-operation with fellow-men and its discipline as his religious duty. Varnasrama-Dharma inspired the Indian thinker to similar views.

## BRAHMACARYA

Both Smrti and Arthasāstra agree in regarding the Family as the unit of society man as the object of all action and the life of the householder (grhasthu) as the pivot on which society turns. But the responsibilities of family life are to be undertaken only after adequate preparation of

1 तस्मात्स्वधर्म भूतानां राजा न व्यभिचारयेत् । ंस्वधर्म संदधानो हि प्रेख चेह च नन्दति ॥ व्यवस्थितार्थमर्यादः कृतवर्णाश्रमस्थिति: । व्यया हि रक्षितो लोकः प्रसीदति न सीदति ॥ (अर्थ, शा., p. 8.)

<sup>2</sup> सर्वेद्यान्ति ' बैतेषां चेद्रम्यतिषिधानतः। गृहस्थ उच्यते श्रेष्ठः स त्रीनेतान् विभक्ति हि ॥ (मनु, ६, ८९). the spirit, the mind and the body. This means that a Hindu had to pass through the first of the four stages of life namely (Brahmacarya). The high purpose of this stage is indicated by its name Brahmacarya, which may be explained as being with God. The Western Cameralist advocated a religious education in the interests of political and social smilling and viewed the belief in a future life of rewards and punishments for the acts done in this life, as of paramount disciplinary value.

#### ATTITUDE TO HERESY

From such a view, the transition to the conception of free-thought as a crime against the State and Society, and of an atheist as a potential rebel is easy. "No one denies God," asserts the shrewd Bacon "except he who has an interest in there being no God." "From the opinion that there is no God," observes Montesquieu "comes our independence or our revolt." By a parallel line of thought, the old Indian Statesmen and Sociologists reached the conclusion that the heretic (Pāsandtī) was a political menace, and that heresy must be a penal offence. This attitude is somewhat similar to that of the Roman statesman, who recognized in nascent Christianity a danger to the Empire and therefore endeavoured to suppress it. It will account for the persecution of the heretic (patita) even by so level-headed a thinker as Kannikya." A heretic is equated with the outcaste

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cited in Small, Cameralists, p. 511.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Spirit of Laws, XXXIV, 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Manu (IX, 225) will banish heretics. Kautilya (p. 50) ক চেন্টোর ট with Capfalas on the outskirts of towns and ellages, near creation growth at A heretic beautiful and the second of the control of (পাণ্ড হারাইছু বিষয়ে কুইং, p. 144)

(patita), who is refused a right of inheritance. A contract with a heretic for the payment of fees for ethical instruction cannot be enforced. The heretic forfeits the right to maintenance out of the family estate. As a potential danger to the community, he is to be the object of police surveillance. By sheer necessity, heretics were compelled to organize themselves in powerful unions or guilds (sangha). Heresy continued to spread in spite of repression, or was even helped by it. A common disguise well in the secret police agent is that of the heretic.

## EFFECT OF BRAHMACARYA IDEAL

The compulsion for all men to pass through the Brahma-carya with its rules of celibacy, discipline and subordination to the teacher (girn) had a triple effect. Education was compulsory; no dvija could escape it. As education was given by teachers, who were prohibited from demanding fees for their services, education was also free. Being attuned to the different needs of castes and crafts in its form, duration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The one case (portin) is dead in 'Civil Law' (avyavahārya, p. 175) and is excluded from inheritance sancalism, p. 161).

<sup>ृ</sup> अपत्यदारं 🚈 िःै आतृनग्राप्तव्यवहारान् भणिनीः कन्या विश्ववाश्चाविश्वतः द्वादशपणो दण्डः । अन्यत्र पतितेभ्यः ॥ (अर्थः शा., p. 48).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> पाषण्डसंघद्रव्यं • • अवहरेत् (p. 36), '''ए'ः निवार हुगररेतुः (p. 242).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> पाषण्डरुख्य (p. 36), पाषण्डरुखन (p. 31).

<sup>ै</sup>न पूर्व गुरवे किचिंदुपकुर्वीत धनींदत्। स्नास्थस्तु गुरुणाङ्गाः शक्त्या गुर्वर्ध-माहरेत् ॥ मनु, २, २४५; स्ताध्यापक and सृतकाध्यापित are to be avoided (वर्जनीया: प्रयक्ततः, ३, १५६); and प्रत्याध्यापन and गृत्याध्ययन are उत्पातक (११, ६२).

and curricula, the orientation of Indian education was primarily vocational and practical. Like the ordered life, of which it marked the first stage, education in ancient India was consciously purposive. Its ideal was to have instruction spread over periods long enough to prepare youths for their after-careers.1 Both the student and the teacher were freed from family and social ties; ceremonial impurity, owing to the birth or death of relations, could not attach to students.3 They had to live chaste7 and abstemious lives, in poverty and in obedience to the teacher.4 Ancient India upheld a high intellectual ideal, "The mind is free. Rational questioning cannot be heresy." "He alone who has raised and solved doubts can comprehend the significants of duties (dharma)" urges Manu (XII, 106).5 The insistence of celibacy during the studentship, and the subordination of the student to various rules of personal hygiene, good manners and craftsmanship illustrate the all-round character of the old Indian educational ideal which Indian social thinkers upheld for acceptance as a foundation of society, while the long duration of continued schooling, ranging from nine to thirty-six years and the rule that education must be finished before one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> न राज्ञां मद्यदोषोऽस्ति व्रतिनां न च सत्रिणाम् । मनु, (५, ९३) 'व्रतिनः' ब्रह्मचारिणः इति कुल्छकः

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Sexual intercourse with a worse of the consequence of the conseq

<sup>^</sup> Үншаха!kva I, 33-34; Мапи, II, 41-75, 108, 117-139, 140-150, 161-162, 173-212; 216-240.

अार्षं धर्मोपदेशं च वेदशास्त्राविरोधिना । ा गोंगान्तं को स धर्म वेद नेतर: ॥

could marry and set up as a householder, should have resulted in family and civic responsibilities being undertaken only by persons who had already acquired the necessary physical and mental equipment which would enable them to bear such burdens.

# POPULATION

A cardinal feature of Western Cameralism was its attitude towards population. The Cameralist desired an increase in numbers for his State, and suggested many ways of securing it. He was not unaware that in particular areas and circumstances the growth in numbers might outrun the food supply and produce the many evils subsequently described by Malthus in vivid language. This risk was a remote contingency to the German Cameralist in the depopulated condition of the kingdoms of his time. Justi held that the resources of Europe in the eighteenth century were sufficient to support six times its population. A similar position is taken by the Indian sociologists. An ingenious calculation has enabled a recent Indian economist to arrive at an estimate of the population of India in ancient times,1 which fixes it as about a third of the present number. With the evidence of economic conditions of ancient Indian society which we have, it might show that the question of overpopulation was not then a present or porential danger though the frequent advice to kings to effect a redistribution of the population in their territory shows that the density was great in certain parts of the country.

# ATTITUDE TO MARRIAGE

Consequently, *Dharma* and *Artha S'*āstras agree in blessing family life and the institution of marriage. The growing

See Ancient Indian Economic Thought, pp. 83-84.

popularity of the monastic life should have made the need for increase in population more intense in ancient India, after the days of the Buddha onwards, than in Europe after the Reformation. It was not merely a numerical increase which was desired. Indian writers endeavoured by regulation to improve the quality of the population.1 The eugenic provisions scattered through Indian social literature,2 and the ages for marriage prescribed for the husband and the bride in normal marriages illustrate this attempt. The age of twelve specified for a bride as the proper one for marriage (Manu IX, 94) is that accepted by Kautilya for the legal majority of the girl.3 The minimum age for the bridegroom was lowered by Kautilva to sixteen. 4 This provision may have been due to the feeling that, as among the non-Brahmana castes the duration of schooling was lower than that fixed for the Brāhmana, it was unnecessary to postpone family life for the earning classes.5

¹ See Jayaswal, Manu and Yājňavalkya, pp. 293-295. Vasistha insists on good lineage (Kula) in a bride (I, 38)—कुलप्रणाहो हिन्द सर्वनाश: | Yājňavalkya insists (I, 54) on it too.

² Interbreading by consanguinous marringes (r.d., cross cousin) was interdicted by the rule of read to the rule of rule o

ै त्रिवृत्विहित्कन्यां ह्यां द्वादशवार्षिकीम् । ज्यन्त्रविहित्रज्यां वा थमें सीदित्त सत्वरः॥ (सतु., ९, ९४)॥ द्वादशवर्षा खो प्राप्तन्यवहारा भवति, वोडणवर्षः पुमान् (अर्थ. शा., p. 154). "Experience of having virtuous progeny out of grown-up men" and compartively younger women should be presumed as a basis of disparity. (Jayaswal, p. 259).

<sup>4</sup> This is on the presumption that on attaining the age of legal majority he was free to marry.

<sup>5</sup> Early progeny has been desired by working class parents to add to family, earnings and to secure support from grown up sons when disabled by age.

#### GERHASTHYA -- MARRIED LIER

The Indian attitude towards population was shown by the exaltation of the married life. The householder is praised as bearing the entire burden of society on his shoulders. His life is not a whit less noble than that of the venerated ascetic. The purpose of marriage was urged as the production of offspring, an implied condemnation of birth-control within wedlock. The same motive accounts for the condemnation of a married-state in which the husband neglects his wife. There is also an implied—though not formal—rejection of polygamy, because, as Adam Smith pointed out long afterwards, polygamy (paradoxical as it may seem) does not result in as much increase in population as monogamy. The value attached to children is indicated by the provision made by Kautilya, (not to be found in any smiti) for judicial separation and remarriage, by the general permission of the levirate

1 See footnote 2 of p. 61; also यथा नदीनदा: सर्वे सागरे यान्ति संस्थितिम् । तथैबाक्षमिण: सर्वे गृहस्ये यान्ति संस्थितिम् ॥ (मनु, ६, ९०); यथा बाग्नुं समाधित्य वर्तन्ते सर्वजनतव: । तथा गृहस्थमाश्रित्य वर्तन्ते सर्व आक्षमा: ॥ यसमात् त्रयोप्याक्षमिणो ह्यानेताक्षेत्र चान्वहम् । २०० वर्षेत्र प्रार्थन्ति सम्सार्यक्षाप्रको स्थितः (मनु,, ३, ७७-७८).

² प्रजनार्थ स्त्रियः सप्टाः सन्तानार्थं च मानवाः ॥ (मतु., ९, ९६); सन्तानार्थं तु मैथुनम् (वान्तिपर्व, १९०, २३; 'प्रजाये एस्सेपेल्यः (रखुवंश, ९. ७); पुत्रार्थां हि स्त्रियः (अर्थ शाँ, p. 153); अपत्सार्थं स्त्रियः स्रष्टाः (नारद, १२, १९).

ै बाह्यश्चातुपबन्पतिः (मतु., ९, ४). एतुराकः भिष्यके स्थल (मतु., ३, ४५) तीर्थोपरोधो हि धर्मवध इति कौटित्य: । (अर्थ- सा., p. 159).

Idial (Idia) a separation of husbard and wife clarge with nermission to remarry is divorce (mobyl). Dharmar fixers does not allow it. The ideal of Mann Y. Dharmar fixers does not allow it. The ideal of Dharwar fixers are supported by the interest of the property of the interest of the instance of only one of the parties.

(nivoga),1 by the sanction (for non-Brahmanas particularly) of the simpler forms of marriage, like Gandharva, which might be subsequently formalized by making the parties go through the prescribed religious ceremony, and by the elaborate discussions in the works of canonical and civil law of the title to the children born to mothers who had been married after conception had taken place.2 The text of Manusmrti (IX, 106) that the eldest son is alone the child of duty (dharma-butra) and that subsequent children are born of passion (kāmajāh) is to understand not as a rule of family limitation but an injunction to secure the perpetuation of the family by having atleast one son. The injunction of Vajčavnikva and Manu to the widower to remarry points in the same direction.8 Its canonical justification is that the widower is neither a Brahmacāri nor a Grhastha, and belongs to no asrama, and that unless he marries again has is incompetent to keep up the sacred fire of the domestic hearth.4 The great importance altreb. 1 by Indian

<sup>1</sup> Niyoga is condemned by Manu (IX, 64-68). Kautilya allows it:

तेषां च कृतदाराणां छुते प्रजनने सित्। छुजेषु: बान्धवा: पुत्रान् तेषासंशं प्रकल्पयेत् ॥ (अर्थ- शा-, p. 162), क्षेत्रे व: जन्येत्रस्थ विद्युक्तः क्षेत्रज छुतम्। सात्त्रवन्छुः संगोत्रो वा तस्मै तत्त्रविशेद्धनम् । (Ubid., p. 163).

ै Mitakşarā (I, 90)—अनेन हुन्छः ्रीयम प्राप्ति । तो प्राप्ति । Аралагка (Y. I, 92, p. 118;— अदिज्ञास्त्रपि जातानां क्षेत्रजास्त्रि । वृत्राणां वर्णस्वाध्युगनात् विज्ञास्त्रित । व्यक्ति । वर्णस्वाध्युगनात् विज्ञास्त्रित । वर्णस्वाध्युगनात् विज्ञास्त्रित । वर्णस्वाध्युगनात् । यत् एव तेषां ब्राह्मणत्वं अस्ति ॥ Visvarāpa (Yāj. II, 135)—भूडजवानीनो तु मानुसारीयो जनकापरिञ्ञानाद्वित्रेयो ॥

³ दाहिवःवाभिहोत्रेण स्त्रियं दृत्तवर्ती पति: । आहरेन् विधिवहःग्रन असीश्चैवाविक-म्वयन् ॥ (शह., १, ८९); भायाये पूर्वभारिण्ये दरवासीनन्त्यकर्मणि । पुनर्दारिक्षयां कुर्यात् पुनराधानमेव च ॥ (मजु., ५, १६८),

4 अनाश्रमी न तिष्ठेत दिनमैकमपि द्विज: ॥ (दक्ष: in स्मृतिचन्द्रिका, I, p. 176)— See, Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, p. 173. sociologists to population, and to marriage as the cause of it are also illustrated by Kautilya's placing marriage first among his titles of civil law, contrary to the practice of the legalists, who place recovery of debts (rnādānam) first among the eighteen titles of Civil Law, and his justifying the innovation on the ground that all legal rights and obligations spring from marriage.'

The Indian sociologists lay much store on virginity in the bride, as a condition of valid marriage. A marriage could be declared void, after its conclusion, if it is discovered that the bride was not a virgin when the wedding took place." A remarried woman is assigned a lower position in society and a widow who remarried was usually classed not as wife, but with a "free woman" (Svairini).3 The specified restrictions on remarriage are aimed against the remarriage of women, who are already mothers. In these rules, a telegonic purpose has been recognized by a modern critic.4 He has also pointed out that the relative ages of bride and bridegroom laid down in our social literature conform to the views of modern criminalegists that the most satisfactory offspring result from unions in which the parents are of approximately the ages specified by our writers.6 A high political as well as moral purpose is behind Kautilya's justification of his concession to women to remarry in certain

¹ विवाहपूर्वी व्यवहार: । (अर्थः शा., p. 151).

² विधिवत्त्रतिगृह्यापि लाजेत्कस्यां विगर्हिनाम् । व्याधितां विश्वष्टां वा छद्मना चोपपादिताम् ॥ (मनु, ९, ७२).

अक्षता च क्षता चैव पुनर्मू: संस्कृता पुन: । स्वेशिणा या पति हित्वा सवर्ण कामत: श्रयेत् ॥ (यात्र., १, ६७).

Jayaswal, Manu and Yöjñavalkya, p. 297.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid., p. 296 citing Lombroso, Crime, its Causes and Remedies, p. 117.

circumstances, on the ground that to deny the right of bearing children to a potential mother is equal to the 'murder of righteousness' (dharma vadhah). Kautilya's rule is in line with his general belief in population being the source of economic activity and in his derisive reference to a thinly peopled area as neither a kingdom nor a country.

The different starting points of the western and Indian Cameralists will account for their different attitudes towards free choice in marriage. Hindu exogamy refers (in normal types of marriage), to spiritual and not natural kinship. The husband and the wife, in the first three castes, cannot be of the same pravara or gotra. A marriage should ordinarily be between persons of the same caste. In theory, the right of a man of a higher to take wives from those of the castes below his own caste did exist, but there were so many restrictions to it, and opinion was so hostile to the retention of his social position by the husband who entered into such relations, that such a marriage must have been rare. A Brähmana who marries a Sille we can and a Kentel a who marries a Vaisya girl, were both to descend to the caste level of their respective partners i.e., lose their own caste. Marriages in

<sup>1</sup>p. 159.
2 पुरुवापाश्रया (भूमि:) श्रेयसी। पुरुवविद्ध राज्यम्। अपुरुवा गौर्वन्ध्येव किं
विज्ञीत । (p. 295).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Gautama, IV, 2-5; Vasistha, VIII, 1-2; Baudhāyana, II, 1-38; Manu, III, 5; Visnu, XXIX, 9-10.

र्वं सवर्णात्रे द्विजातीनां प्रशस्ता दारकर्मणि । (मनु., ३, १२).

है हीनजातिस्त्रियं मोहाहुदहरूनो क्षिजातयः । कुळान्येव नयस्त्राञ्च सवस्तानानि गृहताम् ॥ श्रद्धां शयनगारोध्य ब्राह्मणो यात्यधोगतिम् । जनयित्वा युतं तस्यां ब्राह्मण्यादेव हीयते ॥ देवपित्र्यातियेयानि तस्त्रधानानि यस्य तु । ब्राह्मन्ति पितृदेवास्तत्र च स्वर्गं स गच्छति ॥ (मद्य., ३, १५, १५, १८), १८)

which the wife was of a higher caste than the husband, are condemned as very improper and stigmatized as unnatural (prati-loma). The State is instructed to interdict such marriages and is warned that they can spring only from the negligence of their duties by princes. Whether based on the dread of racial mixture, or of a confusion of occupations and functions in society, the objection to pratiloma unions and to inter-caste marriages is generally supported by vivid pictures of the catastrophe to society from caste-mixture (varnasamhara). In a political society which granted fiscal immunities on the basis of caste, administrative Conventions will be foreseen as likely to spring from inter-caste marriages.

## POLYGAMY

The Indian attitude towards marriage and the family requires a consideration of the position of polygamy. In theory, the right of a man to marry more wives than one existed but the practice must have been rare and confined to the military and ruling classes, except in special circumstances or in areas where, owing to the belief that they were outside the lands of orthodox Brahmanism, a special value comes to be attached to hypergamous unions with men of the purest blood and polygamy becomes a high-caste trade.<sup>3</sup> The

अधमाभिभवात्कृष्ण प्रदुष्यन्ति कुलाखयः । स्त्रीषु दुष्टासु वार्ष्णेय जायते वर्णसङ्करः ॥ सङ्करो नरकायेत्र कुल्हानां कुल्स्य च । पतन्ति पितरो होषां स्त्रपण्डोदकक्रियाः ॥ (भगवद्गीता, १, ४१-४२).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ते एते प्रतिलोमाः रवधनःतिकसाद्राज्ञः संभवन्ति ॥ (अर्थः शा., p. 165).

<sup>े</sup> कोक: तद्वगदुन्छियते । तस्मात्स्वर्धमं भूतानां राजा न व्यभिचारयेत् (अर्थ. शा., p. 18). अवमाभिभवारकृष्ण प्रदृष्यन्ति कुलक्षिय:।

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  This is the reason for the prevalence of hypergamy in Kerala and Kulinism in Bengal.

Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana, which contains realistic descriptions of unlingaments became it is shows that the life of the owner of a harem was by no means a happy one, and that it stimulated human perversity to maintain and to overcome the inviolability of the polygamous household. The normal union envisaged by the Indian social writers is monogamy. Polygamy was rendered possible in ancient Indian society by the need to provide a vent for repressed sex impulses, and through the existence of a class of licensed public women, many of whom possessed the added attractions of personal beauty and mental culture."

#### THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE FAMILY

In Indian polity and jurisprudence both the individual and the family are recognized units. The freedom of the individual is a natural deduction from the doctrine of Karma, which makes every person responsible for his own actions. The Indian fiscal system is based upon the assumption of every individual having the obligation to pay taxes or give unpaid labour to the State. The joint family is maintained for economic and social purposes. Its unity and efficiency are ensured by giving wide powers to its senior male member. The obvious advantages of family union are in the protection it gives to the weaker members, in the relief it affords to the State for the support of the indigent, whose maintenance is borne by their relations, and in the economic advantages of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See H. C. Chakladar—Social Life in Ancient India (Studies in Våtsyå-jana's Kamasilira), 1929, pp. 177-178.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  गणिकान्वयामगणिकान्वयां वा रूपयौवनशिल्पसंपन्नां सहस्रेण गणिकां कारयेत् ॥ (अर्थः ज्ञा., p. 123).

<sup>3</sup> Ancient India Econ, Thought, p. 135.

<sup>ै</sup> अनीश्वराः पितृमन्तः स्थितपितृमातृकाः पुत्राः । (अर्थः शा., p. 160).

large-scale industry and agriculture. The family circle prepares by the discipline of the home and by the discipline of studentship, for heavier public responsibilities. The evasion of family responsibilities was to Kautilya a civil injury, and he armed the penal law with powers to punish men who ran away from their families and joined the ascetic orders leaving their dependents to shift for themselves or become charges on the State.

# Position of Women

The efficiency of a society is indicated by the treatment it gives to women. The Indian Cameralist has made a contribution in the direction of the emancipation of women. From early times, the woman's right to hold property had been recognized in India. The right was strengthened by Indian jurists, who gave her control over her marriage gifts (strīdhana), bride price (sulka) and her ornaments (bhūṣana). The position of the wife was raised by making her the husband's partner in the management of the household and the estate, the steward of the family property and the family

ं अवस्यद्रश्रं मातापित्तरी अर्द्धम्यात्य्यवहानन् भगिनीः कन्या विधवाध्याविश्वतः शक्तिमतो द्वाद्वपणो दण्डः । जन्यत्र वृतितेश्यः : अन्यत्र मातुः । पुत्रदारमप्रतिविधाय प्रवजतः पूर्वस्ताहृतद्ग्यः । क्रियं च प्रवाजयतः । छुप्तव्यवायः प्रवजेत् आधुरस्य धर्मस्वान् । अन्यथा नियम्येत ॥ (अर्थः शा., p. 48).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Kautilya begins bis interferent of Vigurabler levi with moments separate property, vis. Sutha, commend Associate with the service State of the property of the State of the Lord State of the Sta

accountant.¹ Many Indian women received education at least sufficient to enable them to correspond and to keep accounts. Princesses, ladies of aristocratic and official families and hetairae were usually cultured women. The mother was ennobled.² She is the child's first teacher, and shares the traditional honour paid to the Indian preceptor (guru). A mother cannot be cast away by her children, even if she turns heretic.³ Her right to be maintained exists through life, and a forficited only when she forfeits her life itself for treason against the State.⁴ The validity of a religious gift is made to rest on the wife's consent.⁵ Wives can neither be given away nor sold.⁴ The right to a legal action against the husband when his correction exceeds the limits of reasonable rebuke, is granted to the wife by Kautilya.¹ A unity of

' सांबरसरिकमार्थ संख्याय तदनुरूपं न्ययं कुर्यात् । अतिन्ययमसदन्ययं वा कुर्यात् सहित्ययमसदन्ययं वा कुर्यात् सहित बोधयेत् । (कामसूत्र, p. 135): भुक्तवा ननेत्रहरहेणं सदाऽऽयन्ययचिन्तया (कुक्र., ४, ४, १५).

<sup>2</sup> सहस्रं तु पितृन्माता गौरवेणातिरिच्यते (मनु, २, १४५).

<sup>3</sup> A mother, even if an outcaste, must be maintained by a son (Kautilya, p. 48).

<sup>4</sup> राजदिशतिचासस्यःयस्मासम्बद्धने **च** ।

की प्रकार हा का करता है जायते खिय: ॥ (अर्थ, शा., p. 157).

\* This follows from the general rules follows from the Arabic factorists. cf. Āpastamba (D. S.) II, 13, 14-15-वासा क्रिकेट क्रिकेट क्रिकेट कर्मेष्ठ ॥ ; and of their identity e.g. Manu, IX, 45—

एतावानेव पुरुषो सङ्गस्यक्षतः प्रजेति ह । विद्याः प्राहुस्तथा चैतवो भर्ता सा स्मृताङ्गना ॥

ैं स्वं कुटुम्याविरोधेन देयं दारसुताहते । (याज्ञ. २, १७५) । न दिब्कयविसर्गाभ्यां भर्त्वर्भार्या विसुच्यते । (मनु, ९, ४६).

<sup>7</sup> अर्थ. शा. p. 155: Vide infra p. 46. This may be by the King acting suo moto (Mitaksara, It, 294).

interest between husband and wife is presumed in law. Conjugal fidelity is mutual.¹ Unmarried sisters receive from Kautilya an absolute estate, on failure of male heirs.⁴ The widow is preferred to the dangliner by Yājūavalkya and Bṛhaspati in this respect, while she is excluded by Kautilya from the line of inheritance, possibly because the right to remarry is granted by him to widows.⁴

# THE VARNĀS'RAMA SYSTEM

The Indian Cameralist upheld a society in which caste privileges and immunities and caste d'Envertination, were normal aims or features. They have no real counterpart of the same degree and extent in the society of the European Cameralist. The consideration of the difference is therefore necessitated for a correct understanding of the vital difference in the conclusions of the two types of sociologists.

Varnāsramadharma has come in for criticism in respect of two of its aspects, viz., firstly for the provision of graded privileges and immunities on the basis of birth for the members of different castes from the Brāhmana dewnwa.ds and secondly for the absence of the freedom to change one's occupation voluntarily. The two are connected and may be considered together. Their sanction rests not only on canonical but on lay approval.

- अन्योन्यस्याव्यभिचारो भवेदामरणान्तिकः । (मनु, ९, १०१).
- े अपुत्रस्य कन्याश्च रिकम् (अर्थ. शा., p. 160). पुत्रवत: पुत्रा दुहितरी वा धर्मिष्ठेषु विवाहेषु । *Ibid.*, p. 160).
- ³ पत्नी दृद्धितस्थैव . . . एषामभावे पूर्वस्य धनभागुत्तरोत्तरः । स्वर्यातस्य ह्यपुत्रस्य सर्ववर्णेष्वयं विधि: ॥ (याञ्च., २, १३५–१३६.) भर्तुचनहरी पत्नी तां विना दृहिता स्पृता ॥ (बृहस्पति, न्य. २६, १२६).

# THE BRÄHMAŅA

To begin with the Brāhmana. His land is exempt from taxation.¹ He is carried free over ferries and is exempt from tolls.² His property does not escheat to the King.³ He alone can officiate in sacrifices, receive pious gifts and give religious instruction.⁴ The rate of interest which he can be compelled by a Court to pay on a loan is the lowest.⁵ He is ordinarily immune from corporal and capital punishment.⁵ He is

¹ म्नियमाणोऽप्याददीत न राजा औन्नियान्द्रत्य् (सनु, ९, १३३); राजा तु अनु-शासत् षष्ठं धनस्य हरेत् । अन्यत्र बाह्मणात् (वसिष्ठः, १, ४२-४३); बाह्मणेभ्यः करादानं न ऋयीत् (विष्णु., ३, १६).

े राष्ट्रापार किया लग्न्या विभाग सम्बद्धियो नावाध्यक्षभुद्राभिः तरेषुः (they will or provide that i (eco provide ), अर्थ, शा., p. 127).

गर्मिणी हु जिसार जिस्ताम प्रविज्ञतो सुनिः ।

ब्राह्मणा लिङ्गिनश्चैय न दाप्यास्तारिकं तरे ॥ (मनु., ८, ४०७).

<sup>8</sup> अदायादकं राजा **हरेत स्रो**ष्ट्रतिम्रोतकत्र्यवर्जम् । अन्यत्र श्रोत्रियद्रव्यात् (अर्थ शा., p. 161): श्रोतियस्व च गरिहरेत् (*Ibid.*, p. 240).

4 अध्यापनमध्ययनं यजनं याजनं तथा । दानं प्रतिग्रह चैव द्राह्मणात्मकल्ययत् ॥ (मनु., १, ८८); प्रतिप्रह, अध्यापन, याजन are omitted from the duties of the other castes (Ibid., १, ८९-९१); स्वथमि ब्राह्मणस्याध्ययनमध्यापनं यजनं याजनं तानं प्रतिग्रहश्चेति (अर्थ, शा., p. 7).

<sup>5</sup> द्विकं त्रिकं चतुष्कं च पश्चकं च शतं समस्।

मासस्य पृद्धि गृक्षीयात् दर्णागानसुप्रीतः ॥ (मन्त., ८, ९४२). It relates to unsecured debts, in which the interest varies with the credit of the borrower.

ै क्वीन्राविष्वपीडनीयो ब्राह्मण: (अर्थ. शा., p. 220); न शारीरो ब्राह्मणदण्डः (गीतम, १२, ४६-४७). Kauṭilya will drown a Brāhmaṇa guilly of treason का ---- प्रवक्तमृत्ते अन्तःपुरप्रधर्षकं अटब्यमित्रोत्साहकं दुर्गराष्ट्रदण्डकोएकं वा शिरो-हल्पान्तिपुरू एकंद्रत् । ब्राह्मणं तमपः प्रवेशयेत् (अर्थ. शा., p. 227).

eligible for high offices of the State, and in hard times other professions than those which he can normally follow are also open to him.

Immunities balance disabilities. The Brāhmana's position was indeed one of dignity but it did not carry with it material comfort or opulence. Indian socialcrists separated wealth and social distinction. Riches undoubtedly count for influence but except to cynics they did not offer the same stimulus to social service as distinction. Many occupations, which led to material wealth and comfort, were barred to the Brāhmanas, even when they were not degrading in character. Ordinarily, he could not handle arms, though when the social order or the State was in danger the obligation to draw the sword in defence is laid on the Brāhmanas as on every other man. The ideal of family life held out to him was to avoid a superfluity of goods and in no case to exceed by his

Manu (VIII, 9, 20-21) reserves the post of chief judge to a Britmana, and of course a purchita must be a Britmana (Ibid., VI., 78 and Kartiya, p. 15). Manu reserves the chief minister's place for a Britmana (VII, 58) bur Kautiya leaves it open.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  In emergency or distress ( $\partial padi$ , each case can take up the occupations of those below it, in order. For  $\partial pad$ -dharma, see Manu, X, 81-94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Ancient Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 69-70.

<sup>4</sup> Baudhāyana ascribes to Gautama (not found in his work) the view that a Brāhmaṇa will not be fit for recovery and the standard of the standar

Mahābhārata (Salyaparva, 65 117 cm; of movers and and or so all the Brāhamņa, should take up arms if commonly 1 various.

 $<sup>^{5}\,\</sup>mathrm{A}$  Brāhmana might take up arms in self-defence, or in defence of others or in social confusion (varnasamkara) :

Kauțilya (p. 343) disapproves of Brāhmaņa recruits to the army.

If a Brāhmaṇa takes to trade, he is interdicted from trading in specified articles (Yājfāvalkya, III., 36-39.) If he takes to money lending, he should not practise usury (Manu, X, 117)

savings the requirements of three years.\(^1\) He must teach pupils without asking for fees.\(^2\) Not only were lucrative economic careers shut out to him, but he could never aspire to be a king, though he might, as often happened, become a king-maker. Under the strict law, he had to live literally from hand to mouth. The fear of his being lowered by the luxury of a city and by a life of public office is behind the advice pointing to loss of sanctity by his continuing to be an official or living in a town for twelve years continuously.\(^2\)

The praise of the Brahmana is fairly general in our literature, but the unique suggestion in Manusmṛṭi that 'the Brahmana deserves empire and the command of the army' may be read, as Dr. Jayaswal has pointed out, as a special plea for contemporary lapses from the ancient rule.' In the long history of India, instances of Brahmana kingship which did not lead automatically to the lowering of the Brahmana ruler's caste, are indeed remarkably few in number. A Brahmana's opinion of a Brahmana usurpation is illustrated by the vehement reference by Bāṇa (the courtier of the Vaisya king Harsavardhana) to the Brahmana Pusyamitra, as an 'anārya,' i.e., one completely outside the civilized pale).

<sup>&#</sup>x27;' ্ুক্ শেষকা वा स्यात्' (मतु., ४, ৬)—' कुमुलः' कोष्टः । কুমুलेन महापरि-प्रहणस्यापि यावता सांवत्सरी स्थितिः भवति तावदत्तशयते । यतो वर्स्यति (११, ७) 'यस्य त्रैवार्षिकं भक्तं' इति (मेघातिथिः).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Manu, II, 245-6; III, 156.

<sup>ै</sup> पत्तने नगरे वाऽपि यो हादशसमा वसेत्। स शहरवं ब्रजेत् विश्रो नात्र कार्या विचारणा ॥ (अध्युरिकिकरी, १९७, १०).

भेनापत्यं च राज्यं च दण्डनेतृत्वमेव च ।
 सर्वेलोकाधिपत्यं च वेदशास्त्रविदर्हति ॥ (मनु, १२, १००).

Dr. Jayaswal regards it as a pointed defence of Pusyamitra. But was he an expert in Yeda and Süstra to justify the Eccatification?

<sup>ै</sup> बलर्र्ड्सन्यादेशेन विज्ञताश्चेपतैन्यः सेनानीरनार्यो सौर्य बृहदर्थ ित एवसीकः स्थामिनम् (हर्षवरित, ed. Pührer, p. 269)

The normal life of the Brāhmaṇa spelt service and poverty.¹ The low rate of interest recommended for loans made to a Brāhmaṇa may reflect the high opinion of his personal honour ordinarily entertained, such as led to his unrestricted admission to the guarded place like the king's seraglio.² It might also contain a common principle with Calvin's rule that interest should not be extracted from the needy, and that the poor should not be asked to furnish security for loans.³

The old Indian law of crimes is accused as having been unduly tender to a Brāhmaṇa but it is doubtful if it was really so. A Brāhmaṇa criminal suffered in two ways more than others. It is an article of old Indian belief that punishment purifies, and that every offence requires a civil penalty and a religious expiation. The Brāhmaṇa was denied the right to expiation. It meant, in an age of faith in Karma and in the importance of expiation, a heavier penalty than death. For certain offences involving fines alone, the

¹ Manu, IV, 1-15; न एदार-एगर्दिः प्रश्चित्रं न यसस्ततः । न विरुद्धप्रसङ्गेन संतोषी च भवेरसदा ॥ याज्ञ., ९, ९२९•

<sup>2&</sup>quot; Even into the royal harem where the ladies were guarded against intrusion by any male person, the Brahmana had the right of entry and could converse with them separated by a screen. नान्त:पुगणां रञ्जणयोगात् पुरुवसंदर्शनं विवर्त । प्रतन्त निर्माणां राजिस्तित नतः पुराणि गच्छन्ति । परान्तर-चेषामालाप (कामसन् 286, 294).

See Pelgrave's Dictionary of Political Economy, 1, p. 205.

<sup>4</sup> राजिभः कृतदण्डास्तु कृत्वा पापानि मानवाः । निर्मेलाः खर्यमायान्ति सन्तः सुक्त-तिनो यथा ॥ (मतु., ८, ३१८).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Thus in Book XI Manu prescribes penances also for the following crimes: adultery and sex-offences, procuring an abortion (XI, 65), perjury, manlaughter, breach of trust, theft, assault and grievous burt, etc.

Brāhmaņa is to be fined four times more than a Sūdra, the social eminence of an offender justifying the presumption of greater cally hard. The rare instances of Brāhmaṇa punishment under the immunities (i.e., Rājatarangiṇi) might testify to the deterrent effect on the Brāhmaṇa of the threat of loss of sanctity and of the right of empirition.

The rise of non-Brāhmanical religions and the Buddhist and Iain challenge to Brahmana monopoly of education and social eminence weakened the Brahmana's hold on society and tended to reduce his immunities. therefore find in Kautilya (and in Arthasāstra generally) relaxations of the old rules regarding the treatment of Brah-Self-protection overrides all other considerations. Therefore in self-defence, even a Brāhmaņa may be slain.3 The identification of the interests of the individual and the kingdom furnished the justification for the capital punishment of treason, direct or constructive. Brāhmaņa immunities, which rested only on birth, are qualified by being made conditional on the retention of worth and virtue by the Brahmana. even statists could not abolish the old Brahmana immunity from the land-tax. As any land which went to a Brahmana became automatically tax-free, Kautilya devised rules like the mediaeval laws of Mortmain to prohibit the sale or transfer of tax paying lands to those who enjoyed

<sup>1</sup> cf. Manusmṛti, VIII, 337-338 and Gautama, 21, 12-14.

² वर्णाश्रमप्रस्ववेक्षात्रद्वरुक्त्यः क्षितीश्वरः । चक्रमान्त्रसिधं चक्रमेलके द्विजयत्तमम् ॥ कृतात्याचारमाटोत्रयः राजा धर्मवर्यवदः । निजप्राह श्वपादेन ललाटतटमङ्क्षयन् ॥ (६, १०८-१०९).

³ गुरुं वा बालवृद्धौ वा बाह्मणं वा बहुशुन्मः । आततायिनमायान्तं हन्यादेवा-विचारवन् ॥ नाततायिवषे दोषो हन्तुर्भवति कश्चनः । प्रकाशं वाऽप्रकाशं वा मन्युस्त्तं मन्युमुच्छति ॥ (मनु, ८, ३५०–३५१).

personal immunity from taxation. When transfers of such lands are to be made, they require, as many lescriptions testify, the prior concurrence of the King.

#### CASTE AND OFFICE

The recommendation of the higher castes for preference to office rests on two grounds. A high educational qualification is prescribed for every public office, and high caste and education usually went together. Secondly, there was a general belief in the connection between high birth and noble conduct. In later times, when the weakness of these principles was recognized, S'ukraniti repeated indeed the ancient precepts of preference but added significantly that offices should go by fitness and dinners and marriages alone by caste.

# Position of other Castes: the Kşatriya

The position of the other varnas in the old social theory may next be considered. The Kşatriya had the right and duty to bear arms for the protection of society. His name was

- े करदा: करदेष्याधान विकय वा कुर्युः । ब्रह्मदेथिका ब्रह्मदेथिकेषु । अन्यथा पूर्वः साहसद्यकेषु । अन्यथा पूर्वः साहसद्यकेषु । आन्यथा पूर्वः
  - <sup>2</sup> विक्रयप्रतिकोष्टा शुल्कं दद्यात (अर्थं, शा., p. 168).
    - Vallers the grant and America W. Acab for 1920-21, pp. 108.
  - ³ कर्मणा सहवासेन गुणैश्तील्झलादिभिः । मृत्यं परीक्षयेषित्यं विश्वास्यं विश्वसेत्तदा ॥ न जात्या न कुलेनैन श्रेष्ठत्वं प्रतिपद्यते ॥ विवाहे भोजने नित्यं कुलजातिविवचनम् । (२, ५४--५७)
- े शस्त्रास्त्रस्त्व क्षत्रस्य वणिक्पश्चक्षविविशः। आजीवनार्थं धर्मस्तु ॥ (मनु, १०, ৬९); शस्त्रणं च प्रजापालनं स्वधर्मस्तेन जीवेत (वसिष्ठः, २, १७); क्षत्रियस्य प्रजापालनं कर्मकृत्यर्थम्, (मिताक्षरा, १, ११९).

held to indicate his protective power.1 Somadeva refers to the turbulent disposition of the Ksatriya, but it perhaps reflects only a civilian's prejudice against a soldier by birth.2 The characterization of the Ksatriya in the Mahābhārata as an ingrate reflects an extreme view.8 In earlier epochs only a Ksatriya could be a king. The Buddha and Maibavira challenged, by precept and example, the Brāhmaṇa monopoly of teaching. But the esteem in which the Brahmana was held in society is shown in the collocation of 'monk and Brahmana' in Buddhist works, when charity and consideration were indicated to worth.4 In the Buddhist theory of cycles of the universe, the evolution of the Brahmana and other castes was put after the future Buddha had been born in the Ksatriya caste.5 Unlike the Brahmana, who could claim no fee for his work as a teacher, the Kşatriya had to be paid to be a soldier. It was his vriti, occupation, livelihood. He had the right and duty to be recruited to the army; in fact he was born to be a soldier.

On the wisdom of recruiting the Kṣatriya in the civil services there is a cleavage in opinion. S'ukra and Bṛhaspati favour the Kṣatriya. A Kṣatriya, like any one else in a society

¹ क्षतात्किल त्रायत इत्युदम: क्षत्रस्य शब्दो सुवनेषु रूढ: । (रघुवंश, २, ५३).

² बलात्कारस्वभादः क्षत्रियाणाम् (नीतिवात्रयास्त, ७, ३२); क्षत्रियः नाऽधिकारी-कर्तेन्य: । क्षत्रियोऽभिष्ठुकः खङ्गं दर्शयति (Ibid., p. 70).

अत्रिये सङ्गतं नास्ति न प्रीतिः न च सौहृदम् ॥ अत्रियेषु न विश्वासः कार्यः सर्वापकारिषु । अवकृत्यानि सततं सान्त्वयन्ति निर्श्वकम् ॥ इयमस्मि करोम्यद्य सहवीं वैग्यापनास्य । कतन्नस्य च्हांसस्य स्वां विश्वासघातिनः ॥ (ज्ञान्तिपर्व, १३९, १६–१८).

Monk and Brahmana equated and associated: see Warren, Buddhism, pp. 105, 192, 229-31, 256-7, 346-7, and 438.

<sup>5</sup> Warren, citing Visuddhimagga, ch. 13, op. cit, p. 327.

which was not national, was free to sell his services to any state, though attachment to the ruling dynasty, through kinship or confraternity, might keep him in the state of his birth,

#### THE VAIS'VA

The Vaisya is the backbone of society. He virtually monopolized the wholesale and retail trade of the community, its capital and its industry. His affluence made him a welcome patron of religion and charity. The luxurious life of cities was usually conspicuous then as now in the Vaisya's household (cf. Vātsayāyana's Nāgaraka). In later times, Vaisyas raised themselves to the throne. Technical departments of the State were advised to utilize the Vaisya's special experience and skill. Judged by modern standards, the Vaisya was economically better off than men of the other three castes. The dependence of the State on economic prosperity, which is the contribution of the Vaisya to society, is denoted by calling the King 'the lord of the Vaisyas' (Vistāmpati).

## THE S'UDRA

It is a common opinion that in comparison with the other castes the S'adras received harsh and unfair treatment and formed the "depressed caste" of ancient Indian society. This view rests chiefly on the rules of the old single recommending harsh and often barbarous punishments, in cases of S'adra assault on Brahmanas or S'adra attempts to teach the Vedas. The vehemence of such rules may indicate their real origin and motive. What was originally a sacerdotal obsession was

े समानैः सेन्यः (बृहस्पति, अर्थशास्त्र, १, ६). यद्वर्णजो भवेदाजा योज्यस्तद्वर्णज-स्सदा (ফুক্ষ.. ४, ५, १५). continued by ill-will towards the Buddhists, who made no distinction between Brāhmaņa and S'ūdra, in their monastic order. This may explain Kaugilya's rules, in spite of his general equitable attitude, punishing the S'ūdra, who masqueraded as a Brahmana or misappropriated the wealth of the Gods.1 He would also punish those who feed S'ūdra ascetics, i.e., the patrons of Buddhist clerics.2 As contrasted with the attitude towards the heretic S'ūdra, the treatment of the orthodox S'ūdra is considerate. The smṛtis hold that the S'ūdra can do no wrong, can incur no sin, need perform no obligatory religious ceremony,8 may take up any economic avocation, and may expiate for sins by penance. The S'ūdra was an Arvan like the men of the three other castes and Kaurilya ruled that 'no Aryan may be made a slave'.' The value attached to the Sūdra as an industrious cultivator is shown by Kautilya's preference for the S'ūdra as a very desirable colonist of new lands 6 and for agriculture, handicraft and the drama.6 The S'v dra is is entitled to enter the army and can rise to be its general.7 His personal freedom is as inviolable as that of the Brahmana. To compel a S'ūdra to eat forbidden food or drink liquor is as

<sup>े</sup> ब्रह्म्य ब्राह्मणबाहिनो देवहच्यं अवस्तुणतो राजद्विष्टामादिशतो विवेदीहरू विवास अनेनान्यत्वसञ्ज्ञतो वा दण्ड: (अर्थ- शा., p. 225).

² जीवकादील् वृषलप्रव्रजितान् देवपितृकार्येषु भोजयतः शस्यो दण्डः (अर्थः शा., p. 199).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> न इहे पातक किंचिन्न च संस्कारमहित । नास्थाधिकारो धर्मेऽस्ति न धर्मास्प्रति-वेधनम् ॥ (मञ्ज, १०, १२६).

<sup>्</sup>र न त्याचेस्य दासमारः (अर्थ. शा., p. 181).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> शुद्रकर्षकप्रायं कुलशतावरं पश्चशतकुलपरं प्राप्तं क्रोशद्विकोशसीमानं अन्योत्यरक्षं निवेशवेत् (Ibid, p. 45).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> शृहस्य द्विजातिशुश्रृषा वार्ता कारुक्रशीलवकर्म (Ibid., p. 7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> पहरणविद्याविनीतं क्षत्रियवलं श्रेय:, बहुलसारं वा वैव्यस्त्रवलंम् । (Ibid., p. 343.)

much a crime as compelling a Brāhmaṇa to do so.¹ Kauṭilya makes his King pray "May Sūdras and Vaisyas be ever devoted to me."² By a close analysis, it has been shown⁴ that there were only four things which the old Indian society excluded the Sūdra from doing, and they were not such as would have redeated the Sūdra swoll inding or happiness, though any exclusion must have caused mental irritation. Initiation in Vedic study and in the hermit's order, religious mendicancy⁴ and kingship are the chief things which a Sūdra cannot have. Three of these were acquired by the Sūdra, when Buddhism became influential and led to the silent acquiescence of Sūdra kingship (e.g., the Maurya) in spite of occasional gibes at a Sūdra king, which may reflect only contemporary spite or chagrin.

#### SUMMARY

The result of the social regulations envisaged by the Indian Cameralist, may be summed up. There was a functional division of labour which went hand in hand with the protection of industry and trade (as the economic foundations of society) from the distraction of administrative and military service and from the pursuit of religious duties. The bulk of the population, which consisted of the third and fourth castes, was set apart for economic pursuits; and it enjoyed considerable freedom. The Varnägrama scheme was social planning on a colossal scale and for all time. Like all schemes that

¹ ह्राक्षणत्रियमभक्ष्यं संप्रासयतः उत्तमो दण्डः। शृहं चतुष्पञ्चाशस्यणो दण्डः। (Ibid., p. 231).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> वर्श वैश्यश्च श्रद्धश्च वरातां यान्तु मे सदा । (Ibid., p. 419.)

B. K. Sarkar, Hindu Sociology, 1921, pp. 90-95.

<sup>ं</sup> ब्राह्मणेन विनान्येषां भिक्षापूत्तिर्विगर्हिता । (शुक्र., ४, ३, २०).

favour regulation it was devised or advocated or used for the prevention of the waste resulting from unrestricted competition. Society is a contract. Other contracts may be dissolved, but that from which society springs, is permanent. A political society has to be treated with reverence, because (to apply memorable words, which equally express the old as well as the modern social aim)-" It is not a partnership in things subservient only to the gross animal existence of a temporary and perishable nature. It is a partnership of all science; a partnership in all art; a partnership in every virtue; and in all perfection. As the ends of such a partnership cannot be obtained in many generations, it becomes a partnership not only between those who are living, but between those who are living, those who are dead and those who are to be born." It is a far cry from Cameralism, ancient or modern, to Edmund Burke. But, the Irish statesman has expressed the aim of the Indian sociologist. Today, when there is insistent advocacy, in the name of society, for the substitution of regulation for freedom in every direction, and for the division of functions and duties between sections of society, so as to create an equality of sacrifice in place of an equality of privilege, there is a temptation for one to see in the opinions of the hour a throwback to the ideals of the ancient Indian Cameralists.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reflections on the Revolution in France, ed. E. J. Payne, 1886, pp. 113-114.

# ĨV

#### CAMERAL POLITY AND LAW

IN modern times, the economics of German Cameralism and the political aspects of ancient Indian works on Dharma and Artha have been unduly stressed. The help which Arthasāstra has given to the lighting up of our past history, and the value of political precedents for modern controversies, account for the many studies, descriptive and critical, of ancient Indian Polity, which we have already had. Their ground need not be covered again in these lectures, except so far as is necessary to give a correct conception of the Cameral influence on Indian polity and jurisprudence.

# POLITICAL THEORY OF GERMAN CAMERALISM

German Cameralism sprang from the movement for economic and political reconstruction, started in Germany after the great wars of the 16th and 17th centuries. The appalling ruin, wrought by the wars on some of the most fertile and populous areas of Christendom, lent colour to the view, which has since been worked up as a thesis by Romanist historians like Jansen, that, economically and culturally, the Reformation was reactionary, and that it set back the progress of Germany more than even the Thirty Years' War. It was

the task of the Cameralist to help to piece together the shattered elements of the German economic and political system, and create stable governments and prosperous communities. His remedies for the evils of the time were two. First, he recommended the substitution of centralized, territorial monarchies for the complex political system, made up of a mixture of feudal, civic, ecclesiastical and imperial elements, all competing with one another for political mastery. Secondly, he devised a methodical administration and jurisprudence; social helpfulness and mutual interdependence were recommended as if they were the laws of God. The concepts of 'State' and 'civil society' were derived from a hypothetical union of men, under a common ruler, surrendering to him both property and powers, in order to get mutual security and comfort. The maximum of necessary personal freedom was conceived as possible only if public affairs were left entirely to the ruler. The many wills must be merged in the single Will.' Grotius had contended that freedom did not carry with it the right to its own permanent surrender. Justi upheld the opposite view, and declared that the people having once for all surrendered their 'fundamental power' to the Supreme Power were incompetent to question its actions. With States as with individuals, the sole ground of activity was conceived to be self-interest. Its own happiness must be the highest law to a State. Political Society is a moral union. Though the sole judge of its own action, the State strives to promote the common good. From such views of State absolutism, the German Cameralist passed to collectivist views. A State is a huge family. It is primarily a unit, and only secondarily an aggregate.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Freedom consists in the unhindered exercise of the citizen's will. But the citizen's who constitute a State have merged their separate wills in a single will." Justin in Small, p. 431.

From such assumptions, a static view of politics was deduced, as well as the details of an elaborate system of administration and law, through which the State was to fulfil its high purposes. The conclusions were stated in the form of worldly maxims, and related to every side of administration. Adam Smith's conception of Jurisprudence (i.e., Politics) as comprising the four divisions of "Justice, Police, Arms and Revenue" is substantially a Cameral classification. Fundamental questions, like the relation between the constitution and the administration, and between the executive, legislature, and the judiciary, and the comparative merits of different forms of government, are neglected. To the practical Cameralist, the administration is the constitution. It is more useful to consider the rival merits of alternative instruments or devices of administration than to discuss the relative value republican and monarchical, or federal and unitary constitutions. "All forms of government" maintains Justi, "are equally good, so long as they fulfil their purposes." "Despotism is not a special form of government, but is merely its abuse." 1

> "For forms of government let fools contest a Whate'er is best adminstered, is best."

### CAMERAL AIMS OF THE STATE

The protection of religion and of property rights, the safeguarding of individual freedom by equal and just laws, drawn up in plain and intelligible language, and the framing of a judicial procedure, which will be expeditious and inexpensive, the development of agriculture, commerce, and mining, the increase of population, the devising of suitable methods of

<sup>1</sup> Small, p. 412.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pope, Epistles. iii, 11. 303-304.

recruiting the army and the public service, so as to secure the utmost efficiency and cheapness, the prevention of private monopoly and privilege, ensuring the security of the State against internal disruption and external attack by wise police and sanitary measures, fortifications and a standing army, as well as by a foreign policy aiming at the maintenance of a balance of power, and the extension of the sphere of State activity in all the directions (spiritual, ethical, and economic) necessary to ensure the stability of the State—are the matters with which the Politics of Western Cameralism is most concerned.

#### COMPARISON WITH ARTHAS/ISTRA

Students of Arthasāstra will perceive a similarity between its political tenets and those of Western Cameralism, and the operation of similar causes leading to similar results. The political teachings of Indian social literature have the merits of greater logic and consistency than those of German Cameralism. The latter after enunciating a social compact and assuming a spiritual background for the State, failed to deduce the logical conclusions from the assumptions. In spite of the accessibility to the German Cameralists of Roman and Canon Law as well as Teutonic law, they failed to use the rich political and jural teachings and experience of their past, in the enunciation of proper schemes of Jurisprudence, as Arthasāstra writers like Kautiliya were able to do. In intellect and experience, even the best Western Cameralists are much below Kautilya and S'ukra.

## GENESIS OF ARTHAS'ASTRA

The classics of Artha and Dharma literature are now generally held to be the products of epochs, which

witnessed great movements against alien invasion or domination. Some of our enthusiasts see in Kautilya and Candragupta the patriots, who stemmed the tide of foreign invasion, and overthrew a domestic tyranny. An unbiassed study of Mauryan polity must result in the conviction that the Kautilīya reflects, if it did not mould, the administration of the greatest of the early Indian Empires, under which the greater part of India was united and protected for many generations. It is difficult and risky to give precise dates to composite works like the metrical smrtis. They enshrine ancient material frequently added to and adapted to the needs of new times. The intuition of some of our scholars recognized long ago, in the periods of Hindu revival and activity of the days of the Gupta Empire, a wholesale synthesis of the cultural material of the epics. Puranas, and works on Jurisprudence. and conceived the epoch as a Indian Renaissance. To the genius of an eminent living scholar we owe the illumination which has lit up the dark centuries between the great empires before and after the Christian era, which divides us by a wide gulf of time the Hindu revival of the S'unga age from that of the Gupta epoch.1 The fascinating story of the manner in which the iron of foreign oppression entered the soul of India during the Indo-Scythian domination, and the national revolt against alien was organized and spiritualized by the Bharasivas and Vākātakas, may be gathered from the fascinating narrative of Mr. Javaswal. To this period belong the extant recensions of the sociological treatises of Manu, Brhaspati and Yājñavalkya and the Smrtis of Vasistha and Visun.2 The establishment of powerful kingdoms, with other causes, dried

<sup>1</sup> Dr. R. P. Jayaswal. (He passed away in August 1937.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The a concluse of the year, therature has since been reconsidered by the author in the large mental in the definition in 1941, Baroda.

up the springs of originally and variety in political life and political speculation after this epoch. This is evident from a comparison of the Kautiliya with later works on Dharma and Arthasāstra. Kautilya's classic reveals the fecundity of the political thought in the epochs anterior to his times. In the later social literature, there is well-marked similarity between Artha and Dharma tenets, which may reflect less deliberate borrowing than a merger of aims and doctrines; first under a common stress, and afterwards under the pressure of an absolute government.

## THE 'ARTHA' IDEAL

Indian social thought, like German Cameralism, expressed itself in terms of a static ideal in policy. There is indeed a glimmer of the ideas of progress and of the adaptation of institutions to the times, in the smrti text which prescribes a different smrti as the standard authority to each Age (yuga); but, the text has been viewed as a special plea for the pre-eminence of a comparatively late smrti viz. that of Parāsara.\(^1\) The conception of progress seems to be wanting in our jural and political systems. The Kautiliya aims at being a manual for all time and for all States, big or small. Its cryptic language, and its very comprehensive motif may account for such contradictory assertions of our day as that it envisages an empire or only a petty State, and that it betrays the vision of a great imperialist or

¹ न कश्चिद्वेदकर्ता च वेदं स्मृत्वा चतुर्युख: । तथैव धर्मान् स्मरति मतुः करपान्तरे-तरे ॥ अन्ये कृत्युगे धर्माक्षेतायां द्वापरे युगे । अन्ये कल्युगे वृणां युगरूपानुसारतः ॥ कृते तु मारवा धर्मान्द्रेतायां गौतमास्स्मृताः । द्वापरे शङ्कालिखिताः कलौ पाराहाराः स्यताः ॥ (२एशर., ३, २१-२५.) only the narrow outlook of the packsting minister of a petty kingdom.

This limitation of outlook is responsible for the suggestion of devices, some of which are the special contributions of of Indian thinkers to political thought. The king who aspires to universal dominion (Vijigīsu) should resort to war sparingly, and build up a confederated empire by the use of an elaborate and complex diplomacy. The success of this plan would naturally depend on the attraction, which this idea could have both to the potential conqueror and to the probable feudatory.

The true explanation for Indian empires not seeking territorial extension outside the geographical limits of India, is to be found in this idea. Hypotheses of the constitutional pacificism of the Indian, of the antimilitatist influence of the Buddhist and the Jain, and of an ideal of political chivalry, which is reflected in the humane laws of war and interstatal intercourse can explain such an attitude, but less than the absence, outside the limits of India, of peoples and powers to whom the Hindu ideas of bloodless conquest (dharmavijaya) and suzerainty (Sānrājya) held an appeal.

# ORIGIN OF THE STATE-INDIAN THEORIES

In classical Indian polity, the typical form of stable government is monarchy, with its fixed rules of succession, primogeniture,<sup>3</sup> and impartiblity. The State derives a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sāntiparva, 58, 25; the whole of Book VII of the Arthas astra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Santiparva, 94, 1; 103, 7; cf. V. R. R. Dikshitar, Mauryan Polity, 1931, pp, 128-130 and 154-157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ऐश्वर्थ ज्येष्ठभागी तु (अर्थ, शा., p. 35)

spiritual sanction from divine creation, and a jural sanction by springing out of an original contract. A low view of human nature, may lurk in the idea that good conduct is to be secured only by compulsion and punishment (Danda). But such a view persists through the ages. Making the king's sceptre the visible emblem of the 'rod of chastisement' (Danda) is one way of expressing the origin of the State in force. It is urged that when Danda disappears men revert to primeval anarchy. In the remote past, the original compact which created political society to protect person and property, was renewed a second time after the tyrant Vena was killed, and a new ruler, Pythu was magically created by the sages and installed as 'the King

¹ अराजके हि छोकेऽस्मिन् सर्वतो विद्वते भयात् । रक्षार्थमस्य सर्वस्य राजान-मस्जरप्रधुः ॥ (मतु, ७, ३; शान्तिपर्व, १४, १८.)

ै मात्यम्याशिभम्ताः प्रजा मत्तुं वैवस्वतं राजानं चिकरे । धान्यषद्भागं पण्य-दशभागं हिरण्यं चास्य भागधेयं प्रकल्पयाभाष्टः। तेन खता राजानः प्रजानां योग-क्षेमबद्दाः तेषां किल्वियमदण्डकरा हरन्ति । योगक्षेमवद्धाश्च प्रजानाम् । तस्मादुञ्छषद्भागं धारण्यका अपि निवपन्ति— तस्यैतद्भागधेयं योऽस्मान्गोपायति । इति । इन्द्रयमस्थान-मेतत् राजानः प्रसक्षिहेदप्रसादाः । तानवमन्यमानान् दैवोऽपि दण्डः स्पृशति ॥ (अर्थः शा., pp. 22-23.)

तस्यार्थे तर्वभूतालां गोप्तारं धर्ममात्मकम् । मझतेजोमयं दण्डमखल्य्वैमीश्वरः ॥ तस्य तर्वाणि भूतालि स्थास्त्रीचे चराचि च । भग्नद्रोत्रण कल्यन्ते धर्मात्र विचलन्ति च ॥ दण्डो हि सुमहातेजा दुर्धरथाक्रतात्मभिः । धर्माद्विचलितं त्रान्ति दुर्गमेत स्थानस्यन्तः ॥

(शान्तिपर्व, १४, ३२, ३३, ४६); समत्वं न प्रजानीयुः यदि दण्डो न पाळ्येत् (16:d., १५, ३८.)

<sup>ं</sup> बलीयानवलं हि प्रसते दण्डधराभावे । तेन गुप्तः प्रभवति (अर्थ. शा., p. 9.)

of men 1. Constitutional limits to the king's prerogative are traced to the oath (Pratijūā) which Prthu then took, to subordinate his inclination to his duty, and to observe the eternal laws of morality. If this legend had a historical core, there would be the temptation to see in it a parallel to the charters of liberties, which mediaeval English kings were accustomed to confer or renew after every interlude of misgovernment.

The history of the theory of the divine origin of the Indian kingship is not immediately relevant to a consideration of the argument of the Indian Cameralist. In common with all Indians of his age, he simply assumed it without question. Indian monarchy may have been born in the pressure of war (as suggested in the Aitareya-Brāhmaṇa)<sup>3</sup> or devised to end internecine strife (as according to Dighanihāya), or resulted from an election sanctified by historiang of the gods (as implied by S'atapatha-Brāhmaṇa)<sup>3</sup> or by the perpetuation of an original defication during sacrifices and the conversion of the Rex Sacrorum into a permanent absolute ruler. To the practical-minded political thinker the need for the king arose from the necessity of a sole executive head for the State. This is why

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> शान्तिपर्व, २८, १३७; ५८८, १०२—११९.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> पालियध्यास्यहं भौमं नह्य इत्येव चासकृत् ॥ यश्चात्र धर्म इत्युक्तो दण्डनीतिन्यपाश्रयः । तमशङ्कः करिथ्यामि स्ववशो न कदाचन ॥

<sup>(</sup>Ibid., 994-995); See Ancient Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 46-49.

³ देवासुरा वा एषु लोकेषु समयतन्त . . तांस्ततोऽसुराऽजयन् . . . देवाऽबुवन्त-जातयां जयन्ति, राजानं करवामह इति तथेति (ऐ. बा., १, १४.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> श्रतिथा., ५, ९, ९, ९२ and ५, ३, ९—Jayaswal, Hindu Polity, II, pp. 15-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ghoshal, pp. 51-52.
<sup>7</sup> Sukraniti, I, 64 ff.

later writers on Arthasāstra, like Kāmandaka and S'ukra, distinguish between the person and the office of the king, and have no word to say against killing a king, even though they will not have the monarchy extinguished.

### HORROR OF ANARCHY

To the Indian thinker, anarchy is horror, and even a temporary vacancy of the throne must lead to anarchy. Powerful social compulsion must be applied to end a kingless condition (arājatā). Society must be paralysed during an interregnum to force it to end the condition. The avocations of the priest, the teacher and the trader must all be brought to a standstill, so as to create the interest necessary for provoking concerted social action to end the state of kinglessness. This is the ground of the very ancient rules that Vedic studies are interrupted by the death of the king, and that a general moratorium on debt runs during interregnums.

#### GLORIFICATION OF THE KING'S POSITION

Unity of political control is exalted by picturesque accounts of the uniqueness of the king's person and office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ibid., I, 26-28,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> अराजके जीवलोके दुर्वला बलवत्तरै: । वध्यन्ते न हि वित्तेषु प्रभुत्वं कस्यन्वित्तदा ॥ (ज्ञान्तिपर्व, ४८, ७१); रामायण, (अयोध्याकाण्ड, ६७, ९—३८).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> विषयस्थे हि राजनि श्रेते (गौतम, १६, ३२) ;

<sup>&#</sup>x27; राजा तु सृतभावेन द्रव्यद्वद्धि विनाशयेत्। पुना राजाभिषेकेन द्रव्यमूलं च वर्धते ॥ (वसिष्ठ, २, ४९); ज्ञातयः श्रीत्रियाः पाषण्डा वा राज्ञामसिष्ठियौ परवास्तुवु विवसन्तः भोगेषु हरेषुः । उपनिधिमाधि निर्धि निर्देशं क्रियं सीकानं राजन्नीत्रयद्रव्याणि ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 191.)

The king is an incarnation of the Deity, "Who is not Visnu cannot be king." 1 He is "compounded of the essence of the eight Guardians of the Universe" (loha pālāh) and shares all their divine attributes.\* Banishment results from disobeying the king.3 Assaulting the king, reviling him and intriguing against him are capital offences.4 Betraying his secrets entails banishment. His sanctity extends to those closely connected with him. It is high treason to seduce a queen. To assault a minister is a crime. No length of adverse possession will give a valid title to to the private occupant of the king's property. Ownerless things find in the king an original and ultimate owner.' All persons and all property are pledged to pay taxes for the king's maintenance.9 Exemptions from taxes and from escheat are marks of the highest privilege of a subject. The king's actions cannot be questioned in his Courts. He cannot be cited as a witness. 10 He is the fountain of honour and the source of all authority. He can punish, and he can grant favours." If the people are trees, the king is the

¹ नाडविष्णु: पृथिवीपतिः ; भूपतिः पिनाकपाणि: (नीतिवाक्यामृत, p. 114).

² मनु, ६, ४-७; ९, ३०३-३१०; ग्रुक, १, ७१-४८२.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See comprehensive list of persons to be banished given by S'ukra (IV. (i), 100-108),

<sup>1</sup> Kautīlya, p. 225; Yājñavalkya, II. 302.

<sup>ं</sup> अन्त:पुरप्रधर्षकं ब्राह्मणं तमप: प्रवेशयेत् (अर्ध. शा., p. 227); सर्वेत्र राजः भार्यागमने कुम्भीपातः (p. 234.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> महाजनस्यैकं घ्रतो प्रत्येकं हिन्नं दाउः (१८८१ . e. 196.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> राजस्वं श्रेनियस्वं च न भोगेन प्रणश्यति (शुक्तः, IV, 5. 223.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> प्रणष्टस्वासिकं रिक्थं राजा न्यब्दं निघापयेत् (मज्ञ, ८, ३०); शौल्किकैः स्थानपालेवीं नद्यपद्वतमाहृतम् । अर्वाक्सवस्सरात्स्वामी हरेत परतो रूपः॥ (याज्ञ., २, १७३.)

<sup>9</sup> See references in Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, p. 135.

<sup>10</sup> Manu, VIII, 65.

<sup>11</sup> Ibid., VII, 9 and 11.

root.¹ A child king, and even a king's picture, should not be slighted.⁴ To Kāmandaka the king is the fountain of prosperity and the source of joy.² He is all the gods in one—Indra, Brahma, Siva and Viṣnu.⁴ Implicit obedience to the king is enjoined. Even a worthless king must be honoured and obeyed, as a chaste wife must honour even an unworthy husband.⁴

The corollary to this exaltation of the king is the emphasis laid upon his personal responsibility for everything done in the kingdom. The force of his example and the far reaching character of his virtues and weaknesses are emphasized. Subjects imitate their rulers. By his actions and by his example, a king can convert his times into a Golden Age or an Iron Age. (Krtayuga, Kaliyuga). The personal weaknesses of the king are national calamities. Their enumeration, classification and discussion, singly and in the aggregate, constitute the 'eighteen sorrows' (vyasana) of the kingdom.

े खामिम्ला सर्वा: प्रकृतवः । अमृलेषु तरुषु किं कुर्यातुरुवप्रयत्न: (नीतिवा-, ૧৬, ২-५.)

² बाळोपि नावमन्तन्यो मनुष्य इति भृमिपः (मनु, ७, ८); चित्रगतमपि राजानं नावमन्येत (नीतिवा. ३२, ६६.)

<sup>3</sup> नयनानन्दजनकः (१, ९.)

<sup>4</sup> 夏耶。, I, ll. 142-143.

<sup>5</sup> निर्वेळोऽपि यथा स्त्रीणां पूज्य **एव पति:** सदा। २५५७: विगुणोऽप्येवं पूज्य **एव** प्रआपर्ति:। (नारद, p. 218, V. 22.)

<sup>6</sup> यद्यदाचरते राजा तत्प्रजानां स्म रोचते । (शान्तिपर्व, ७५, ४); 'यथा राजा तथा प्रजाः'।

<sup>7</sup> शुक्र., ४, १, ५८-६१; मनु, ९, ३०१. राजा वा कालकारणस् (शान्ति., ६९,६) युगप्रवर्तको राजा धर्माधर्मप्रशिक्षणात् (शुक्र,४,१,१०.)

<sup>8</sup> Semade a deals with eighteen in ch. XVI : मतु, ७, ४५-५२ ; दशकामस-मुस्थानि तथाही क्रोधजानि च । व्हननानि दुरन्तानि प्रथसेन विदर्जवेत् ॥ क्रामजेष्ठ प्रसक्ते The careful education of a prince is a social necessity. The grown-up prince should come to the throne only as an educated, high-minded, self-controlled man of the loftiest character and ideals.\(^1\) The studies prescribed for princes, the persons who are to instruct them, the form which their education is to take, are all laid down with solicitude and precision in both Western and Indian Cameral works. They reflect the desire that the glorified king should also be the typical product of the best education of his age.

The king is the pivot of Cameral political theory. It has been suggested that, by taking this line, Indian thought took an unphilosophical turn and that the absolutism which was the consequence, is an evil legacy of the Biālmana books. This criticism misses the essential relation of Artha and Dharma literature, as well as their sequence, and overlooks the causes and real significance of the glorification of kingship and the limitations implicit in the exaltation.

#### CHECKS ON ABSOLUTISM

Indian political theory provides no constitutional check to royal absolutism. When absolute power is vested in the king by a fundamental law, the only ways of preventing its abuse are to create a conscience for the king and bring him हि ब्यस्मेनु महीपति: । विमुज्यतेऽधैषमांभ्यां कोधजेव्वात्मनेन तु ॥ सुगवाऽक्षो दिवास्मा परिवाद: स्त्रियो मद: । तीर्धित्रिकं बृथाट्यां च कामजो दशको गण: ॥ पेशुस्यं साहसं होई दृष्णांस्थार्थयूषणम् । वायरण्डजं च पारस्य कोधजोऽपि गणोऽष्टकः ॥ (मनु, ५, ४५.४७)। 'तीर्थित्रिकं गृत्यपीतवादिवाषि । १, ४८.६५; Kautilya classes Vyasanas, ns seven, ince from anger and four from Cestre (pp. 375-28).

<sup>1</sup> Kāmandaka, I, 48-65; Kaufilya, I, 5-6; Somadeva, NI, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A. K. Sen, Hindu Political Thought, 1926, p. 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rhetorical exaggeration is a familiar method of emphasis in Sanskrit works. It should not be construed literally.

to his senses by an occasional revolt.1 Here lies the significance of the many appeals to the king in our literature to rule justly, for piety as for self-interest, for religion as for expediency. The Social Compact, which is the king's original title-deed is used against him. A contract is bilateral and its terms are binding on both parties to it. Reading it with the purpose of the compact and the stories of Vena's assassination and Prthu's solemn engagement, the conclusion is reached that the king is only a public functionary, a servant of the people. The taxes are his 'wages' (vetanam).2 The enunciation of such doctrines with safety is possible only in interludes of either very good or very weak governments; immunity will arise from the ruler's highmindedness or his incapacity. It is worthy of notice that this doctrine is common to the Hindu. the Buddhist and the Jain. It is repeated by S'ukra and Somadeva. Methods of restraint of the king are suggested. The Mahābhārata would remind the king that he is judged by his posthumous fame,3 that like mountains he impresses only from a distance, and that he stands or falls

पौरजानपदामात्याः स राजा राजसत्तमः ॥ (ज्ञान्तिपर्व, २५, ३६.)

¹ प्रायशः कोपवशाः राजानः प्रकृतिकोपैईताः ध्रूयन्ते (अर्थः शा., p. 325); सर्वकोपेश्यो अङ्गतिकोपेः गरीयान् (नीतिवानयः, p. 43.)

² खभागभुरता दास्यत्वे प्रजानां च सुप: कृतः । ब्रह्मणा खासिरूपस्तु पालनार्थे हि सर्वदा ॥ (शुक्र, १, १८८). The Buddhist sage Aryadeva in Caturs'attifika rebukes a self-important ruler thus: "What stuperciliousness is there O King, you are a mere servant of the multitude क्षित्रकार कर्मा ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> यस्य वृत्तं नमस्यन्ति स्वर्गस्थस्यापि मानवा: ।

<sup>ं</sup> पर्वता इव राजान: दूर्सथा: सुन्द्रशलोका: (नीतिवाक्य., XXXII, 32.)

with his subjects.\(^1\) Unjust punishment recoils on the king's head.\(^2\) The gods shower calamities on a subject to express their displeasure of misgovernment.\(^3\) Judicial fines are tainted money, which the king should not apply to his own use. Unjustly collected fines should be thrown into water as an expiatory offering to the god Varuna, the Indian Rhadmanthus.\(^4\) This rule would put up the king's religion or superstition against his cupidity. A king should study history and traditions, because they contain instances of misfortunes which came to bad rulers. He should cultivate popularity, and conciliate the leaders of the people. The anger of the subjects can scorch a king. Infatuated kings, said Kautilya,

यं हि धर्म चरन्तीह प्रजा राज्ञा सुरक्षिताः । चतुर्थं तस्य धर्मस्य राजा भागं च विन्दति ॥ यदधीते यददाति यज्ज्ञहोति यदचित । राजा चतुर्थभाक् तस्य प्रजा धर्मेण पालयन् ॥

्र (ज्ञान्तिपर्व, ७५, ५-६) ॥ यस्य स्म विषये राज्ञ: स्तेनो भवति वै द्विजः । राज्ञ एवापराचं तं मन्यन्ते किल्विबं चृप ॥ (Ibid., ७५, ४०)

² अधर्मदण्डनं स्वर्गकीर्तिङोकविनाशनम् । (याक्षवल्वय, १, १५७)॥ समीक्ष्य स धृतः सम्यक् सर्वा रजयति प्रजा:। असमीक्ष्य प्रणीतस्तु विनाशयति सर्वशः॥ (मत्तु, ७, १९.)

यस्त्वभर्मेण कार्याणि मोहात् कुर्यात्रराधियः । अचिरात्तं दुरात्मानं वशे कुर्वन्ति सत्रवः ॥ (शुक्र, ४, ५, ९.)

अरक्षितारं नृपति ब्राह्मणं चातपस्विनम् ।
 अतिकं चाप्रदातारं देवा प्रन्ति स्पजन्त्वयः ॥ (शृङः. १, १२०.)

गाददीत तृप: साधुमीहापातिकारी धनम् । आददानस्तु तल्लोभात्तेन देषिण लिप्यते ॥ अप्धु प्रवेदय तं दण्डं वरुणायोपपादयेत् । श्रुतवित्तोपपये वा बाह्यणे प्रतिपादयेत् ॥

(सन्तु, ९, २४३-२४४)। प्रजापाळनाय राज्ञा दण्डः प्रमीयते न धनार्थम्। (नोतिजा., ९, ३.) are known to have been destroyed by the anger of their people. In memorable words which anticipate Burke's indignant disclaimer against the possibility of indicting a whole nation. Kautilya exclaims that it is impossible to charge or punish a whole people. A Machanalian device for catting rid of troublsome princes or a disloyal minister is to make them unpopular and let the people's anger devour them. S'ukra advises dismissal of the public functionary who is accused by a hundred subjects'. Passive resistance is an ever present weapon of protest among simple peoples, sensitive to mass suggestion. The weapon of feeting to death (brāvobavesa), even when practised by isolated persons, can coerce a government by its disturbing appeal to the popular mind, and to the king's own belief in his responsibility for the death of a subject. The Rajatarangini gives several examples of the effective use of this weapon, and the State's vigilance in watching those who were ready to offer this from of passive resistance. The habitual passive-resister is an ancient.

### IMPORTANCE OF THE PROPER

A new doctrine emerges from the emphasis 'laid upon the people (jana) among the seven essential elements of the

- ¹ दण्डो हि महाजनेषु क्षेतुमशक्य: (अर्थ. शा., p. 353.)
- े शून्यपालं समाहर्तारं वा प्रकृतिकोपेन चात्रथेयुः (अर्थ. शा., p. 384.)
- न स्रयपक्षपाती स्यात् प्रजापक्षं समाश्रयेत् ।
   प्रजायतेन संद्विष्टं संत्यजेदिधकारिणस् ॥ (शुक्र, १, ३७७.)
- 4 cf. प्रायोपवेशाधिकृतै: बोधितेन महीसृता। प्रायोपविद्यो निकटं प्रापित: कश्चिद्मवीत् ॥ (६, १४). Among habitual trouble-makers who are mentioned are प्रायोपवेशकुशलाः पारिषदाहिजातयः (८, ७०९.)

State (sabtānga). If the king is necessary to his people, they are no less essential to him. If the king is Visnu the people are also Visnu.2 So argues the mediaeval minister Candesvara, apparently on the basis of the older authorities. The State is not the king's estate, and he cannot divide it among his children or give it away. Those for whose protection the State is most necessary, namely the weaker elements of society, have a vested interest in the maintenance of the integrity of the kingdom, from which alone they derive their security. This is the justification offered for declaring a kingdom to be impartible. An autocrat depends more than a constitutional ruler on the loyalty of his ministers, his personal servants and his army. A bureaucracy composed of persons, who are brought up and are imbued with conviction in the beliefs of the age in Dharma, as well as a militia, whose rank and file as well as officers share similar beliefs, along with a permanent military force (maula) recruited from Ksatrivas, will make a change of dynasty or ruler an everpresent risk, if popular beliefs were outraged. To a ruler gifted with even ordinary imagination such a danger would appear both real and certain, especially as he could recall to his memory instances of deposition or change of dynasty brought about by such causes.

# Power to Make Laws

The power to make laws or to change existing laws has always been regarded, both in the East and the West, as proof

म्बाम्यमात्या जनो दुर्गं कोशो दण्डस्तथैव च ।
 मित्राण्येता: प्रकृतयो राज्यं सप्ताङ्गमुच्यते ॥ (याङ्ग, १,३५३.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> अद्यारभ्य न में राज्यं राजाऽयं रक्षतु प्रजा: । इति सर्वे प्रजाविष्णुं साक्षिणं श्रावयनमुह: ॥ (राजनीतिरङ्गाकर, ७४,)

of sovereign power. Did the ancient Indian king possess it, as his modern successor has been held to possess by the political department of the British Government in India? In support of the view that the king had the power to make laws by edict the authority of Kautilya and the jurist Nărada are now quoted, and a famous verse, found in the works of both (and found also cited as a dictum of the equally famous jurist Brhaspati), is held to support this view. The verse in question states that the four bases (literally "feet," catusbāda) of vivāda are Dharma, Vvavahāra, Caritra and Rājas āsana, and that each succeeding one over-rides its predecessors (uttarah-pūrva-bādhakali).1 Rājas āsana will, under this rule, supersede, in all cases of conflict, Dharma, Vyavahāra and Caritra. If Rājasāsana is interpreted, as it has been by several modern writers, as general edicts of the king, it invests the king with the power that the Tudor kings were given to make laws by proclamation. Mr. Jayaswal refers to it as 'king-made law.' (Manu and Yājñavalhya, p. 74). He goes further and enlarges on Nāradasmṛti bearing "strong traces of monarchist legislation." He regards it as a work of the Gupta period, when there were powerful kings. The interpretation is further supported by reference to the eulogistic passages, recalling those in Manusmrti or S'ukra. exalting the position and person of the king, and referring to his having obtained his position by tapas (austerities performed in a previous birth), and by a picturesque phrase suggesting that the subjects had been "bought by the king's

भर्मश्र व्यवहारश्र चरित्रं राजशासनम् । विवादार्थचतुःगादः पश्चिमः पूर्ववाधकः ॥

<sup>(</sup>अर्थ. शा., p. 150; नारद, १, ५०) with the variant चतुष्पाह्मव-हारोऽश्यसर: पूर्ववाधक: (p.7.)

tabas." Dr. Jolly translated the verse thus: "Virtue, a judicial proceeding, documentary evidence, and an edict from the king are the four feet of a lawsuit. Each following one is superior to the one previously named." (S. B. E., XXXIII, 1889. p. 7). The next verse which explained the sloka, was translated by him thus: "There virtue is based on truth: a. iudicial proceeding (rests) on the statements of witnesses; documentary evidence (rests) on declarations reduced to writing; an edict (depends) on the pleasure of the king." (Ibid., pp. 7-8). This loose translation has been surpassed by Mr. Shama Sastri's version of the identical verses in the Kautilīya Arthasāstra: (p. 150): "Sacred law (dharma), evidence (vvavahāra), history (caritra) and edicts of kings (rājasāsana) are the four legs of Law. Of these four in order, the later is superior to the one previously named." (Eng. Trn. 1929, p. 170). How far these renderings have departed from accuracy may be seen if the terms are correctly rendered, in harmony with the next verse and legal usage. The word vvavahāra in www.ahārārtha means 'subject matter of a suit'. Its validity depends in descending order on the king's (or court's) order. (rājasāsanam, as the king was the judge also),2 customary law as recorded in books or registers (curitram bustakarane. according to Nārada, and sangrahe pumsām, according to Kautilya), the matter in dispute (vyavahāra, as deposed by witnesses, vvavahārastu sāksisu) and Dharma, which is rooted in Truth (satyasthito Dharmah). Dharma is rendered by 'equity, religion, reprairy the legal norm or ideal' etc. In

भत्र सल्वस्थितो धर्मी व्यवहारस्तु साक्षिष्ठ । चरित्रं पुस्तकरणे राज्ञासाज्ञा तु ज्ञासनम् ॥ (p. 150) । नारदस्यति : ... : : प्रस्कृत्यःम्,

<sup>\*</sup>This point is important as the rules relate to procedure in trials and not to law in general. This has been overlooked.

the view of those who have seen in these verses proof of royal absolutism, the king can make or unmake law by his fiat. even if it contravenes morality, religion or the ideals of life. A claim for absolute authority in a king can go no further. The circumstance that Kautilya (or Canakya) was the allpowerful minister of Candragupta Maurya, who owed the throne to him, perhaps suggested the idea that he was an advocate of autocracy. What has been forgotten is that the same authorities that are held to stand for regal absolutism have declared in unequivocal language that in any conflict of Dharma with vyavahāra-s'āstra, the latter must give way. The further rule of Kautilya that where there seems to be a discord between Dharma and Nyāya (logic, reason) the two should be reconciled by interpreting Dharma logically, as otherwise the rule will be absurd (nyāyastatra pramānam syāt-tatra þātho hi nasyati p. 150).1 In the same paragraph, Kautilya enjoins the king to act in strict conformity with Dharma. The king is the mainstay of the Dharma of castes and orders as well as its exponent. (p. 150). Obviously, as Dharma is of Divine origin, it cannot be inequitable or illogical, and the injunctions of Kautilya, to interpret Dharma in accordance with equity and logic (nyāpa), and of Brhaspati to apply intelligence (cultile in interpretation as otherwise there will be a failure of Dharma or justice 2, are based on this

<sup>े</sup> संस्थया धर्मशास्त्रेण शास्त्रं वा न्यावहारिकम् । यरिमकार्थे विरुच्येत धर्मेणार्थे विनिध्ययेत् ॥ शास्त्रं विप्रतिपयेत धर्मन्यायेन केनचित् । न्यायस्तत्र प्रमाण स्यात्तत्र पाठो हि नश्यति ॥ (р. 150) केवलं शास्त्रमाश्रिस्य न कर्तव्यो विनिर्णयः ।

युक्तिहीने विचारे तु धर्महानिः प्रजायते ॥ [See १,११४ of my edn. of Byhasþatismyti, 1941]

hypothesis. According to Manu only such regal action is will as conforms to the eternal law (stispola-dharma). Yājñavalkya refers to 'Dharma created by 100 king ' (11. 115) ! but the allusion is to orders which are in accordance with Dharma. Manusmrti (VII, 13) calls for compliance with orders of the king (in conformity with Dharma) which he passes in favor or against persons; and they are obviously executive orders.2 Medhātithi, the greatest of the commentators on Manusurti, explains the power alluded to thus, the king orders that during the collaboration of a marriage in the house of a minister or royal invourity (1814) a festival should be held in the city, that every one must appear on the occasion, or that on certain days animals were not to be killed or birds snared, or that debtors should not be arrested on certain days by their creditors, his orders must be obeyed. It is noteworthy that these are just the kind of orders that Asoka has embodied in his edicts.3 The injunction that even in such minor matters the king's orders should be strengthened by an \* abbeal to obey them may show how his authority and attitude to Dharma were regarded as under public scrutiny.

<sup>1</sup> निजधर्माविरोधेन यस्तु सामिथको भवेत् । सोऽपि यत्नेन संरक्ष्यो धर्मो राजकृतश्र य: ॥

(२, ९८६). Jayaswal regards this as an exception to the non-inclusion by Smrti of King-made laws (op. cit., p. 73). अस्विक्षण explains it as referring to accisionary or administrative orders unde twith King: श्रीतरमार्तवर्माष्ट्रपबर्देव समयान्निष्यन्त यो धर्मी गोप्रचार-उदकरक्षण-देवगृहपालनादिहप: सोऽपि यत्नेन पालनीय: । तथा राज्ञा च निवधमीविरोषेत्व यः सामयिको धर्मी यावत्पथिकं भोजनं देयसरमादरातिमण्डलं तुरङ्गादयो न प्रस्थापनीया इत्येवंस्प: कृत: सोऽपि रक्षणीय: ॥

वस्माद्धर्म यमिष्ठेषु संन्यवस्येचराधिपः । अतिष्टं चाप्यनिष्ठेषु तं धर्मं न विचाळयेत् ॥ (मनु, ७, १३).

<sup>3</sup> See Rock Edicts 1, 4 and 9.

In view of the alleged power that is supposed to be given to the king by the verses under consideration-to change the law or legislate of his own authority, it will be interesting to see how the verses have been interpreted by great commentators. Smrticandrikā, the great South Indian digest, illustrates the first verse thus.1 A Ksatriva has through infatuation contacted the queen secretly. When caught, through fear of a death sentence he denies the offence, and witnesses of the act perjure themselves out of humane motive i.e. saving of life. Here there is conflict of Dharma and Vyavahāra (resting on the testimony of witnesses). Again an ābhīra (shepherd caste) accuses a person of adultery with his wife, which is not denied; it is a crime in Dharma. But the accused pleads that, by usage of the ābhīra caste, adultery by its women is not a crime, and that a reference may be made to the recorded usages (caritra) of the caste. Here custom over-rules both evidence (vyavahāra) and mora! law (Dharma), because the law enjoins caste usages to be enforced. A conflict between custom and royal command will arise when the king over-rules the custom of a Brāhmaṇa, which makes his house inviolable, and orders a policeman to enter and search it for an offender who is believed to be in hiding within the house. What o values? gives two more illustrations: by the custom of Malabar (Keraia) adultery is no offence. but in ordinary law it is; a person caught in adultery with a Kerala woman and hauled up for punishment pleads the custom in defence; if the usage is upheld, he is let off in spite of evidence (vyavahāra); but, if the king has issued orders that such adultery should not be committed with Kerala women

<sup>1</sup> व्यवहारकाण्ड, ed. Mysore, pp. 23-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> पराशरमाधनीय, ed., Islam purkar, III, pp. 18-19.

by others, then his command (rajasasanam) will over-ride the custom. Rājasasana is thus not a legislative enactment, creating new law or modifying Dharma or contravening it, but an administrative order issued by or in the name of the king, such as those specified by Medhātithi. S'ukra enjoins the king to draw public attention to laws by beat of drum and by proclamations, but they are only to be declaratory and explanatory, and not creative of new law.1 The idea behind the proclamations is that no one should plead ignorance of enjoined duty or Dharma. Similar motives must be presumed behind the moral edicts of Asoka. In the long history of Hindu India it will not be possible to find any instance of legislation by royal edict, in the sense of creating laws. The nearest approach to it is authorizing digests of law to be made. If it be asked whether the king can make laws on matters not covered by Dharmas astra, the answer will be that Dharma being eternal and based on sources which cannot omit any possible situation, all that will be necessary will be to discover a rule to suit a circumstance, situation or case that does not seem to be covered by a smrti rule. This was the work of digests (nibandha) in a general way, and of parisads for special cases. Such ad hoc committees will be like "Law Commissions" of our times but will differ from the latter in regarding their duty to be to discover and apply law (Dharma) and not make or recommend new law. A great commentator or digest-writer will correspond to the ancient Jurisconsults of Rome. During trials, which were always public, it was open to a learned person, who thinks he could help the court with his knowledge of Dharma, to intervene as anticus curiae, as was done, according to Asahāya, by the Brāhmana Smārta S'ekhara.

<sup>1</sup> Jolly's Trn. of Naradasmṛti. pp, 43-44.

The interpretation of customs was by local assessors. The association of such persons in trials was for this purpose. Caste usages were also apparently recorded in books, like those made by Sir Charles Tupper for the Punjab and Mr. Steele for the Southern Maratha country.

Kautilya refers to a digest (sāingraha) of laws, which embraced *Dharma*, *Vyavahāra* and Customs of castes (jāti), clans or families (hula) and corporate bodies (saingha), that was made by the State and was available for consultation.<sup>2</sup>

## SCRAPPINESS OF LAW IN SMRTIS

This tendency should have been strengthened by the scrappy treatment of civil law in Dharmasestra, which is held up as the pre-emient source of law. Two reasons have been offered for this strange deficiency. It is argued firstly, that smrtis were composed "under an overpowering sense of the meanness and worthlessness of worldly life" and the sages who composed smrtis "showed their natural contempt for worldly affairs by giving them an insignificant treatment in their enunciation of law." Secondly, it is pointed out that all the leading commentaries and digests of Dharmasāstra, which have survived, were composed during the Muhammadan domination.3 The authors of the digests were indeed more worldly than the sages who composed the sautis but they should have felt that their opinions would carry littly weight. except in regard to questions of inheritance, with the Muhammadan rulers of the time. A more satisfactory way

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> C. L. Tupper, Punjub Customary Law, 1881; C. A. Steele, Law and Custom of Hindoo Custes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> देशग्रामजातिकुलसंघातानां धर्मव्यवहारचरित्रसंस्थानं . . , कारयेत् (p. 62)-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> But Asahüra's fragmentary bhasya on Navadasmyti, Medhātithi's Manubhaya and Vis'varūpa's Balakrīda (on Yajnavalkyasmyti) are long prior to the Musica conquest.

of accounting for the neglect of civil law in smrtis can be suggested. In ancient India different kinds of law existed side by side and were administered by different courts.1 Customary law came under caste committees. The laws of group engagization were dealt with by the executives of the group,3 The ordinary civil and criminal laws enforced by the king's courts. The Dharma (canonical) law came before canonical courts.4 This will explain how a work like the Kautiliva omits to refer to the rules of penance and the canonical precepts, which Kautilya obviously did not reject, while dealing very fully with ordinary civil and criminal law. The older smrti (Dharma-sūtra) reverses the order of preference and gives little space to civil and criminal law. The Dharmasāstras of Manu or Yāiñavalkva are not quite like the older smrtis. They represent the fusion of opinion and points of view between Diagram and Artha S'āstra, with a bias to the views of the former. Accordingly, they deal with both civil and criminal law and with penances. The still later works of Narada and Katvavana correct the traditional lopsidedness of smrti literature by

icf. Kauţilya's Dhavn:asthīya and Kaṇṭakasodhana courts for Civil and Criminal trials. Sukra wants them to be heard by the same Court at different hours of the day: न्यायान् पश्चेतु मध्याहे प्वाहि स्पृतिदशेनम् (४, ५, ५, ५४).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> कुलानि श्रेण्यश्चेत गणस्त्वधिङ्कते हुए: । प्रतिष्ठा व्यवहाराणां गुर्वेभ्यस्तूत्तरोत्तरम् ॥ काल्यायन, ed. Kane, 1933. ८२.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> कार्येध्वधिकृता राज्ञा प्रामश्रेणिगणा: कुलम् । गुरुः स्वामी कुटम्बी च पिता उमेष्ठ: पितामहः ॥ विवादानिप पश्येषु: स्वाधीने विषये गृणाम् । वणिक्शिलिप्रभृतिषु कृषिरजोपजीबिषु । अश्वन्यो निर्णयो क्षन्येस्तरज्ञेरेव तु कारयेत् ॥ (ब्यास).

<sup>ं</sup> अर्थ, शा., pp. 147-150.

confining their attention almost entirely to civil law.¹ They were able to omit the penitential sections of the smrti because (having been composed in epochs of powerful Hindu feeling, in which the law courts were presided over by men who were steeped in the traditions of Dharma-sciena they could rely on the omission being corrected by the judges.

# KAUTILYA'S 'CAMERAL' OUTLOOK

The large space allotted in the Kautiliya to the consideration of questions of practical Jurisprudence, and the discussion of political, administrative and economic topics is due entirely to the 'Cameral' outlook of the Arthasāstra. Brevity, clearness, and other question recommended as the desirable features of an ideal code by western Cameralists and Adam Smith, are recognizable features in the legal portions of the Kautiliya.

## THE KING-IN-COUNCIL

The Indian thinker is against 'one man rule,' in the sense of final decisions being taken by the king without proper advice. The dependence of the king on such expert advice extends to both the legislative and administrative sides of State action. The ruler is enjoined to keep a council and habitually consult it for helping in administration.

The set Hamiltonian in Territorian in the construction of the cons

अस्विवान्सत चाधौ वा प्रकुर्वीत परीक्षितान् ॥ तैः सार्थ चिन्तयेक्तिस्यं सामान्यं सन्धिविष्ठहम् । स्थावं समुद्दं गृप्ति छन्वप्रशामनानि च ॥ तेवां स्वं स्वमभिप्रायमुग्छम्य दृथक् वृथक् । समस्तानां च कार्वेषु विद्ष्यादितनात्मनः ॥ (मनु, ७, ५४, ५६—५७).

The different suggestions for the constitution and composition of the King's Council reflect the ingenuity of Arthasastra writers, and probably their political experience and vision.1 The Council is to be composed of the king's ministers. The appointment of a sole minister is deprecated.2 The discussions of the Council must take place in secret and in the presence of the king.3 There is no suggestion that the Council should work in committees or that its labours should be divided permanently between sections of the Council. The strength of the Council should not be too great for efficient discussion by the entire body and for prompt decision.4 In. suggesting a Council of manageable size,3 Kautilya remarks that the strength of the Council of the divine Indra was a thousand, implying that the management of such a huge assembly is possible only for a divine and not a human king. A very small Council is objected to as it will be unrepresentative of experience and wisdom and may become cliquish. A just mean is to be struck and a body of not less than twelve members, representing every side of state activity, forms an ideal Council, which must be allowed to have its say on every

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> अर्थ. शा., p. 13.

<sup>ै</sup> एको मन्ती न कर्तेच्य:। बहुबो मन्त्रिण: परस्परं स्वमतीकृत्कर्षन्ति (नीतिवा., ९, ६६-७९); गुणयुक्तेऽपि नैकस्मिन् विश्वसेत विचक्षण: (वान्तिपर्व, २४, २६)

<sup>ै</sup> तहुदेशः संवृतः स्वयः व्याप्तविकार्णः यक्षित्रारि यस्त्रात्यः स्वयः शा., p. 26. श्रयोध्याकारण्ड, १००, १६, १८—सुसंवृतो सन्त्रवरेरसात्यैः शास्त्रक्षेविदैः । कश्चिन् सन्त्रयसे नैकः कश्चित्र बहुसिस्सह ॥ कश्चिते सन्त्रितो सन्त्रं राष्ट्रं न परिधावति ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> यथासामर्थ्यमिति कौटिल्य: (Ibid., p. 29).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> इन्द्रस्य हि मन्त्रिपरिषदषीणां सहस्रम् (Ibid.).

important question.1 The unity of aim of the State is denoted by the joint responsibilities of the members. Extraordinary care is to be taken to guard the secrecy of the discussions in the Council. He who betrays the secrets of the Council is "to be torn to pieces" (Ucchidveta-mantra-bhedī).2 Coupled with the insistence on the powers of persuasive speaking and learning as essential qualifications for ministership,3 the rule of secrecy will show that the discussions in the Council were free and animated, and that members could express their opinions with the freedom born of the assurance that their views, even if unpopular, would not be divulged, and thereby expose them to popular resentment. The Council is not to consist of mere technical experts. It is to have some of 'outside' or lay experience to control the experts. Nor is the Council to fall under the overawing influence of military leaders. Somadeva enunciates the proposition that those who wield the sword should not control policy -a prohibition obviously designed to secure the pre-eminence of the civil side in government. The views of a bureaucracy could not therefore prevail in the kingdom unless they stood the test of the lay criticisms in the Council. Under such conditions, unity of outlook, and the habit of cooperation between ministers themselves and between the ministers and the king will be generated. Constitutionally, the

<sup>े &#</sup>x27;मन्त्रिपरिवर्द हादशामाखान् कुर्वीत ' इति भानवाः (अर्थ. शा. p. 29) ; but Mannsmpti fixes the strength at seven or eight a tive

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., p. 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> जानवरोभिजातः स्ववग्रह: कृतशिल्यः चक्कुष्मान् प्राज्ञो धारियण्यः दक्षो वाग्मी प्रगब्भ: प्रतियत्तिमान् उत्साहप्रमानयुक्तः क्रेश्यस्व: ग्रुचि: मैत्रो हदभिक्तः शिरुष्वरप्रदेग्य-स्त्वसंयुक्तः स्तम्भचापत्यवर्जितः संप्रियो वैराणासकर्ता इति अमान्यसंपत् ॥ (Ibid., p. 15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> श्रक्काधिकारिणो न मन्त्राधिकारिणः स्यु: (नीतिवान्या., p. 36).

Council was purely advisory and that is explicitly stated by Kautilya and his predecessors. But, the Council was in effect a permanent and effective check on the king. Experience and ability are bound to tell, and the Council represented the best available elements of both. The vitality of Indian dynasties and empires, originally raised on the loose foundations of confederations or rapid conquests, was secured by a balance between the weaknesses of the king and the effective control of a supreme Council, constituted of the outstanding ability, patriotism and experience of the kingdom.

The Western Cameralist was a confirmed bureaucrat. The Arthasāstra may also appear to favour bureaucracy. An elaborate machine of administration, a good diplomatic corps and a powerful army are suggested by it. Preparedness for war is the recognized guarantee of peace. The Western Cameral ideal of inter-statal relations is a 'balance of power,' which is to be secured through the exertions of a diplomatic corps whose methods are indicated with pedantic fulness and precision.

#### THE ARMY

In ancient India, the paramount military needs of the kingdom were met by the standing army, by the social regulation, which reserved a whole caste (the Kṣatriya) for military service, by the provision of a training and a tradition, that fostered military virtues and by carefully segregating the soldier from distraction during military training and in the pursuit of his avocation, by rules problibiting his employment on political or administrative duties. Harmonious relations between the civil population and the soldiery is to be secured by preventing occasions for clashes. Soldiers are not to enter

villages.' A feudal system cannot rise, as the army is recruited on a voluntary salary basis, like any other branch of the public service. The technique of military training is viewed as sufficiently difficult to demand the whole time and attention of the soldiers. Like the ordinary public service, and even more than other departments of the State, the army is to be open to all sections of the community. Wise and humane rules of war regulate the conduct of soldiers to early the inhabitants of an enemy country, combatants or non-commissariat, intelligence corps and medical service are duly provided. A military domination of the State is checked by ruling that a soldier should not have the power to decide policy by entering the Cabinet.

## DIPLOMACY

The Arthasāstra glories in its diplomacy. Great ingenuity is displayed in suggestions of graded classes' of diplomatic agents and of devices by which a king is assured of

े नुपकार्यं विना कश्चित्र प्रामं सैनिको विश्चेत् । तथा न पीडयेत् कुत्र कदापि प्रामवासिनः ॥ • सैनिकैर्न व्यवहरेत्तिरयं प्राम्यजनोऽपि च । युद्धक्रियां निना सैन्यं योजयेचान्यकर्मणि ॥ (श्चक्र-, ५, ९, ९०--९९)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 하면데 ('unpaid') is one of the thirty-two 'troubles' (vyasana) of an army according to Kauţilya (p. 333).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Kauţiliya, p. 343, which refers to recruits from all castes. Foreign mercenaries (atis rta) are condemned by him (p. 333).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Süntiparva on those who should not be killed (ch. 98, 14, ch. 100, 25-29); rules of combat (ch. 95). On booty in war see Medhācidii on Manu, VII, 96.

<sup>5</sup> Kauțiliya, p. 362.

<sup>6</sup> Kāmandakīya, VII, 27.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid., p. 30.

victory in the 'battle of wits' (Mantra-yuddha), which between States is held to be more effective and less expensive than an armed conflict.' In relation to its neighbours, a State forms the centre of a theoretical 'circle,' (Mandala) composed of twelve members (dvādasa-naṇḍala), among whom allies, enemies and neutrals, (actual, prospective, and potential) are all brought in. The variation of policy towards these leads to the scholastic elaboration of seventy-two types of attitudes.' The intricate diplomacy of the Arthasāstra and the discussions relating to the details of the Mandala organization had apparently much interest to kings and politicians in ancient India, though to us, they appear dreary and obscure.'

#### OFFICIAL SECRECY AND CORRUPTION

A feature of the old Indian administration, in which it anticipates the mentality of the modern official is the extraordinary sensitiveness to official secrecy. Betraying ordinary official information is an offence entailing banishment. Divulging the secrets of the King's Council makes the offender liable to death. The setting of such a great value on official secrecy, denotes an atmosphere of suspicion and the fear of official corruptibility, which is also denoted by the penalties for bribery (lañcha) and for official corruption. This is in

<sup>े</sup> पार्थिणहरणाभियामयोस्तु मन्त्रयुद्धादभ्युद्धयः (Ibid., p. 301; and pp. 382-384.) 'Kautiliya, VI, 2, Mann, VII, 154-159; Kamandakiya, Ch. VII, and Notes to M. N. Duti's tra. pp. 85-90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> V. R. E. Drissettas, June vin Policy, pp. 74-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> उच्छियेत मन्त्रमेदी (अथ. शा. p. 26).

b Taking bribes (उत्कोच) entails banishment—उत्कोचक: द्वित प्रवास्येत (अर्थ. शा. p. 209); See also Manu, VII, 124:—ये कार्यिकेम्योऽधैमेन ग्रहीयु: पापचारिण: । तेषां सर्वस्वनादाय राजा क्रयरिप्रवासनम् ॥

line with the low view of human character which sees in force the only means of securing respect to moral rules. Kautilya devotes a long chapter (Bk. II, Ch. 8) to a vivid and a realistic description of every conceivable form of official speculation. The list of possible misdeeds is exhaustive enough for even the present day. Court favourites are classed with thieves and frontier guards, as dangers to commerce. Yājñavalkya denounces as oppressors both policemen and public accountants.1 These instances will show that the elaboration of the machinery of administration had not removed the opportunities for official oppression and malversation. minimize the scope for official irregularities and to help in their detection. Kautilya has furnished standards of allowable expenditure, wastage etc., derived from actual observation and experiment. These details, which roused the irony of Dandin. show the thoroughness of the Arthas astra and the resolution of the men at the helm of the old Indian empire to allow of no waste or deceit.

# THE EXCHEQUER

Kautilya gives the Treasury (as in modern Governments) a general power to supervise and control all revenue producing and spending departments. Periodical audits by the treasury, check inspections by special commissioners, the regular and systematic collection of statistics, and the practical preparation

<sup>े</sup> सार परवरपूर्वे समझाराहरिकाविकः । पीक्यमानः प्रजां रक्षेरकार्यस्थेश्च विदेशकाः॥ (१, २३६)ः

These passages are collected and compared with Dandin's sarcastic passage (Dasakumāracarita, ed. Bühler, vol. 2, pp. 51-55) in Shama Sastri's Introductions to his edition and translation of the Kauţiliyu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> कोशपूर्वा: सर्वारम्भाः । तस्मात्पूर्वं कोशमवेक्षेत (अर्थ, शा. p. 65).

of accounts and administrative reports at the end of the official year are insisted by Kauţilya.¹ All Nītisvāstras require the king to be posted up daily with the figures of income and disbursement up to the day.² Over and above such normal agencies of check and control, every branch of official and private activity is also to be watched by a vast Secret Service.² The members of this body were like the spy or the police informer, who are alluded to with contempt. The constitution of a body of carefully selected and reliable public servents, who could be trusted to discover by their vigilance and disclose by their integrity all official lapses, and which will stand the routine audit is evidence of the zeal to provide checks to the deterioration of bureaucratic government.⁴

## CIVIL LAW

The influence of the Cameral outlook on our old civil and criminal law needs consideration. In civil law, a Cameral motive for modification is the immediate or ultimate advantage of the State and the exchequer. The prosperity of the king depends on the prosperity of the people. "Poor king, poor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Kauțiliya, Bk. 2 and Bk. 5, ch. 2: कर्मसु चैषां नित्यं परीक्षां कारयेत् (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि आषाडीमागच्छेयुः (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि आषाडीमागच्छेयुः (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि आषाडिमागच्छेयुः (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि आषाडिमागच्छेयुः (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि आर्थान्यानि (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि (Ibid., 2, 7); गाणिनस्यानि

<sup>ै</sup> तत्र पूर्वदिवसस्याष्ट्रसे आहे रक्षा विधानगर्यस्य है च শুखुयात (अर्थ. हा., р. 37) : इतरक्ष: समुख्याय परयेदायन्ययो स्वयम् । (याज्ञ., ৭, ३१५).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Kautiliya, pp. 142-143.

 $<sup>^4\,</sup>Sukra,\, {\rm IV},\, 9,\, 66\text{-}68.$  A secret agent is  $S\overline{u}caka$  and an informer is Stobhaka .

<sup>ै</sup> प्रजासुखे सुखं राज्ञ: प्रजानां च हिते हितम् । नात्मप्रियं हितं राज्ञ: प्रजानां तु प्रियं हितम् ॥ (अर्थः सा., p. 39)।

kingdom." The civil law should therefore be such as will stimulate production. The individualization of property to the extent of granting to the members of an undivided family exclusive control over the gains of their learning and professional skill, the provision for even slaves to purchase their freedom out of their self-earnings, the development of the rights of separate property for women, such wholesome rules

The famous maxim of Sully (1560-1641). Similar sayings are:—' The famous maxim of Sully (1560-1641). Similar sayings are:—' The foundation upon which all strength of Small, p. 142); identity of the sully of the happiness of the subjects (Justi, Ibid., p. 379); and 'the chief duty of the mappiness of the subjects (Justi, Ibid., p. 324).

² Gautama (c. 600 : — स्ट्रास्तिनगर्वकेशं वैद्य: कामं न द्यात्। अवैद्या: समं विभन्नेरत (ा.ट. १८०१) ्रिस्तान्द्रिलं इति 'बेद्य: ']; Vasisha allows him to keep part ले १००० कर्ना (प. ५९); Yajfavalkya, (II, 118-19) पितृ-हव्याविरोधेन यवस्यरस्वयम्जितम्। मैनमौद्वाहिकं चैव दायादानां न तद्भवेत् ॥ कमा-दम्यागतं द्रव्यं हृतमप्युबरेतु य:। दायदिभ्यो न तह्द्याद्विद्यं स्व छव्यमेव च ॥; Мапи, (IX, 208)—अव्यक्त्यं पितृरुव्यं अमेण यदुगार्जितम्। स्वयमीहितस्वश्यं सक्तामाने दातुन्वति ॥; also (IX, 206)—विद्याप्तानं तु यद्यस्य सक्तस्य घनं भवेत् ।; Vyāsa (quoted by Apararka, p. 725)—विद्याप्तानं त्रोयंधनं यक्त सौद्याधिकं भवेत् । विभागकाले तक्तस्य गान्वेष्टयमिति स्थिति:॥ पितृदव्याप्तां स्वयमार्जितमविभाज्यम् । (अर्थ. शा., p. 160). पित्रादिवनसंवस्यक्षेतं ययगृप्तितम् । येन सः काममक्षीयादविभाज्यं धनं हितत् ॥ (शुक्र, ४. ५, ३००)।

³ न स्वेवार्यस्य दासमावः (p. 181) । आस्मविक्रियणः प्रजामार्यो विद्यात् (p. 182) । अस्मार्याभिक्ष्यस्य हिन्दां स्त्रमेत्, पित्र्यं च दायम्, (p. 182) । प्रक्षेपादुरूपश्चास्य विद्यन्त्रः (emancipation), p. 182 । वण्डप्रणीतः कर्मणा वण्डपुपनयेत् । आर्थप्राणो ध्व-जाहृतः १ विद्यानार्यस्योग प्रार्थोग वा विद्यन्तिक स्तर्धाः । अस्प्रसम्भवः वाद्यो स्वाप्याः विद्यामभावे स्वामी (p. 185 विद्यानिक विद्यामभावे स्वामी (p. 185 विद्यानिक विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः । विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः । विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः विद्यानार्याः ।

4 Monthly on 152-154. Kaujiya (p. 152) provides that the wife cannot constitute them, the experiment of her Shydhana by the husband (in case of herpatorness for 6.52, factor, familiar or indispensable religious duties), as

as that the whole of a man's property cannot be confiscated except on a judicial sentence,' that the instruments and appliances of production are always to be exempt from attachment for debt and revenue recovery and that cultivators should be granted freedom from arrest for debt during the cultivation season,3 are other illustrations of the operations of this motive. The Arthasastra deliberately reduces the periods of limitation and prescription prescribed in the Dharmasastra.4 and its civil law aims at the removal of uncertainty in the acquisition, use, development and transfer of property. It humanizes the law of debt.5 The ancient right of the creditor to place the debtor under indefinite restraint is attenuated to a vanishing point. The debtor is protected against harassment by his creditor. Unless a debtor is likely to abscond from the country, he cannot be proceeded against simultaneously by several creditors.6 They can proceed against him only

allowed also by Yājfavalkya, H, 100 , where three wave of such tractor when two children have been borne in the macrat, of the munitudities have not not first four forms. In case the marriage was in relaxate or paradea forms, the husband must restore the property or be treated as a thief. These rules go farther than any smiti.

<sup>1</sup> Manu, IX, 242,

² Nārada, XX, 10-11; आयुधान्यायुधीयामां शिल्पहन्याणि शिल्पिनाम् । वेद्या-स्त्रीणामळकारं, वाद्यातीद्यादि तहिंदाम् ॥ यज्ञ यस्योपकरणं येन जीवन्ति कारवः । सर्वस्वहरणेऽज्येतास राजा हर्तमहिति ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> अग्राह्माः कर्षका**ले**षु कर्षकाः (अर्थ. शा., p. 175).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> यत्स्वं द्रव्यसन्यै: भुज्यमानं दशवर्षाणि उपेक्षेत हीयेतास्य । अन्यत्र बाल्क्ट्रद्भया-दित्रवरातिप्रोत्रितवेदालागराज्यविश्वसेभ्यः । विश्वतिवर्षोपिक्षितमञ्चलीतं वास्तु नाञ्च-युजीयात् । ज्ञातयः भोत्रियाः पाषण्डा वः राज्ञामसन्त्रिभौ परवास्तुषु विवसन्तः न भोगेन हरेयुः । उपनिधिमाधि निधि निक्षेपं स्त्रियं सीमानं राजभोत्रियद्भव्याणि ॥ (अर्थ. ज्ञा., p. 190)। दङ्करोपेक्षितमृणमप्रतिद्याहास् । अन्यत्र बालकुद्धन्याधित etc. Ibid., p. 174)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Kauţilīya, pp. 174-177.

<sup>6</sup> नानार्णसम्बाये नैको द्वौ युगपद्भिवदेयाताम् । अन्यत्र प्रतिष्ठमानात् (Ibid., p. 175).

in the order in which the debts were contracted, and one creditor at a time. Debts due to the State, and to the unendowed classes which render public service e.g., Brāhmaṇa, have priority, independently of the order of time in which they were contracted.

A debtor may be terrified by the old belief that if he dies without discharging his obligations he will be reborn as a beast, but he cannot be incarcerated indefinitely. Every opportunity for redemption is to be given to those who are virtually insolvent. The avarice of the money-lender is not to be allowed to paralyse industry and trade by being given the support of a harsh law. The interest which a creditor receives on loans covers possible losses of the sums lent. These are the underlying principles.

# GROUP ORGANIZATIONS

The operation of the same motive is seen in the apparently contradictory treatment of group organizations by the old Indian State. Organization helps production. Accordingly let the State treat generously guilds and industrial groups. The right of association is granted to all persons, workers, merchants, capitalists, religious men and women, and, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> तत्रापि गृहीतानुपूर्व्या राजश्रोत्रियद्रव्यं वा पूर्व प्रतिपाद्येत् (Ibid., p. 175).

<sup>े</sup> ब्राह्मणस्तु परिक्षीणः शनै: दाष्यो यथोदयम् (याज्ञ., २, ४३); मनु, ८, १७७.

<sup>3</sup> Manu, VIII, 177; Yājffavalkya, II, 43.

<sup>ं</sup> अकृत्वा दृद्धि साधयतो वा मृत्यं वा दृद्धिमारोध्य श्रावयतो बन्धश्रतुर्गुणो दण्डः । तश्रतुरश्रावणायामभूतचतुर्गुणः । (Ibid., p. 174).

<sup>े</sup> देशजातिकुलधर्माश्राम्।ग्रेपिक्ट्स: प्रमाणम् । कपैकवणिक्पशुपालकुसीदिकारवः स्वे स्वे वर्गे । वेस्यो यथामिकारमर्थानप्रस्ववङ्स्य धर्मन्यवस्था ॥ (गौतमः, ११, २२-२४)

imitation of them, even heretics and robbers formed guilds.¹ All such groups are autonomous in their own affairs, and their bye-laws are enforced by the State. The heads of the guilds are to be singled out for social and political distinction.² Their support is to be coveted, and their anger dreaded by the king. On the other hand, they are to be watched, in the interests of the State, and their activities in raising prices or lowering wages by combined effort, are to be penalized.³ The formation of new corporations and the alterations of old guild constitutions are apparently to secure recognition by the State.⁴ The guild has a perpetual succession in law,⁵ which accounts for the rules against its acquiring peasant estates in villages.⁴

#### CRIMINAL LAW

In penal law, the working of the Cameral motive is responsible for humane improvements in outlook as well as in treatment. The aim of punishment is prevention and cure, and not retribution. In ages of faith, the deterrent effect of a punishment is increased by giving it a spiritual

भेदे नैवां चृपो रक्षेत् पूर्ववृत्तिं च पालयेत् ॥ (याज्ञवल्क्य, २, १९२)

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Kautilya mentions  $^{-1}e^{\omega}$  , let  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}e_0}$  and a-sanigha, pp. 36 and 242); the reference may be to the Huddhest Sanigha

<sup>2</sup> Strop with this mac to be paid SCCC compas as soform (p. 245).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> देशकालान्तरितानां तु पण्यानां—प्रक्षेपं पण्यानिष्पत्ति शुल्कं दृद्धिमवक्रयम् । व्ययानन्यांश्र संख्याय स्थापयेदर्घमधितत् ॥ (अर्थ. शा., p. 205).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> श्रेणिनैगमज्ञष्टिगणानाप्ययं विधि: ।

<sup>े</sup> पात्रकां क्रिक्ट हैं है क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार (cited by Apararka)—। — मृद्धी पृष्टा वैद्राण्यक हैं दिश्या १००० **धनानि.** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> करदा: करदेव्वाधानं विकयं वा कुर्यु: । (अर्थ. शा., p. 171).

colour. The primitive combination of religious and material elements in the penalties awarded by the community for crimes was similarly continued by the Indian sociologist, side by side with the developed penal law. The aim of the old Indian law of crimes was to adjust the penalty to the psychology of the offender and his class. The methods for the detection of crime are also to take account of the accused person's psychology. Compurgation and ordeals, which were freely used in early times in which efficient devices for the detection of crime did not exist, are discouraged by the Arthasāstra, though they are continued even in the later Dharmas'āstra, more perhaps as a matter of tradition than of conviction. So serious a thing as a man's life cannot be left to the chance of an ordeal. The value of an appeal to God is essentially psychic. To women and children and to nervous people the ordeal adds a refinement to cruelty. To the atheist and the hardened criminal it makes little appeal.1 Kautilya therefore rests the detection and punishment of crime solely on the secure basis of investigation and evidence. Instances in which judicial sentences are found to have been unjustly given, after it is impossible to recall them, should be few, except for offences against the State or society, or outrages against humanity. The death penalty should be restricted only to such cases. The violation of cardinal state monopolies (e.g., destruction of elephants), and grave social and economic offences like wholesale cattle-lifting which may lead to blood-shed, as well as arson and destruction of works

े महाभियोगे निर्धमें कृतन्ने हीयकृत्सित । नास्तिके दृष्टदोषे च कोश्चपानं विवर्जयेत् ॥ (ब्रहस्पति:) Somadeva (27, 15) takes the same view.

² हस्तिषातिनं हन्युः।.. हस्तिप्रधानो विजयो राज्ञाम् (अर्थ. शा., p. 50).

of irrigation are specifically made capital offences and their justification is obvious. The cruel punishments of maiming and mutilation are continued by the Arthasāstra apparently more for the segregation of the known social offender than as a measure of retributive justice. In many cases, the option is given to compound corporal punishment by fines. S'ukra suggests banishment or deportation to islands for offences for which what is desired as a penalty is to get rid of a noxious person from society. The basic principle of the Indian criminal law in all such cases is that the penalty should never-exceed the needs of society. Punishment has to be viewed both from the standpoint of the offender and of society.

Among the persons whose deportation is recommended by S'ukra are the turbulent man, the cowardly soldier, the man 'who is a thorn to the village,' the householder who becomes a monk without providing for his kith or kin, and the subject who fails the king in the hour of need.

Economic considerations account for the penalties provided in old Indian law for the destruction of trees and plants, for the exportation of articles of primary need to the country e.g., wool (so necessary for protection in winter), arms, armour, war-chariots, animals of use in war, and food grains. It may be recollected that similar motives led in England to provision of the death penalty for the exportation of wool from the country, so late as the reign of Charles II.

¹ उदक्षधारणं सेतुं भिन्दतः तन्नेवाष्मु निमज्जनम् । (अर्थ. शा., p. 227).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> पुरो वनस्पतीनां पुष्पफलच्छायावतां प्ररोहच्छेदने षङ्गुणः। श्रुद्रशाखाच्छेदने द्वादशपणः। पीनशाखाच्छेदने चतुर्विशतिपणः। स्कन्धवधे पूर्वसाहसदण्डः। समुच्छित्तौ मध्यमः॥ अर्थः शा., p. 197).

<sup>े</sup> रुखतर्मरुवचलीहर्यरज्ञधन्यपञ्जलं अन्यतमानिर्वाद्यं निर्वाहयतो यथाऽवधुषितो दण्डः पण्यनासन्ध (अर्थः शा., p. 111)

<sup>4</sup> Adam Smith, Lectures on Justice, etc. ed. Cannan, p. 136.

## LIBERTY AND CITIZENSHIP

A review of the politics of Indian Cameralism will be incomplete without a reference to the Indian conceptions of personal freedom, citizenship and loyalty. The fundamental conceptions of old Indian society preclude the possibility of drawing any distinction between public and private duties in ancient India. When life is an organic whole, its division into water-tight public and private compartments is impossible. The suggestion that the king is always a public person is quite as valid as the conception of the subject as always an equally public person. Every one, from the king to the man in the street, has his appointed duties and functions. He enjoys unfettered liberty of action only outside the obligation to discharge these duties. No one is absolutely free, not even the king. Somadeva's recommendation of 'freedom as the best tonic of man,' and the assertion of the Mahābhārata that 'every one is king in his own house'1 merely reflect the temper of the times, which sought to put some limit to State intervention in the ordinary lives of the people. The Indian view emphasizes obligations, not rights. The prejudice against the foreigner may be social, but it is not political. There is no expression in Sanskrit which has the exact sense of 'citizen' and 'citizenship.'2 Such terms as prajā, prakṛti, paura, and jana, convey none of the implications of the civil rights of the citizen. Suffrage follows status. If citizenship is to be defined merely by political obligation, by habitual obedience to the State and the payment of taxes, we can bring within the body of citizens

<sup>&#</sup>x27; सर्वः स्वगृहे राजा (शान्तिपर्व, ३१७, ४८)

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  An English-Sanskrit dictionary gives three incorrect renderings of 'citizen': paura, pauragana, nagarajana.

even the alien who conformed to these conditions during his residence in the kingdom. Political obligation springs purely from residence, and does not connote a personal tie between ruler and subject. The imposition in the Kautiliva of discriminating duties against certain imported articles usually handled by aliens is aimed at the goods and not the foreign dealers qua foreigners. A man shed his duty as a subject by simply crossing the frontier. Oppression led to wholesale or piecemeal emigration. In the settled monarchies or empires envisaged by the Arthasāstra, the tie of tribal or clan allegiance is not translated into a political bond. When it emerges in our history, it does so only in areas under clan domination, like Raiasthan, with added glamour of chivalry and sacrifice, and is ennobled as 'loyalty'. A background of rich sentiment may exist between king and subject, but it is not essential to their relation, as conceived by old Indian polity.

<sup>ं &#</sup>x27; धुळं टा सासुप्रह्यरिहारसीकर्षे फळळाअभूयरस्वेन स्वकर्मणा परकर्मयोगावहजनमा-झानखिज्यामि ' (अर्थ, का., p. 263).

# V

# ECONOMICS OF INDIAN CAMERALISM

#### INTRODUCTORY

No aspect of Cameralism has been so greatly emphasized in the West as the economic, and none in regard to the analogous Indian group of sciences has been so little stressed as the economic. This paradoxical difference should be explained. Modern economics owes much to Cameral thought. Adam Smith was virtually, if not consciously and by derivation, a Cameralist. In modern India, the failure to recognize the interdependence of Dharmasastra and Arthasastra and the practice of viewing them not as allied but as rival studies, and the omission to study the economic ideas inherent in both types of literature, have been largely responsible for the failure to make a synthesis of Hindu Economics. It has been my privilege to make this attempt in other forums.1 To avoid needless repetition, I would ask your indulgence to permit a reference to the Benares lectures on Ancient Indian Economic Thought and to restrict myself today to the presentation of only salient aspects of Hindu Economic thought, which betray either a Cameral bias or necessitate a Cameral interpretation.

<sup>1</sup> See my Ancient Indian Economic Thought, (pp. 19-20 and 24 ff.)

Few languages have a richer vocabulary than Sanskrit, and yet, as we have seen, it lacks words to describe, many modern ideas such as 'suffrage' 'citizen' etc.' In the same way there is no Sanskrit word that stands for Economics. The suggestion of compound expressions in modern vernacular treatises on Economics such as *Dhanasāstra*, *Arthamāmāmsā*, etc., has not been happy.

# UNITY AND INTERDEPENDENCE OF INDIAN SOCIAL SCIENCES

The absence of words which exactly connote ideas does not necessarily imply that such ideas were not conceived. The subject matter of a modern economic treatise can be built up by laying under contribution not one but a group of connected Indian sciences. In attempting a division of labour in science every age makes its own conventions. The fundamental hypothesis of the ancient Indian social sciences was their interdependence and unity.2 While for convenience they could be divided, the formation of overlapping social sciences like modern Jurisprudence, Politics, Economics and Ethics was not then considered as worth the trouble. Specialization is usually necessitated by educational needs. The aim of Indian education was to combine all the necessary branches of study in one curriculum, which would furnish the basis for a comprehensive view of the unity of Knowledge, in relation to the traditional religious background.

# PRACTICE AND THEORY

In ancient India the distinction between the scientific and practical attitude was not normal. Even in regard to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The words given by the dictionary are mata, matādhikāra, mati and anumati which do not accurately convey the sense of 'Suffrage.'

modern Economics, we have high authority (e.g., Sidgwick, C. S. Devas) for regarding the separation between the subjunctive and imperative moods of Economics as futile and misleading. The attractiveness of modern economic treatises lies often in their relevancy to life. Even apart from a notable instance of the presentation of the theory of Economics side by side as a science and an art the attraction of the Wealth of Nations continues to be seen in the combination. J. S. Mill did not disdain to indicate specifically in the very title of his great treatise its. "applications to social philosophy." Considered in relation to such combinations and to Cameral treatises, the Indian position becomes intelligible and justifiable.

#### Varra

Among the Indian Vidyās that which covers much of the ground of modern Economics is Vārtā. Beginning originally as a science dealing with the economics of a primitive community, absorbed in pastoral and agricultural pursuits, it developed, in course of time and with the progress of society, into a study of the economics of industry and trade. A complete treatise on Vārtā, reconstructed from the fragments available in Arthasāstra and Dharmasāstra.

<sup>1</sup> F. A. Walker should the size of fact as position of a discussion of indicates by the investment of the armony of the size of the indicated by the control of the size of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought. pp. 14-17; कृषिपाद्युपास्ये वार्ता; धान्यपद्य-हिरण्यकुष्पविष्ठिप्रदानादीपकारिकी; तथा स्वपक्षं परपक्षं च वशीकरोति कोशदण्डाभ्याम् । (अर्थ. शा., p. 8)। अर्थानयौ तु त्रातीयाम् (क्रुक्त., १, १५४); कुनीदकृषिवाणिज्यं गोरक्षा वार्तायोच्यते । संपन्नो वार्तया साधुर्त ब्रुतेभियमुच्छति ॥ (Ibid., १५६).

will cover the whole field of Economics, with the exception of Consumption and Public Finance.

#### SCOPE OF ARTHA

A similar difficulty is our inability to equate *Dhana* (wealth) with *Artha*. The subject matter of Economics is welfare as well as wealth. It is a man-centred rather than a wealth-centred science. By grouping sciences in relation to their aims, Indian thinkers escaped the fallacy of shifting the centre of interest in Economics from man to wealth. *Artha* comprehended every material object capable of satisfying a human want. In this sense, *Arthasāstra* logically embraced more matter than Economics. In relation to the parallel studies which focussed interest on *Dharma* as the end of life, *Arthasāstra* constituted a combined group of social studies, in which the unity of human nature was reflected in a unity of scientific treatment. It may be noted that *Dharmasāstra* and *Arthasāstra* overlapped, the same topics having to be reviewed from different angles.

# NO MERCANTILISM IN ANCIENT INDIA

Dhanam and its synonyms present an up-to-date conception of 'economic goods,' capital or wealth. The implications of Dhanam in Indian thought are its material character, its capacity for enjoyment (consumption), its appropriability, and its transferability. It is an object of desire, is the source of further Dhanam, and represents the result of past accumulation. We come thus close to the modern conceptions of wealth and capital.

Modern students of Mcrcantilism, especially of its continental variety, have complained with reason of the unfair

<sup>1</sup> Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 21-22.

treatment which the system received from Adam Smith. He attributed to it a "meanness and malignity" based on his own erroneous attribution to it of an unsound view identifying wealth with the precious metals. The misconception was helped because the tenets of Mercantilism passed through a period of active pamphleteering. With old Indian thinkers. such a misconception was impossible. At no time has Indian social thought laid an undue value on the precious metals. The monopoly in the trade in metals and the working of mines, advocated by Kautilya, has been sometimes regarded as showing this fallacy. But, those provisions are dictated solely by state or military needs.1 The inhibitions on exports in the Kautiliya applies also to other metals than the precious.2 The absorption of gold in India, which the Roman publicist lamented, was the effect of a concurrence.3 In the absence of a sufficient number of articles of small bulk and high value. which the West could send over the great land routes in exchange for similar articles imported from India, the precious metals had to be exported by Rome. The monopolies of Kautilva are dictated only by military or fiscal needs.4 In trade policy the old Indian economists do not attempt any regulations from a desire to discriminate on protectionist grounds, or on a wish to attract the precious metals.

#### ASCETIC TRANS-

In any society in which the interdependence of human and supernatural agencies is a generally accepted article of

i Kanţilya, p. 85; cf. आकरप्रभवो कोस: कोशाहण्ड: प्रजायते । पृथिवी कोश-दण्डास्यां प्राप्यते कोशस्त्रणा ॥

<sup>2</sup> Ib.A., p. 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pliny, Natural History, VI, 23; Schoff, Periplus of the Erythraean Sea; Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, p. 109.

Ancient Indian Polity, p. 113.

belief, there is an ever-present risk of looking down upon material pursuits and glorifying the ascetic life which kills desire. In the earlier stages at least of social development, economic evolution depends on the growth of wants. If the Indian outlook was solely governed by its first and last objectives, namely 'enjoined duty' (Dharma) and emancipation (moksa), to the exclusion of the other two, material welfare (artha) and pleasure (kima), the result might have been the creation of an ascetic and improgressive society, absorbed in spiritual rather than material activity. The wearisome glorifications of riches, which we find in our Arthasastra and Nītis āstra.1 side by side with vivid representations of the cumulative evils of poverty-the bane of the poor being their poverty-are essentially attempts to correct such a tendency. They are not the outpourings of a vulgar mind." Among Arthasāstra writers, there is an extreme wing, the Bārhasbatvas, which stresses hedonistic motives at the expense of others.3 If the doctrines of reincarnation and the permanence of action (Sansāra and Karma) which furnish the background to Indian belief generally, and consequently to Indian Sociology, had implied an unalterable law of predestination, the result would have been a paralysis of all economic effort. But Karmt is conceived with two sides: the permanence of action is coupled with the freedom to correct the action of one life in another. Scope for Free Will exists within these limits. In order that this might not be overlooked.

<sup>े</sup> क्षणश: कणशक्षेत्र विद्यामर्थं च साध्येत्। न स्याज्यो तु क्षणकणौ नित्यं विद्याधनार्थिना ॥ शकः. (৪. १८६): सर्वराठै हि धर्मकामी। अर्थ एव प्रधान इति कौटिल्यः (৮. ७).

<sup>ै</sup> धर्म च हरते तस्य धनं हरति यस्य सः (१३)। दारिद्रयं पातकं लोकम् (२४); नाधनस्यास्ति अयं लोकः (२२): सर्वया धनमाहार्थम् (२७)—शान्तिपर्व. ८.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Max Müller—Six Systems of Indian Philosophy, pp. 94-96; Sarvadars anasamgraha, ed. Abhyankar, pp. 2-15.

the relative bearing on human life of the divine and human influence (daiva and purusakāra), as well as the importance of individual effort (utthāna) are pointedly stated by Indian thinkers in the political as in the economic field. In the same way, the position and the powers of the king i.e., the State, are magnified, but that of the individual is not overlooked. Every extension of the sphere of state action is justified by a specific purpose to be served by it. The area of freedom left to the individual, after collectivist activities by the State, renders it impossible to characterize the Indian economic and political position as even an approach to socialism.

I have illustrated elsewhere the comprehensive and accurate knowledge of the fundamental notions of economic science, implied in Indian literature. They have been collected for illustration under the familiar captions of modern treatises. It will therefore be sufficient if we can now merely review the results, to enable us to arrive at an appreciation of the outlook.

The importance of a suitable physical environment for economic progress, and the ways in which defects in environment might be corrected by state or individual action, were

ा Kauțiliya, p. 258 (दैव); p. 37 (उत्थान); p. 39 (राहो हि वतसुर्यान; असुर्याने धुवो नाश:; वेदाराज्युरुरस्या--(एरावर्गराय, p. 255) मह्म, ८, २०५—सर्व कर्मोदयायतं विधाने दैवमानुषे। तयोदैवमचिन्त्यं तु मानुषे विद्यते किया ॥; याहा, १, ३४९-३५९ ('एवं पुरुषकारेण विना दैवं न सिद्धपति ') and Rajantiuporthoga, p. 312-415.

प्रतिवर्णञ्च सर्वेषां वर्णानां स्वे गृहे गृही ॥

(নাবেংশ্রনি, ४, ३२); যুক্ত, ৭, ३०৭-३০৭ mentions only restriction of freedom to commit crimes. See Fa Hien in Beal's Si-yu-ki, 1907, p. XXXVII.

See quotations in Anc. Ind. Econ. Front ..... 177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ः</sup> त्रयः स्वतन्त्राः होकेऽस्मिन् राजाचार्यस्तयैव च ।

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 150-153. (अर्थ. हा. p. 47).

clear to Indian writers.1 In this respect they responded to the same kind of stimuli as the German Cameralists. Drainage and irrigation are forced on the attention of practical economists in river-drained areas, which are liable to periodical rains and seasonal vicissitudes. It is necessary that excess water should be got rid of, and water required for agriculture should be made available when required. The construction of great works of irrigation and drainage, including reservoirs, embankments and distributive channels, was an economic necessity. The protection of these works was enforced by the law and their provision by appeals to the piety or credulity of the people. The destruction of irrigation works was made a grave offence.2 Their maintenance in efficient repair was a first duty laid upon the village communities and on the agents of the central government. In order that the work might be efficiently done, a green on the the corvee, which was devised for maintaining means of communication, existed in ancient India in the compulsory labour (visti), to which even those without property had to contribute.3 The institution has survived till today as vetti. Even the cattle and servants of temples and of privileged persons and bodies could be impressed on so important a common purpose.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> अन्देषां दा बहतां भूतिमार्गवृद्धोपकरणा**नु**न्नहं सहोद्दरमाहार्योदकं वा सेतुं बन्धयेत्।

<sup>े</sup> उरक्षधारणं सेतुं वा भिन्दत तन्नैव निमजनम् । अनुरक्षमुत्तमसाहसदण्डः । (अर्थः शा., p. 227) sec also मतु, ९, २७९, २९१, याज्ञ., २, २७८. The digests quote more authorities.

³ संभूय सेतुबनधादप्रकामत: कर्मकरबलीवर्दाः कर्म कुर्युः। व्ययकर्मणि च भागी स्यात्। न चांशं लभेत। (अर्थ. शा., p. 47); दण्डविष्ठिकराव्योधेः रक्षेतुपहतां कृषिम् (Ibid., p. 48); viṣṭi, forced labour, was to receive wages at 60 १०१८०४, a month (Ibid., p. 246); see also Ibid., pp. 169-170.

<sup>4</sup> पुण्यस्थानारामाणां च (अर्थ, शा., p. 47).

In the same manner man's dependence on animal husbandry is responsible for the rules, combining piety and business, eulogizing the rearing and humane treatment of cattle (gorakṣaṇa), for the provision of pasture lands, and for the imposition of the highest punishment in the Mauryan penal code, short of death, on the cattle-raider.

#### SOCIAL POSTULATES

Among the social possulates of Indian thought, those which had a direct economic bearing are the inculcation of the necessity of Varnāsramadharma in society and of individual freedom and property. The organization of an entire people by a system, which divided and subdivided them into hereditary functional groups, has two economic implications: viz. (1) the organic unity of all functions and activities as well as their interdependence both in the individual and in the community, and (2) the value of discipline for ordered social life. The Varnāsramadharma system has to be viewed as economic planning on a vast scale, crossing political and geographical boundaries. Apart from any question of its beneficial or baneful effects on society as a whole or on its component elements, such colossal planning may be construed as a sign of remarkable prevision and courage in our ancient thinkers, who utilized or developed the system even if they did not create it.3

3

## ORIGIN OF THE STATE

The dependence of man on political union, for his economic progress, is enforced by theories of the origin of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> उपनिवेशदिग्भागे गोप्रचारान् वळान्वयते वा गवां रक्षासामध्यांच (अर्थ, का ्रः, 131)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> स्वयं हन्ता घातथिता हर्ता हारथिता च वध्य: (Ibid., p. 129).

<sup>3</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 68-70.

the State in contract or in divine creation. In actual economic life, the implications of the theory were twofold. From the standpoint of the individual, it meant the mortgaging of . all his effects to the State and the enforcement of the right of the State to do all that was necessary to stabilize itself at the expense of individuals; and from the standpoint of the State they amounted to the acceptance of the obligation to give adequate protection to normal economic activity. within and without the community. The contract theories necessarily involve a low view of human nature, as the natural depravity of man is believed to have necessitated political union and the creation of a penal law to enforce the right of individual property, which is assumed to have existed before the birth of the State. The regulation of private life, the enforcement of caste and communal rules, and the inculcation of lessons of discipline, morality and hygiene are corollaries to the hypothesis of the State's paramountcy.1

# LIBERTY

To the conception of individual freedom, we owe the protection of free economic activity by well-developed principles of contract. In the ancient Indian State, the individual had freedom to do everything which the State did not interdict in the interests of its own safety or of that of other individuals. Our social writers insist on the right of self-realization (Svātantrya) and unfettered freedom of action (Svacchandavriti) as necessary for normal human development.

Freedom, however, was not an absolute but a relative term.<sup>3</sup> It had to be viewed, from the standpoint of the

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., pp. 44-50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> स्वच्छन्दवृतिः ३४पाणां कर्म गावनम् (बोनियास्याप्त).

<sup>3</sup> Karma implies incluinted suppossibility that therefore beeform where or

restraint implied as well as of the liberties actually enjoyed. So viewed, every one had some degree of freedom, including those usually treated as not free, such as minors, slaves and women.1 This conception made it possible to put forward progressive measures of economic and ancial uplift and advocate the co-operation which was necessary in the spiritual no less than in the material fields. The self-respect of women. in spite of their relative physical disability, was recognized by their right to work.2 The civil, penal and poor laws took account of the sex differences.3 As caste ensures to every one an occupation by birth, so marriage provided a normal career to every woman. The right of the married woman to her separate estate was safeguarded.' The family was understood to hinge on its women. They were the goddesses of the home and the givers of food.5 Similarly the inefficiency incidental to slave labour is corrected by giving

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Jolly, History of Hindu Law, 1880, Lect. 4, and his Hindu Law and Custom, pp. 166-176.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;See अर्थ. আ., p. 114. Kautilya's delicacy is shown by his rule that the lights kept in workshops for indigent women should be shaded to meet their natural bashfulness; the lights are for the inspection of yarn alone— মুস্ববিধ্যাই-মাস: স্বীদ: (p. 114).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The laws of *stridhana* were elaborated to secure her absolute control. *Katyāyana smṛti* is fullest on the topic (vv. 894-916, ed. Kane).

<sup>ं</sup> श्रिय एताः स्त्रियो नाम सस्कार्या भूतिमिच्छता। पालिता निगृहीता च श्रीः स्त्री भवति भारत ॥ (आनुशासनपर्वे, ४६, १५).

the slave a legal status, carrying with it the judicial protection of his person and property, and holding out to him the assurance of considerate treatment and the hope of emancipation.' Kautilya declared that no Aryan could be a slave.'

#### PROPERTY-ITS LIMITATIONS

The economic basis of property rights in chattels and land was virtually the same. Opinion was divided on the question of the existence of the right of private property in land. The controversy is unnecessary and pointless, and is similar to that on the nature of the modern Indian land revenue. In the last resort, the State had the right, in the interests of society as a whole and of the individual proprietors as members of the society, to commandeer private resources and to exact such contributions from property and income as are necessary for state needs. In all productive undertakings, the community as represented by the State is a sleeping partner. In this view of the State, private property is not inconsistent with the contributions made to the State as a co-owner.3 This theory which was first suggested by Dr. Marshall in regard to land, will equally explain the position of the Indian jurist, who denied the creditor's right to interest, where a valuable pledge was used, and who varied the rate of interest in proportion to the risks of investment and its profits.

To the Archae askin, quasilous of production and exchange made a bigger appeal than those of distribution and consumption, on which the 'Dharma' works laid greater emphasis. All our ancient thought accepts, in different degrees, the

Rangliya gives an entire chapter to slaves (dasake/paly, pp. 181-184),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> न तु आर्थस्य दासभावः (p. 181).

<sup>3</sup> Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 56-58.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., pp. 67-68.

psycho-physical parallelism and relationship. Wealth exists for consumption. Material and moral influences react on one another. Accordingly, Dharmasastra condemns heresy and asceticism, on the ground of their being opposed to the accepted religious and social order, and it commends the institutions of marriage and family. Arthasāstra supports these views on the ground of the good of the State. Beggars and social parasites are condemned by the latter on economic and political grounds, while from the standpoint of Dharma, mendicancy is a very restricted privilege normally available only to students and ascetics. The conception of material welfare and enjoyment (Artha, Kāma) are transfused and sublimated by ideas of social service and spiritual uplift. The group is regarded as of more importance than the individuals composing it, and the interests of the group over-ride those of its members. The group, whether the family, corporative, clan or the State, is alone the proper judge of what is necessary for its life and stability.1

The economic unit is the family. Kinship, by blood or by fiction, determines legal rights to maintenance and inheritance. The solidarity of the family is supported by the rule, accepted by Kautilya, that sons cannot call for a partition of ancestral property, so long as both parents are alive. This is a reversal of the earlier Dharmas astra view (as enunciated by Apastamba, Gautama and Baudhāyana) which allowed such partition. In regard to the son's own

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., pp. 67-68.

² अनीश्वरा: पितृमन्त: स्थितपितृमातृकाः पुत्रा: । तेषामूर्ध्व पितृतो दायनिभागः (अर्थः, शा., pp. 160) Малл. IX. 104, takes the same view: Yājĭavalkya (II, 17) allows it after the father's death; S'ukra (IV, 5, 546) concurs in this view.

<sup>ै</sup> निश्ते रजसि मातु: जीवति वेच्छति (गौतम, २८, २); on this Maskari comments इच्छा च पितु: पुत्राणाम् ; See Apastamba, 13, 1-3; Baudhāyana, II, 2, 3, 3.

earnings or professional gains, the other members of the family have no claim to it, unless, as S'ukra points out, the gains have been due to the utilization of family property? The refusal to divide up the family inheritance unnecessarily, and the enfranchisement of individual earnings simultaneously, should be reconciled on the economic ground of supporting what contributes most to productivity. The general poverty of the people made it an advantage to keep the family property undivided. The control of the family by a single head conserves its unity and strength; the family circle furnishes training in discipline and self-sacrifice; and it leads to the natural selection for learning or special training of only those who are likely to succeed and help the family. The joint family is an automatic poor-relief institution. It does not destroy initiative or individuality, but furnishes to junior members every inducement to industry and self-reliance. They are the grounds on which there is unanimity among our writers from the time of Kautilya downwards, in supporting the united family. In regard to self-acquisitions divergence of views persisted. Mitaksara and the late smrti of S'ankha, followed Vasistha in throwing self-accuisition into the common mess and Jimūtavāhana upheld the Arthasāstra view.2 Kautilya's liberal view must have been dictated by the economic needs of a period of commercial expansion. is on a par with his refusal to allow the liability for suretyship 3 and trade debts of a deceased father to devolve upon

<sup>े ि</sup>त्रव्यानां स्वयमार्जितमविभाज्यम् । अन्यवः ित्रवन्धः (अर्थः शा., p. 160): शुक्तः, ४, ५, १५०--थित्राक्षित्वनसम्बन्धद्दीनं ययधुपार्जितम् येन । यः कामम-श्रीयात् अविभाज्यं धनं हि तत् ॥

See Ministry of (North Coronella, person-105). Dry thing (p. 110, cit., person Sektila of Adams  $\chi$ 

<sup>े</sup> प्रतिभाव्यदण्डः . . . नाकामः पुत्री राजन्ती क रिकथहरी दधात (अर्थ, शा. १८९)

the son. The joint family and the village community kept together Hindu society and ideals during centuries of confusion.

An analogous and apparent contradiction is visible in the simultaneous condemnation of the prodigal who wastes his substance, and the miser who will arrow capital from use. Expenditure for mere ostentation is condemned. The substitution of a social criterion for distinction instead of wealth, tended to make the display of mere luxury, unigar and unpopular. The Indian Cameralist did not share the fallacy that the luxury of the rich creates a demand for the labour of the poor. Nevertheless, the standards of life were not low, but glaring inequalities of wealth by wasteful luxury were reprehended. Sukra stresses the responsibilities of affluence, and (anticipating the 19th century socialist) notes that the sources of large fortunes are often to be sought in deception and injustice. Property is theft.

The inculcation of thrift is enforced by ennobling the position of the housewife, commending her education and making her responsible for the maintenance of domestic accounts and framing the family budget.

### LAND

Among the agents and instruments of production, it is natural that land should appear the most important, as it supported the bulk of the people. The profession of agriculture was open even to Brahmanas. Land revenue was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> व्यथाधिक (predige!) is to be banished (Sukra, IV, 1, 101).

<sup>2</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 72-74.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid., pp. 72 74 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid., pp. 186-7: अर्थस्य सङ्ग्रहे चैनां व्यये चैव नियोजयेत् (मनु, १०. ११); कामस्त्र, pp. 238 ft.

Manu, X, 82; Gautama, VII, 7; Yājñavalkya, III, 35 and Nārada, 1, 56-60.

the chief source of State income. Consequently the interest of the State and of the subject coincided in the prosperity of agriculture by the promulgation of wise agricultural laws. Somadeva commends agricultural relief to distressed cultivators. The destruction of standing crops by cattle makes the owner liable for the damage. Even in war, the agricultural population in one's own territory is to be left " undisturbed. The enfranchisement of the Study from all but economic duties, set him free to devote himself entirely to productive work.' The dubious rule in the Mahābhārata that the invader is to destroy standing crops and grain stores of the enemy is, if genuine, the suggestions of an exceptional method of coercion and is contrary to the testimony of Mcgasthenes and the spirit of Arthasastra, which views in an enemy territory potential future acquisitions, and avoids provocation to retaliation. Even troops are to be moved so as not to disturb agriculture.6

Centuries of experience had brough, agriculture to a high degree of technical perfection. Remedies for soil exhaustion, the use of the fallow and rotation of crops, as well as manures

<sup>1</sup> अञ्चसनेन श्लीणधनान मूल्यनप्रधानेन क्रुद्धम्बन: प्रतिसम्भावयेत (नीति-वाक्या. १७, ९३).

<sup>ै</sup> गौतम, १२, १९-२६ ; याज्ञवल्क्य, २, १५९ मनु, ८, २४१, नारद, १४, २८-२१ and कौटिलीय, पू. १७२.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> अभिपुराण, २३६, २२-२३.

<sup>4</sup> The S'ūdra's time is not taken up by religious Juties, and his boyhood by Brahmacarya.

<sup>े</sup> कचिछनं च मुष्टिं च परराष्ट्रे परन्तप । अविहाय महाराज निहंसि समरे रिपून् ॥ (सभापर्व, ५, ६८)

<sup>ै</sup> लगनकाले सेनाप्रचारो दुर्भिक्षमांबृहति (नीतिवाक्य., १९, १६); सस्यानां परिपदानां समये थे महीपति: : सौन्यं प्रचारयेत्तस्य दुर्भिक्षं करोति स: (शुक्र.)

and fertilizers, the cultivation of special crops on special soils and the extension of different types of irrigation reflect this.1 The State helps by judicious laws protecting the villager from distraction by prohibiting parasites, soldiers and the underlings of government from entering villages. Enforcing on the village as a whole the obligation to co-operate in joint works.8 the provision of rules of pre-emption compelling the sale of village lands only to villagers, the legal protection given to agriculturists from arrest during the cultivation seasons and to agricultural implements from distraint for debt,4 and the strengthening of the village communities by arming them with considerable powers of self-government are all proofs of the solicitude of the State for agricultural welfare. The chief public works undertaken by the State or by private individuals, who received commendation or subsidy, were mainly irrigation works and roads.6 The magic of property is recognized.6 Fragmentation of holdings is discouraged by the rules of preemption.7 Absentee ownership of land is penalized,5 while the

Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 78-79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Zbid., p. 80 and p. 187; ए. र. कि.स.च्या १८५५ के प्राचीना या न कश्चीवर्श कुटी: निराश्रयस्वात ग्रामाणाम् (अर्थ. शा., p. 48.)

<sup>3</sup> See note 3 on p. 135.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Narada, 18, 101—यच यस्योपकरणं येन जीवन्तिकारय: अर्थन्य स्थिते हात र राजा हर्तुयहीति ॥ 'सर्वेस्वहरणमसाधरणं, यथस्य जीवनोपकरणं इति रिक्तविषयस् (अयवहारप्रकाश, p. 724.)

आफरफमन्तिद्रव्यहस्तिवनव्यविक्ष्पयप्रचारान् वारिस्थलपथपण्यप्तानि च निवे-श्येत् । (अर्थ. शा., p.47),

<sup>6</sup> Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 80-81.

<sup>ं</sup> ज्ञातिसामन्तधनिका कमेण भूमिपरिप्रहान् केतुमभ्याभवेयु: (अर्थ. ज्ञा., p. 168.)

<sup>ं</sup> अङ्गबतामाच्छिधान्येभ्यः प्रयच्छेत् (Ibid., p. 47).

reclamation of waste is encouraged.\(^1\) A beneficial interest is created by law for the person who reclaims land.\(^2\) Rent is viewed as arising from situation and accessibility, and as not due to mere difference of productivity.\(^3\) On this ground, the opening of the country by roads is enjoined on economic, police and military grounds. The resemblance of the income of mines,\(^4\) forests\(^4\) and quarries to rent is noticed and their exhaustibility leads to the collections of royalty from them, and not true rent.

#### LABOUR

Next to land, labour receives the most attention. Arthasăstra assumes an implied partnership between the labourer and the undertaker. The partnership idea runs through the rules for compensating the workman for breach of contract by the employer. That wages within the come out of produce is understood. Wages are to be proportioned to responsibility and work; a rule which applies to dignified work. The

- ¹ अकृतानि कर्तृभ्यो नादेयात् (Ibid., p. +7).
- <sup>2</sup> निदेशसमकार यथागतकं वा परिहारं द्यात् (Ibid., p. 47).
- 5 Ibid., p. 298 and Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 105-106.
- Kentiliva, p. 17 and pp. 86-87.
- 1 Ibid., np. 48-49.
- ं उपस्थितमकारयत: कृतमेन विद्यात् 'इति आचार्याः । 'न' इति कौटिल्यः । कृतस्य वेतनं नाकृतस्य । न चेदल्पमि कारयित्वा न कारयेत्, कृतमेवास्य विद्यात् (अर्थः शा p. 181).
- ं राज्यार्थ मुक्तारं स्त्रीणां प्रेष्यजनस्य च । प्रस्तरं करपयेद्वति स्थानिक्ष्यासु-स्पतः॥ (मतु, ८, १२५) ; 'स्थानं 'प्रधानं नियोगः ग्रम्थारसादि, 'कर्म ' शरीरत्यापारः तदतुरूपेण वृत्तिः देया । प्रधाने स्थाने स्वत्पेऽपि कर्मणि महती वृत्तिविक्रुष्टे, स्थाने महत्यापि कर्मणि स्वत्पेरयेतदुस्रवातुरूपम् (मेधातिषिः). The principle is applied to wages for every kind of the 'प्रसान करान स्वर्णायान्त्रामें कराति ।

right of association for workmen is conceded. The S'ūdra labourer, according to even Manusmrti can do no wrong, can commit no sin, can take up any duty and can have no religious obligation (X, 126). Certain kinds of work which are necessary to society, such as those of domestic servants, artisans, physicians and public servants, protect their members from ceremonial impurity. While Kautilya will allow labour-saving machinery, e.g., wind-mills, to be used, Manusmyti condemns the substitution of machinery for manual labour (X, 63-66),1 almost in the spirit of Ruskin. But, the old sacerdotal prejudice persists, and certain types of work like metal work continue to be considered impure. (Kautilva).2 Among impure types of labour stand many which have to be segregated for hygienic reasons.3 The right to compensation and specific performance is conceded to both the labourer and the employer. The division of labour, its organization and education, are in the very spirit of the Caste system. The localization of industry is provided for in schemes of townplanning. Reciprocal services between town and country are ensured by forming suburbs of skilled workmen of the same caste. An increase in population is to be secured by the encouragement of marriages at suitable ages and by the

<sup>े</sup> सर्वोद्धारेज्वधीकारो महायन्त्रप्रवनतीम् । आत्मार्थं तु क्रियारम्भो , . . नाहितक्यं चोपपातकम् ॥ (मन्तु, १०, ६३-६६).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> अञ्चयोऽपि कारव: (अर्थ. शा. p, 180),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See the directions of Kautilya (pp. 55-56) for the location of different persons in a fortified town; as also the segregation of Candalas etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> अर्थ, হা,, pp. 185-186.

b Kauţilya's age of majority is 16 for men and 12 for girls; Jayaswal (p. 225) notes that these ages are lower than those recommended by Manu for marriage (30 and 12) and must have been aimed at increase of population.

prohibition of asceticism and vagrancy,' by inducements to immigration, by considerate treatment of cultivators and tradesmen,' and by the provision of the most ample security of person and property to every one. Rules of hygiene and sanitation, which are better developed by the European Cameralists, are no less attractive to the Indian thinker, the aim in both cases being to preserve the numerical strength, health and stamina of the population.

The justification of interest was a secular movement in Europe, which was forced on the Church. Colvin roused a storm by declaring in 1545 that the Scriptures did not condemn usury. In India it was not so. The prejudice against lending out money does not infect writers like Kautilya, who realize the nature and necessity of capital in production. The attempt to restrict the rate of interest by artificial prohibitions is made only in two ways, neither of which offends against the interests of the State. The first is to prohibit the accumulated interest exceeding twice or thrice the principal by declaring the excess to be unrecoverable.

1 पुत्रहारम्मॅातिविधास प्रवजतः पूर्वस्ताह्सदृण्डः स्त्रियं च प्रवजायतः । छ्राव्यवायः प्रवज्ञेताबृहृच्य धर्मस्वात् । अन्यथा नियम्येत । वानप्रस्थादन्यः प्रवज्ञितः नास्य जनपद्सुपन्तिवेशयेत् (अर्धः शा., p. 48) ॥ विना कुटुम्यभरणात्तपोविद्यार्थिनं सदा । तृणकाष्ठादिहरणे शक्तस्तम् सेक्यभोजकः—राष्ट्राहि्वासयेत् (शुक्त, ४, १, १०५, १०८)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Kantilya, p, 47

<sup>5.</sup> The state of sanitary house construction (pp. 166-7). Description of Mansu, p. 234) considers rules of impurity (assauca) for series of impurity (assauca) for series of infectious disease. See Anc. Inst. Reco. Thought, pp. 84-83.

राजन्ययोगक्षेमबहेतु धनिकधारणिकयोः चारित्रमपेक्षेत । धान्यबृद्धिः सस्यनिष्यत्तौ उपार्थावर मूल्यकृता वर्षेत । (अर्थ. शा. p. 174); See बाह्य. २. ६९; राजेन गज-बन्धनिमव अर्थेनार्थोपार्जनम् (नीतिना, p. 119); शान्तिपर्व, ८, २०—अर्थेरथीनि बस्यन्ते गजैरिव महागजा:.

<sup>ें</sup> कुसीदवृद्धिद्वेंगुण्यं नात्येति सकुदाहृता (मनु, ८, १५१) :

S'ukra allows capital to be quadrupled. The other is the gradation in proportion to the social services of the borrowers, *i.e.*, virtually the credit of the borrowers, by varying the rates with the caste of the borrowers.

#### MONEY ECONOMY

In the days of the Indian Cameralists money had come into general use. Judicial fines are in money. Compensations are exacted in money. Public servants are paid in cash. Taxes are paid in money. But as the cash transactions might lead to impulsive extravagance, the option of commuting by grain payments in money and the use of barter are allowed.

Adam Smith represents the European Cameral view in enumerating among the causes of the slow progress of opulence the absence of stock and capital, the existence of a weak government, which is unable to provide security of person and property, oppressive fiscal measures in agriculture and commerce, and a government suffering from a war-fever. He condemns the throwing of large parcels of land into single ownership, as likely to impede agriculture. He shrewdly points out that taxation in kind must depress agriculture because "a dimunition of produce seldom hurts a tenant, who pays his rent in money, as the price of corn rises in proportion of its security." Such views are reflected in the economic precepts of Arthusāstra. Security is conceived as both by

ग्रदा चतुर्गुणा बृद्धिः गृहीता धनिकेन च । अधर्मणीच दातव्य धनिने तु धनं तदा ॥ (शुक्र, ५, ८६).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Manusmrti, VIII, 142; Narada, I, 100. The rule applies only to unsecured debts. Vispasmrti (VI, 3) rejects caste rates.

<sup>3</sup> Barter (pratipanya) is alluded to by Kautilya (p. 59).

<sup>4</sup> Lectures, p. 232.

the State and against its 180.45 Under the wise economic provisions of Indian Cameralism, an economy was realized, "not materially different from the modern in basing welfare on a type of expenditure free from ostentation and just sufficient for the efficient lives of the workers, and the realization of the value of leisure and rest, and on the conviction of the excellence of a moderate income obtained by moderate work."

In the field of exchange, the main contribution of the Indian Cameralist is the interplay of scarcity and utility and the influence of the time factor in the determination of value. He is modern in understanding the effects of the law of demand, and in his analysis of retail prices. The difference between absolute and relative prices is known to him and is frequently expressed, but unfortunately in terms which prevent their statistical utilization. Markets and reasonable freedom for higgling are to be provided by the State. The seller is thought as able to look after his interests much better than the buyer. The cynical view is occasionally expressed that the trader is an undetected rogue. A rule of Kautilya is the

<sup>1</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, p. 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., pp. 91-92. Sukra's 'Law of Value 'is— युलमादुलसत्वाचागुणत्वागुणसंश्रयै: । यथा कामारपदार्थानामर्च हीनाभिकं भवेत् ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> क्रयविक्रयमध्वातं भक्तं च सपिटययम् । ग्रेगक्षेमं च संप्रेक्य विष्णे दापयेत्करात् ॥ (मत्तु, ७, १२८); आगमं निवमं स्थानं तथा बृद्धिक्षयाञ्जमौ । विचार्य सर्वपण्यानां कारयेत् क्रयविक्रयौ ॥ (मत्तु, ८, ४०१).

<sup>ं</sup> प्रकाशविकास्त्रेषां नानारण्योपक्षीवितः । प्रच्छज्ञवाबकास्त्रेषे ये स्तेनात्रविकादयः ॥ (मद्ध, ९, २५८). The profiteer is rated in manifest guilt above the robber!

one which compels all sales to be made in the market and which prohibits sales of articles in or near their respective places of production.1 The reason for the rule has to be surmised. It was probably a measure of police precaution against the sale of stolen property and an executive arrangement against forestalling by traders. Possibly, the rule had its use also as a legal precaution to reduce the occasion for litigation, by compelling all sales to be open and to be conducted before official witnesses. The view of the Indian. as of the European, Cameralist is that it is the dury of the State to produce "cheapness and plenty." This would appear to be more in the interest of the consumer than that of the producer, and to be unworkable in famines. The accumulation of vast stores of grain and food stuff in different parts of the kingdom, as well as other consumable articles in seasons of plenty, and the periodical replenishment of these stores, together with the entry of the State in the field of agricultural trade, were obviously to give the State the effective power to stabilize prices in abnormal as in normal times.2

Foreign trade is to be encouraged by the considerate treatment of the alien merchant. Trade was free. The money tolls and duties are levied solely for fiscal purposes and not for protection. Like modern States, the ancient Indian kingdoms were advised to search for avenues for the expansion

<sup>1</sup> जातभूमिषु च पण्यानामविक्रयः । (अर्थः शा., p. 113). Pines parying active the articles sold are to be imposed on the forbidden scales.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>"</sup> धान्यानां सङ्ग्रहः कार्यो वत्सन्ररयपूर्तिदः ।

तत्तत्काले खराष्ट्रार्थे तृपेणात्महिताय च ॥ (शुक्त. ४, २, २५) ; Kautilya wants not only grain but all necessaries of life to be kept in 'reserves '—तेतोऽर्धमापदर्थं जानपदानां स्थापवेत । अर्थनपद्मित । नवेनाननं शोधवेत (p. 95)

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  For Cameralist views of Becher and Obresiu on Foreign Trade see  $\mathit{Small}, \, \mathsf{pp}, \, 127\text{-}9 \, \mathsf{and} \, \mathsf{p}, \, \mathsf{53}$  .

of their trade in foreign countries and to collect trade information by the establishment of trade agencies, open and secret, in foreign States. Marine trade is to be helped by specific rules of salvage and of help to ship-wrecked sailors.1 Disputes between foreign traders and local merchants gave rise to the application of rules of equity to the settlement of such disputes, resulting in the creation, as in ancient Rome, of a jus naturale to form the nucleus of private international law. The provision of free coinage, the facilities provided for banking and credit, and the recognition of corporations which dealt with them, are other devices for the encouragement of interstatal trade. The existence of such economic amenities was necessary in times when political supremacy was to be established without resort to war, by a battle of wits and the application of a complicated and tortuous diplomacy.

In the field of economic distribution also, the Indian Cameralist displays a sound perception of fundamental laws.<sup>3</sup> The Cameral bias is apparent in several directions. Economic inequality and friction, resulting from the existence side by side of extremes of wealth and poverty, could hardly arise in a society planned on the Indian lines. Wealth furnished no ground for ostentation, and poverty with the distinction accompanying great social service, when illustrated in the life of the teachers and defenders of the nation (Brāhmana and

े जलतस्करराजाप्रिक्यसने समुपस्थिते । यस्तु स्वशक्त्या संरक्षेत्रस्यांशो दशमः स्मृतः ॥ (शुक्रः, ४, ५, ३०९) Also, pp. 126-128 of the Kautiliya. मूडवाताहतां (नावाष्यक्षः) पितेवानुगृह्णीयात् (p. 126) ; piracy to be put down, हिंसिका निर्धातयेत्. अमभियोगश्चर्षव्वागनताम् । अन्यत्र सम्योपकारिम्यः (अर्थः शा., p. 98.)

<sup>2</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, p. 105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A bride had to be given away with jewellery which constituted her Stridhana.

Ksatriya) was deprived of its sting. The ichi-family rendered even apparent opulence ineffective to secure luxurious standards of life, as the family income was distributed among all who had claims on it. Hoarding could not have been easy with family calls, obligatory religious expenditure, and the custom of providing jewellery to women as an indirect provision for the maintenance of portionless daughters,1 who inherited a mother's personal effects in preference to their brothers.2 The contribution of Indian Cameralism to the ethical theory of distribution was the idea that State and Society were partners in all productive undertakings, and were therefore entitled to share the national dividend between them, and that every member of the community was entitled to be remunerated in accordance with his services and his needs. The treatment of land taxation shows a grasp of the above principle as well as of the incidence of a tax on monopoly.3 The wages of agricultural labourers are fixed by law. and their tenure is protected by the State. Violent changes in the prices of agricultural produce could not have been possible, when the State had permanent reserves of goods for maintaining price levels. The rent of land is justly regarded as due to its productivity and scarcity, and the tax on rent therefore is made to fall on the owners of land. It is only in the analysis of profits, and in the determination of "just" profits that one recognizes theoretical weakness in Indian Cameralism.

अवस्थपोध्यवर्गस्य भरणं सृतकाद्भवेत् । तथा सृतिस्तु संयोज्या तथोग्या सृतकाय वै ॥ ये सृत्या हीनसृतिकास्त्रत्रवस्ते स्वयंकृताः । परस्य साधकास्ते त छितकोशप्रजाहराः ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> स्त्रीधनं दुहितृणामप्रतानामप्रतिष्ठानां च (गौतम, २८, २२).

<sup>?</sup> Anct. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 105-106.

<sup>3</sup> Sukra, II, 403-404.

It is all the more singular in view of the large-scale enterprises which the State itself conducted through departmental agency. While the State is enjoined by S'ukra to realize the false economy of low wages, and to guarantee both for its servants and the servants of others suitable accident and provident benefits, the failure of western Cameralists to recognize the value of brains in constructive speculation, and of intelligent economic anticipation in the production of wealth and in the straightform of demonstrated supply, indicates a limit to their vision imposed by inadequate experience and political obsessions.

<sup>!</sup> See the long passage in Sukra (11, 405 (17)) on amounties to labour, measurement boars of work, holidays, provident benefits, etc...

# VI

## PROVINCE OF THE STATE-PUBLIC FINANCE

In no branch of public economy is the interplay of political, economic and moral ideas or motives, which is characteristic of Cameral treatment, more conspicuous than in that which deals with the sphere of the State and Public Finance. The growth of specialization had not only led to the separation, as independent units, of the divisions of knowledge, but it had encouraged further sub-divisions. Such specialization was valued because it promoted or facilitated intensive study. But it was defective in one respect. It overlooked the interdependence of human motives and actions, and rendered the conclusions of the highly specialized divisions of social science increasingly remote from the actualities of life, and therefore of little use in interpreting them.

The aims of the Ancient Indian States like those of the modern, are incapable of description by simple expressions like individualistic, collectivist, paternal, etc. Such terms are more useful in indicating aspects of State outlook than their aggregation. In Germany, in the undeveloped conditions of scientific differentiation of the seventeenth and eighteently centuries, and with a special outlook and practical bias, Cameralists did not realize the need to separate political, economical and ethical considerations from one another. This

was also the position of those who have been styled Indian Cameralists, with a difference viz., that with them the treatment of the elements of social action as frankly interdependent was a deliberate and logical result of their hypotheses. The basic assumptions of the Indian social thinker, in the field of Politics and Economics, were that political and social union are necessary and should be maintained, that by its activities. the State should not only aim at the realization of the ideals of Dharma but strive for permanent and harmonious co-ordination between the government and the people.1 From the assumption of the necessity of the State certain corollaries follow. The theories explaining the State's origin in a Social Compact or in a Divine Creation appear to make the State absolute, and independent of any question of its authority or the capricious exercise of its will. But other implications of these theories qualify State Absolutism and tend to place it within constitutional limits. As no divine creation can be for a sinful purpose, and as the King, as personifying the State, was created to end social disorder or anarchy, it follows that the King exists solely for protection, and that the subjects are bound to obey and support the King. A contract is bi-lateral. The terms of the agreement restrict the freedom of both parties to the agreement. The moral validity of the contract springs from its spontaneous and voluntary character. Accordingly, the payment of taxes is voluntary, and the subject can withdraw himself from the obligation to pay the tax by transferring his allegiance to another State. The tax is a payment for a definite service rendered to the subjects by the king, namely 'protection'. No protection, no tax. The flank of absolutism is thus turned, and the king is reminded that even an absolute

<sup>1</sup> See supra Lecture II.

monarch is only a paid public servant, dependent on his punctilious performance of allotted duties for the due payment of his wage (vetana).

From the Cameral standpoint, Political Society requires an organization and machinery to fulfil its purposes. Such an organization needs paid military and police forces to maintain its authority and to preserve order, law and courts to regulate the relations of subjects and revenues to enable these institutions to be maintained. This is the ground for Adam Smith's division of the subjects of Law and Governament as four, vis., justice, police, revenue and arms.

It is directly to condense the aims of the State. as conceived by Indian Cameral writers, in a few sentences. The difficulty springs from the impossibility of separating economic, political, religious and social motives for action. The practical minded writers on Arthasastra merely state their precepts or conclusions, without explaining the grounds or the principles from which they are derived. This is so with the European Cameralists also. Their theories of the State's sphere of action have also to be inferred from their rules. In the Indian State, freedom and regulation were intermixed. The duty was laid on the State to regulate production and consumption in accordance with the rules of caste-and of the State's economic and political interests. Lirguischlaundertakings were taken over by the State and worked under direct. State management. But as such municipal enterprise did not establish a monopoly in the articles or services concerned.

'cf. S'antiparva, 71, 10-बळिषक्षेत कुल्केन दण्डेनाधापराधिनाम् । शास्त्रानीतेन िष्प्येत वेतनेन धनागमम् ॥ and S'ukra, I, 388 स्त्रमागभृत्या दास्यत्वे प्रजानं च नृपः कृत: ॥

 $<sup>^{2}\,\</sup>mathrm{These}$  are the heads of his lectures at Glasgow, now edited by Dr. Cannan.

scope was left for the play of competition, and private undertakings were allowed to compete with State undertakings. the manufacture of salt and certain textiles, for example, the State factories did not displace private enterprise nor penalize State monopolies existed indeed in liquor, saffron, the precious metals, mining, oil and pearl fisheries; but, their instification rested on political grounds, just as the maintenance of State brothels and gambling dens was justified as an evil necesssity dictated by sanitary and police requirements. Outside the spheres of State monopoly there was no attempt to compete with or restrain the private producer. In the interests of the whole community, restrictions were laid on competition, by the State's regulation of interest, wages profits and tenants' and land-lords' dues. But even there. within the limits imposed by the State, there was to be unrestricted competition. The State's position therefore was that private liberty should be the rule, except where it had to be restrained and regulated either in the interests of the people themselves, or for the stability of the government and the State.

Following the literary practice of the age the functions of the State are suggested by Indian writers in picturesque metaphors. One such figure is that the king should act as a 'father' of his people, and in didactic works, the comparison is developed. Readers have seen in it a sign of the paternalistic attitude of the old Indian State. The German Cameralist openly advocated paternalism, and has come in for much adverse criticism on that ground. The suggestion of paternalism conveys the implication of a distrust in the capacity of the people to look after their own affairs. A paternal regime is therefore viewed as not only springing from a low view of human capacity and nature, but as aimed at keeping

the subjects, in the same low state of perpetual tutelage Individualism builds, on the other hand, on a belief in the honesty and carrier of men. An opposition in aim and effect is perceived by modern writers between the individualistic and paternal ideals. In regard to the Germans three or four hundred years ago, their conditions were such as to make paternalism a blessing. The great masses of the Germans were infants—infants in knowledge, infants in experience, infants in feeling, infants in judgment about the conduct of life. They lived in straitened circumstance. No affluence of natural resources stimulated their ambition and allowed them to effort.1 The dormant powers of the people could be roused and enlisted for the work of nation building only by methods comparable to military operational Paternalism in fact and aim proved an arrangement suited to the conditions of the times and is deliberately advocated by even advanced Cameralists like Justi.2 The conditions in ancient India. atleast of the time of the great empires, were not identical with these of Germany in the Cameralistic epoch. Political organization was only one of several competing and concurrent organizations. The legal and economic system of the Indian Cameralist discloses neither a distrust of the capacity of the average man nor of his honesty. The facile generalization that socio-religious systems are usually hostile to capitalism is disproved in ancient India where the necessity for capital was implicitly assumed and the regulation of interest was dictated by social and economic purposes and not by any hostility to capitalism. In the same manner, the utmost freedom of action compatible with the stability of the social order is allowed to individuals.3 The attitude of the Indian

<sup>1</sup> Small, p. 594.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., 446; Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 107-108. <sup>3</sup> Ibid., pp. 51-52, 140-142.

Cameralist in suggesting paternal treatment is therefore more a recommendation for the creation of benevolent and pious relations between the king and his subjects, as between father and sons, and in a suggestion of the unity of their common interest than a plea for a despotic repression of individual freedom. The elasticity of the paternal conception and its capacity to be applied to societies in different stages of economic or political evolution is illustrated by the difference in details between the German Cameralist and the Indian.

A collectivist interpretation of the ancient Indian State's aim is also opposed to facts. The institution of private property, even in land, and the absence of any attempt to redress economic inequality by the redistribution of wealth or by the penal taxation of the rich for the benefit of the poor. are anti-collectivist. The Nītisāstra may occasionally have a fling at millionaires, but such criticisms are in no way anticapitalistic.1 They only serve to indicate that great wealth has great responsibilities and that mere hoarding is a social crime. The Indian States were indeed asked to step in to help the agriculturist and the artisan in diverse ways. Concessions and remissions are to be given freely to agriculturists. They are to be protected from any distractions, which will take them away from their work, from interruptions of their normal pursuits, from harassment by officials and social parasites, from arrest under the order of the civil courts and from the oppression of soldiers and policemen.2 Similarly the duty is laid on the state to make roads, build bridges, maintain ferries over wide rivers, destroy wild beasts

<sup>1</sup> प्रजा हीनधना रक्ष्या मृख्या मध्यधनास्सदा । यथाधिकृत् प्रतिभुवोऽधिकक्ष्रव्यास्त-थोत्तमा: ॥ धनिकाश्वोत्तमधना न हीना नाधिका गृपै: ॥ (शुक्र, ४, २, २०-२१).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> याज्ञवल्क्य, १, ३३६.

and evil men, who harass peaceful subjects following their lawful occupations, construct great works of irrigation and drainage, improve river navigation and provide conveniences for merchants, both domestic and foreign.' Such duties which were willingly undertaken by the old Indian State, are really due to Cameral feeling of an imblied partnership in all wealthproducing activities between the producer and the community or the State of which he is a unit and to the time-honoured truth, to which Kautilva and Henry IV of Navarre have paid homage in memorable words-of the identity of interest between ruler and subject. This idea of partnership extends to the ownership of wealth also, and will account equally for the obligation of merchants, artisans and agriculturists to pay taxes and subordinate their interests and properties to the ultimate ends of the partnership i.e., the stability of the State. The duty is laid on the state to assist the people to become efficient producers and to live in a feeling of undisturbed security (abhaya). It is not the negation of the right of private property but its assertion, subject to and qualified by the ultimate and predominating interests of the community or State. This is the explanation of the Indian view of property in land. No theory of the State ownership of land will explain fully the mutual relations of the old Indian State and ryot. In extreme Cameral practice and theory, opinion under secular influence might urge the theory of state property in land and natural resources, as in the anonymous sloka cited by Kautilya's commentator,2 but the idea is resisted by all conservative writers on D. streams. Stre and Arthas astra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> प्रजासुखे सुखं राज्ञ: प्रजानां च हिते हितम् (अर्थ. शा., p. 39).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Bhattasvämin's bhāsva on  $\mathit{Kautiliya}$ , ed. K. P. Jayaswal and A. Banerji Sastri, p. 138.

राजा भूमेर्पतिः दृष्टः

and by writers on Mimāmsa.\textsuperscript{1} In the correct view of the relation of property to the State, not only landed property but all property is regarded as mortgaged to the State's dire necessity. The specific enumeration of curious and out-of-the-way devices for "balancing the budget" during years of unforeseen stress, like those described by Kautilya and Sukra,\textsuperscript{2} and practised by grasping kings like S'ankaravarman of Kashmir,\textsuperscript{3} imply, even apart from the rule that an emergency measure should not last beyond the emergency, that all other sources must be exhausted before the State laid its hand on the private land and the private capital of its subjects.

The State's duty to promote the material and moral welfare of the population is a natural deduction from the above assumption. A State is conceived as a perpetual partnership under the direction of a central authority for the doing of all lawful and beneficial things. The injunctions to kings to endow religion, to grant to religious institutions, in lieu of direct endowment, exemptions from taxation, to establish workhouses for the poor and to devise measures for the relief of poverty and suffering, to prevent the spread of epidemics and promote the cure of human ailments and to abolish poverty, unemployment and vagrancy, are corollaries of this idea and derive their justification from their importance to the social partnership.

The survey of the Indian conception of the respective sphere of State and individual action is thus virtually in accord not only with the views of Western Cameralists but the way of approach to these ideas is essentially Cameral.

<sup>1</sup> Anc. Ind. Econ. Thought, pp. 179-181.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kautiliya, p. 240; Sukra, I, 209-210; V, 52-53; Somadeva, ch. 31.

<sup>3</sup> Rajatarangini, V. 160-183.

The system of State finance devised by Cameral thinkers, whether of the East or of the West, may therefore present features of similarity. These resemblances exist. As Cameral ideas anticipated many modern views of finance and have often been praised therefor, so have Hindu theories of finance been described as "modern" in their outlook.

Certain fundamental in Companishments, Western or Indian. distinguish it from modern doctrines of finance and show the excellence of the former. In both, a tax is recognized as a compulsory contribution and as falling in the last instance on persons. In both, the collection of revenues by the State is to enable it to discharge its public functions.1 It may be remembered that till a few years ago the expression "for the use of the public powers" used to be found in definitions of a tax, importing a constitutional idea into an economic definition. The implication is present in Indian theories of finance but it is not embodied in the conception of a tax. The point of cleavage is the different way of looking at the relation of income to expenditure. A tax is a necessary evil, and accordingly it has to be kept as low as is possible. But, the State must fulfil its functions fully and efficiently, and in order that it might do so it requires a revenue-sufficient for its varying needs. It is the task of the modern financier so to raise such income as to meet the demands of obligatory expenditure, which has therefore to be first determined. The Indian financier hardly distinguishes the attitude of the housewife from the financier; both must adjust their expenditure to their income. Normally proportions, from which the income is derived are unalterable, and expenditure therefore cannot be allowed to

<sup>&</sup>quot;Texts what he had I upon all subjects with righteous equality, since the first what with this connection, and all share in the protection and once occesses or the State "(Justi, Small, pp. 381-382).

exceed the income. The expenditure of the State should be planned, says Somadeva, so as not to outrun its income.¹ The selection of this point of view by the Indian statesman, will account for a cardinal defect in the Indian science of finance. While items of income or revenue are detailed fully, in Indian works, types of expenditure are not specified as clearly and precisely, making it appear that distribution between the different heads of expenditure is left to the unfettered discretion of the State, and under selfish rulers such distribution might be subject to capricious alteration. A vicious or war-like Indian king must of necessity starve all departments of the State, since he cannot increase his revenue with safety, and still must have enough to spend on inclinations.

Another difference between the modern economic and the Indian Cameral attitude consists in the recommendation of the latter to build up big state hoards from recurring annual surpluses. The Indian thinker realizes in the sphere of private economy, that the withdrawal of capital from productive enterprise—"fructifying in the pockets of the people"—(Adam Smith) is against sound economy. He forbade private hoarding by specific rules against Brāhmaṇa, Kṣatriya and S'ūdra: How did the application of the principle to the State then come to be overlooked? The explanation is to be found in the nervous dread enterrained by old Indian financiers of Singelia diagram; and of their conviction that well-filled war-chests no less than efficient and powerful standing armies (Maula) were necessary to ensure the independence of States. Indian agriculture depends on seasonal rains. The

¹ Somadeva—आयातुरूपो व्यय: कार्यः, (24, 141); आयमनालोच्य व्ययमाना नैप्रवणोऽपि अवस्य श्रमणायत एव (18, 10); अल्पायतिः महाव्ययो भक्षयति राजार्थम् (24, 44). element of uncertainty is always present in financial systems dependent on regreculture pursued under such conditions of uncertainty. In his indication of budget proportions, S'ukra suggests that about one half of the revenue from local or over one-sixth of the central collections should be put by. If the latter alone be taken as indicating the proportion of annual surplus to go into a permanent war-insurance fund, the further precept of S'ukra that at any time a state must have enough surplus to meet the calls of twenty years will show that the proportion of annual saving is to be considerable. The rigorous persistence by States in the policy of securing recurring surpluses is a normal feature of Indian Cameral theory.

On the side of expenditure certain wise rules are laid down. State should expend in ways calculated to develop the strength of the people. It should promote science and learning by direct encouragement, in addition to social provision of a privileged and unpaid class of educational workers, among (the Brähmanas) and such a rule as we find in a Cola inscription making the school-master's land tax-free. The drift of the recommendations generally is to expend freely in nation building activities after securing independence. But, the indications furnished by the specified proportions and of items of expenditure to revenue, are that expenditure in these directions from the central fise was not considerable. Still, it cannot be assumed that the contribution of the old Indian

ग्रीमस्य द्वादाशिन धामपान् संनियोजयेत । त्रिमिरोवेलं धार्य दानधर्माशकेन च ॥ अधीशेन प्रकृतयो द्वाघीशेनाधिकारिण: । अधीशेनात्ममोगञ्च कोशोंऽशेन स रक्ष्यते ॥ आयरसैंव धिंद्वमागेः व्ययं क्र्यांतु वत्सरे ॥ (श्रुक., १, ३१६-३१८).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  K. M. Gupta—Land Systems in South India, p. 303; Inscription of Rhjendracola II, (A.D. 1072.)

community for such activities is represented solely by the direct State expenditure on them. Works of public utility, as well as rural and urban police and sanitary work, fell upon municipal bodies and villages and were not paid for by the State. The incidence of tax exemptions, such as those made in favour of Brāhmanas, temples, monasteries etc., is on the community as a whole. Nevertheless, the omission to develop fully and clearly the obligation of the State to expend in such directions may explain the neglect of national development in epochs of weak rule, and the low vitality and economic strength displayed by India during much of its history.

In measuring the pressure of a financial system on members of the interest classes in the State, what they contribute indirectly in the upkeep of the social system, what they enjoy and what they suffer in the maintenance of privileges, have to be considered along with money payments made to the State. The Indian financier has a passion for precision, simplification, and detail. It accounts for such general injunctions as that salaries of all public servants should be paid promptly, that all State orders should be in writing, that the power and duties of the different departments should be available not only of land surveys and fiscal collections, but in regard to types of consumption and that paying for services by grants of land or in assignments of land revenue (Iggirs) I should never be allowed.

On the expenditure side, the biggest single item is that of defence, which absorbed in India half the gross collections according to S'ukra. That this should be so in Western Cameral countries is intelligible. But its presence in ancient

 $<sup>(1)</sup>_{i=0}$  is spanning  $(i=0)_{i=0}$  in a finite computer form taxes as a reward of solving a Solvin,  $p_i$  (4.3).

India, in spite of the existence of the Ksatriva class, may seem remarkable, but it is not. The Ksatrivas were a privileged class and not an endowed body. A Ksatriva public servant or soldier was paid like any other. In historical times the entry to the army was not restricted to members of this class. The Indian thinker who has advocated a living wage for the day labourer will not starve a soldier. The weak International Law of the time necessitated the maintenance, even in times of peace, of large armies such as we find specified in the Greek accounts and in Hiuen Tsiang's description of the military forces of the kingdoms he visited. The burden of armaments, accounts for the depression of the community as a whole, and for the many feeling appeals to avoid resort to war, to attain political ends as far as possible by diplomacy and to the pedantic elaboration of the theory of diplomacy in our literature.1

The normal income of the old Indian State has been placed by Kautilya under seven heads, roughly corresponding to the recognized seven elements of the State (saptānga).<sup>a</sup> The classification is arbitrary and is probably inherited from previous writers. Six out of the seven heads relate to income derived linearly or indirectly from land. The crown lands were extensive, and constituted an important source of the the king's income. In an old type of half-developed State, the ruler has to be the first farmer. A big demesne enables a king to live to a great extent, 'of his own.' The land tax, derived either as a fixed share of the produce or in money at its commutation value, the money taxes (ball), income from ferries and tolls and from cesses, royalties from the product of the produce of the produce of the state of the produce of the pro

<sup>1</sup> Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World, passim.

<sup>2</sup> Kautilīya, p. 60.

on gardens and fields cultivated under State embankments, minor forest income, and traffic dues, are some items of public revenue. Among non-tax items, comes the revenue of State factories, tribute from vassal kings (kara), judicial fines, escheat, treasure trove, lump-payments made by villages as a whole, payments for feeding the army on the march, ceremonial presents to the king etc. There are also license fees of various kinds and the revenue from brothels and State gaming houses. The common feature of all such revenue is the reliance placed on indirect taxation, in which there is more scope for variety in percentages between the several authorities, than on the direct tax from land. Unconspicuous sources of revenue and revenue collection are favoured both by democratic and by quasi-absolutist politics. Popular discontent caused by visible increase in old taxes and by new taxes is a potential danger to a Government in the former and to the Ruler in the latter.

A typical scholastic outlook is useful for illustration and a passage of Medhātithi furnishes it. "The treasury should abound in gold and silver in large quantities. The chief contents of the treasury should never be expended, never should payments to servants be delayed. The kingdom and country are one. They should be protected against encroachment and against natural calamities by the supervision of rivers, trees and cattle, and by the construction of protective works. The country should not be allowed to depend wholly on rain." In times of distress, special penalties and taxes shall be levied.

In regard to the State itself, in spite of the large military establishments, the Indian Cameralist envisaged it under civil

<sup>े</sup> नवीनकरग्रहकाये: लोक उद्विजते तत:, (ग्रुक, २, २७४).

control. The Marshal of the kingdom must have no place in the cabinet. The master of the sword is not to be the master of policy. There is to be no military dictatorship, even after usurpation by a successful general, and this is to be ensured by the power and the permanence of the fiscal laws and of the bureaucracy. Hence their elaboration in the treatises of Kautilya and S'ukra as in Western Cameral works. The cost of the civil establishment is fixed by Kautilya at a fourth of the aggregate income (Kautiliva, p. 245). In grading salaries. (Kautiliva, Bk. V. ch. 3) the ruling principles of Kautilva are that a public servant should receive enough pay to keep him efficient, healthy, contented, and zealous; and these principles are worked out by S'ukra to their natural corollaries in humane and sensible pension and leave rules, which will ensure to the State the services of a zealous and capable civil service.1

Emergencies are to be met in ways not involving the transgression of the rules fixing proportions for taxes. And the measures should be limited for use only in the emergency and should not be continued longer—a rule apparently ignored, to judge from the multiplicity of novel taxes met with again and again in the inscriptions. Forced loans, "free-will" gifts, the substitution of shorter for longer measures of land so as to increase the proportions of the yield to be collected, salary cuts, temporary appropriation or use of religious and charitable endowments, and the resources of wealthy and childless widows, contributions from corporate organizations and well-to-do subjects, and officers, are indicated, side by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sukra, II, 407-417; Anc. Fust. Econ. Trenga., pp. 119-112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., 1, 209-210.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid., V, 52-53.

<sup>4</sup> Somadeva, 21, 14,

side with increased excise and customs duties as well as income-taxes from merchants, actors, prostitutes and purveyors of public amusements.\(^1\) A legitimate device which is suggested is to induce cultivators to increase the area under crop and to grow summer crops, and tax the additional land income.\(^2\) The sale of honours\(^3\) and the confiscation of ecclesiastical property\(^4\) are questionable methods which will be justified by emergency and in periods of \( Brahmana\)-Bauddha rivalry.\(^3\) The State may even stoop to make money by exploiting the pious credulity of people and set up oracles and idols which pretend to work miracles and collect the offerings made to them by credulous folk.\(^5\) The sarcastic reference of Patafijali to the Mauryas having made an income by setting up idols, apparently refers to this \(\text{Questionable}\) expedient.\(^7\)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> समाद्र्नुपुरुषा: ग्रीब्मे कर्षकाणामुद्वापं कारयेयुः (Ibid., p. 240.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> सारतो वा हिरण्याङ्गान्याचेत । यथोपकारं वा स्ववशा वा यदुपहरेखुः स्थानछन्न-बेष्टनविभूषांचेषां हिरण्येन अयच्छेत् । (Ibid., p. 242)-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> देवतास्मक्षो हुर्गराष्ट्रदेवतानां यथास्वमेकस्थं कोशं कुर्यात् , तथैव चाहरेत् (Ibid., p. 242).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> But such actions are not to be done more than once, rules Kauṭilya (p. 24i)—सक्तदेव न हि: प्रयोज्य: ।

देशसंदर्भ सिद्धपुण्यस्थानमीपपादिकं वा रात्रासुरथाप्य यात्रासमाजाभ्यां आजीवेत् । वैस्योपवनवृक्षेण वा वेवताभिगमनार्तवपुण्पफल्युक्तेन ख्यापयेत् । मतुष्यकरं वा वृद्धे रक्षोभयं रूपयेत्वा सिद्धव्यक्षनाः पौरजानपदानां हिरण्येन प्रतिकुर्द्यः । (Ibid., p. 242).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> जीविकार्थे चायय्ये। (५-३-९९) 'अपण्यं' इलुज्यते। तन्नेदं न सिध्यति। श्रिवः स्कन्दः विशाख इति। किं कारणम्। भौर्येहिरण्यार्थिभरचाः प्रकल्पिताः भवेत्तास्र न स्यात्। यास्त्वेताः संप्रति पुजार्थास्तास्र भविष्यति। Mahabhāsya.

taxes on furs and skins, in which there was a brisk trade in the Mauryan kingdoms are other abnormal means alluded to by Patanjali as adopted by the Mauryan State, but they are not mentioned by Kautilya.

# POSTULATES OF PUBLIC FINANCE

Can any common principle be discerned in the financial practices of the old State as set forth in the inscriptions or recommended by our writers? We are under no necessity to infer them, as they are enunciated in precepts often concealed in quaint metaphors. The king should be as the wise-gardener, who gathers fruit only as they ripen (Kautiiya) and does not dig up trees by the roots. The implication of the rule is that income should be taxed when it accrues, and the subjects should not be destroyed by violent taxation. The king should imitate the cowherd, who refrains from milking the cow and drawing blood, who does not tear the udder, and who leaves enough milk in the udder to feed the calf and induce the cow by maternal affection to continue secreting milk. The implications are that taxation should not commandeer the entire

अ सन्देशनास्त व क्रीन्यायक क्ष्म ॥ (p. 244).

श्री वस्तीपम्येन दोग्यव्यं राष्ट्रमञ्जीणबुद्धिना ।
मती वस्ती जातवळः पीडां नहरीः पारत ॥
न कर्म कुरुते वस्तो मुखं दुश्यो प्रुचिष्ठिर ।
राष्ट्रमञ्जीवदुश्यं हि न कर्म कुरुते महत् ॥ (वान्तिपर्व, ८७, २०-२९)
वस्तायेक्षी दुहेचैव स्तनांथ न विषद्धयेत । (tota., ८८, ४).
ऊषहिन्छन्यात्त यो चेन्याः क्षीरार्थी न क्रोन्ययः॥

एवं राष्ट्रमयोगेन पीडितं न विवर्धते ॥ (Ibia. ७१. १६).

<sup>1</sup> पक्कं पक्कमिवारामात फलं राज्याच्यासारा ।

income of the subject, but must leave enough in his pockets to induce him to save, and that the methods of tax collection should be free from harshness. Like the bcc, the wise king will collect his revenue little by little from a thousand sources.1 Like the leech he should draw blood without pain, and bleed only the plethoric.3. Like the mouse, he should nibble at the extremities without detection, a suggestion that collection should be insensible and free from conspicuousness.3 The and indevolver collects flowers from many plants, after tending and watering the plants.4 The State should imitate the ascetic's drinking-pot (Kamandalu) which has a wide mouth and a narrow spout a - constant that the inlet for revenue should be wide and for expenditure narrow. The king should not be like the glutton, who stuffs himself to his own detriment and to that of others and consumes more than he needs. He should not be like the charcoal burner, who burns down a forest to get a handful of fuel-i.e., the king should not destroy his chances of great future advantage for a petty immediate gain.

Such figures may be multiplied. They are rules of taxes than of taxation and inculcate the wisdom of following the canons of convenience, certainty and economy. There is no canon of equality. Equality in the sense of equality of sacrifice is obviously difficult to secure in any tax system. But, in

<sup>&#</sup>x27; मधुदोहं दुहेबाहूं भ्रमरात्र प्रपातयेत् (Ibid., ८८, ४).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> जलौकवत्पिबेदाष्ट्रं मृदुनेव भराधियः । व्याम्रीव च हरेत्पुत्रान् संदशेश च पीडयेत् ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> यथा शस्यकवानाखुः पदं धूनयते सदा । अतीक्ष्णेनाभ्युपायेन तथा राष्ट्रं समापिवेत् ॥ (Ibid., ८८, ५-६).

<sup>4</sup> मालाकारोपमो राजन् भव माऽज्ञारिकोपम: ॥ (Ibid., ७१, २०).

ancient India it existed theoretically atleast, in the accepted social order, which it was the recognized duty of the State to maintain. The dependence on indirect rather than direct taxation indicates the desire for fiscal elasticity. The use of the fisc for othical purposes may be seen in the regulation of the liquor traffic, of gambling and of houses of ill-fanc. If an evil cannot be rooted out, its influence is to be restricted by regulation. The law of treasure-trove and mines is due not only to the belief in the State's rights to unclaimed wealth, but to tax potential or undeveloped resources. The financial aim is always the promotion of political and social stability. It is easy to give parallels to these Indian financial concepts from the Cameral literature of the West but it is hardly necessary now as identical results followed in both the West and the East from a common outlook.

# Causes of the Growth and Decay of Cameralism

It will be of interest to compare the causes which promoted or retarded the influence of Cameral thought in India and the West. A change in the political centre of gravity in the West, atleast in theory, in the epochs following the French Revolution, cut at the root of Cameral assumptions. Till the dawn of the socialist regime in recent years, the magnification of the State above the individual was unpopular. Modern Economics, claiming the Wealth of Nations as its source, found its way to the Continent and quickly replaced the older Cameral teaching by virtue of its greater modernity and its political liberalism. In India, the influence of sociological writers grew or waned with the rise or fall of stable governments. The impact of foreign invasion destroyed Indian kingdoms and the patrons of Hindu S'āstras. The terrible

suffering inflicted on the people by the harsh rule of barbarian conquerors, Scythian or Hun, developed again from an acute sense of misery, the passion for ascetic ideals, which were reflected in the favoured cults of the dynasties it the Bhārasiva and the Nāgas under whom the national revolts against the evil of foreign domination were raised. When settled order supervened, with the rise of the Imperial Guptas, and ascetic ideals gave place to the bases of material comfort and worldly ambition, the new political outlook diverged away from that of the earlier Arthasastra by substituting conquests by arms, like those of Samudragupta and Candragupta to those by negotiation. The wail of the Puranas, which can be heard even amidst the triumphant paeans of Gupta imperialism and poetry, show how at heart the exponent of the old ideals of Dharmasastra and Arthasastra fell out of sympathy with the new imperialism. Neither the old national administrative system nor the old schools of independent social thought had apparently survived the epochs of foreign tyranny. The persistence in the community of powerful elements disbelieving in the religio-social implications of the old writings and not sharing their pride in the Aryan tradition, contributed to the acceptance of secular motives in the place of the old mixed religious and secular aims. Thus, in spite of the service rendered to a society, which was constantly tending to break up, by keeping it together through ages of foreign attack and domination, Indian Cameral thought, which had exalted the State in order to save society, was permanently thrust into the background and was soon forgotten. When the renaissance of Indian studies in our own day revived an interest in our own literature, a misconception of the character and outlook of our old literature of Arthasastra led to its comprehensive

Cameral aims being overlooked, and narrowed to their political or economic elements and to the inadequate appreciation of its historical position and services.

We live in times in which the old faith in the virtue of individualism and democracy, and of a society placing mass production and machinery in the front rank of the means of economic regeneration and secular views as the indispensable conditions of the political and intellectual emancipation of man, have been shaken, and the ideas which were germinal in the last century are being subjected to criticism. The diseases of the modern State are attributed to features on which the prosperity of the nineteenth century was believed to have been based. Democracy has not effaced distinctions of class and property. If happiness be, as urged by Mr. Leonard Woolf, the aim of social arganization modern democracy has not realized it for every one. The survival of liberty depends upon the extension of education and upon discipline and spiritual training as educational purposes. The ideal of an efficient and glorious State, in which equality of opportunity for service is afforded to every one, and happiness means service, is claimed for such different institutions as a Soviet, exercising supreme control over all individual activities and of a Fascist Dictatorship. The ideal of the nineteenth century had been the production of more wealth. To secure wealth, countries were industrialized and the process of production mechanized. To maintain the colossal increase in production, a progression of wants was sought and stimulated. Today, in a crippled world, we recognize that the industrial plan of the nineteenth century resulted in a misfit. The interest of members of society as workers taking pride in their work has been subordinated to their interests as consumers. A stage has been reached beyond

which standards of consumption cannot be raised, and production has crashed. Modern society has created new health problems, the solution of which requires an intense feeling of social service. Communal action to solve modern problems is extending the sphere of State action. But, society is still imperfectly organized for social service. The substitution of the worship of humanity for religion has led to inhumanity It is urged that the sense of freedom and variety of opportunity which the members of a political society require can only be secured, when it is made independent of foreign help for the necessaries of life. Even those who condemn the beehive ideal of social organization are unable to suggest a better. Even secularists concede the survival value of religious institutions, and the religious sublimation of the animal instincts and appetites, which and accept has neither curbed nor controlled.1

In such times, the historical interpretation of old systems of social thought, such as may result from a comparative study with their analogues, may help us to realize how social institutions have to be adjusted to the needs of the times, and how systems of thought have to be interpreted in connection with their peculiar purposes. The old Indian literature, to which by analogy the Cameral designation has been suggested may still be of value. Let us consider its skilful adaptation of means to ends, its logical deduction of rules of conduct from its socio-religious hypothesis, and its attempt to combine ethical, political, and economic purposes in individual and social action. The attempt to view their teachings in their natural perspective and to interpret them in relation to our times as well as theirs might help in the dawn of the brighter day for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Lord Eustace Percy, Government in Transition, 1934, ch. IX and X.

which we all wait and hope, to suggest ideas and plans for pursuing social study and action in interdependence rather than isolation, and to bring about a synthesis of effort which will correspond with the unity of the human mind, outlook and history. In the attempt to achieve such ends, studies like those we have attempted may have their use.

# INDEX

A

ABHYANKAR, 133 Adam Smith, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 15; Sociology called Jurisprudence by, 15; 16, 54, 55, 67, 88, 125, 128, 148 Agganna Suttanta, 95 Aitareya Brāhmana, on dharma, 31, 95 Alexander, invasion of, 22 Ambhiyah, 37 Amara, 25 Anarchy, Horror of, 96 Ancient India, 20 Ancient Indian Pol : 20, 23, 119, 132 Ancient Indian Economic Thought, 31, 35, 68, 72, 79, 95, 97, 128, 130, 131, 132. 134, 136, 139, 142, 143, 144, 145, 149, 151, 152, 158, 161, 168 Ancient Law, 48 Ancient Sanskrit Literature, 30 Annual Report For Epigraphy, Madras (1920-1), 81 Aparārka, 68, 120 Apastamba, 30, 33, 50, 74, 77, 140 Apostate, Reconversion of, 52 Army, 115 Artha, ascetic trend of, 132; as

of 34; method of works in 47; separate study of works in 50, scope of 131; Arthas'āstra—alleged absence of philosophic or religious background in, 7; comparative study with dharmas astra, 17; and need for, 18,; as part of Itihāsa, 29; as an appendage to Atharva Veda, 29; classified as sixth veda by Laksmidhara-30; 32; 35; definition by S'ukra, 36; equated with Niti, 36-7; 40, 41, 42, 43, 44; liberal views of, 46; nonbrahman origin of, 49; 50; cause of influence of, 51; adaptability of, 52; a closed science, 53; 61, 62, 67, 72, 73, 74, 77, 81, 84, 85; genesis of, 90; 92, 93, 94, 96, 100, 102, 104, 105, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123 124, 125, 127, 130, 132, 133, 135, 136, 138, 140, 142, 144, 145, 146, 150, 160, 169 Arthasūtra of Brhaspati, 37 Aryadeva, 100

Astrology, addiction to, con-

demned, 40

Ashley, 48

Asahāya, 109, 110

Artha and Dharma, affinities of 32; rivalry of 33; secularism

part of Trivarga, 31

Asoka, 107, 108 Atharvaveda, 29, 30 Audit, 118 Ausanasāh, 37

В

BASA, 78 Bannerjee, Guroodoss, 73 Bürhasbatyāh, 37 Barner, 148 Baudhāyana, 33, 50, 70, 77, 140 Beal, 166 Becher, on kingship, 9 Bengal Economic Journal, 5 Bhagawan Das, 31 Bhagavadgītā, 71 Bharadvāja, 23, 26 Bhāradvāja, (Dronācarya), 37 Bhārasivas, 91 Bhattasvāmin, 160 Bhavişyapurāna, 28 Bhela, 20 Bhīsma, (see also Kaunapdanta), Bhrgu, 27, 28 Blunstschli, denied originality to cameralism, 1 Bodin, on Money, 15, 61 Brahmacarya, 58, 61-65; effect of, 63 Bribes, 117 Brāhmaņa, precedence in audience to, 34; king to respect immunity from taxation of, 43; compelling interdicted food to a, an offence, 44; longer duration of schooling for, 66; 76; his property does not escheat to king, 76; exempt from taxes, 76; low rate of interest for, 76; disabilities of, 76; praise of, 78; poverty of, 79; undue tender-

ness of criminal law to-

denied, 79; slaying a Brāhmaṇa in self-defence, 80 Bṛhaṣṇati, 26, 27, 28, 49, 50, 51, 82, 91, 106, 112, 124 Buddha, 66, 82 Buddhism in Transition, 58, 82 Buddhist Records of the Western World, 166 Burke, E., 86

С

CALVIN, 61, 79 Cameralism, neglect of, I; historical, 2; recent revival of interest in 2; Indian ambigues to, 6: San I's conduct in or 7; Cannan's, 8; theory of both Economics and Politics, 12: and modern state, 15; and monarchy, 8, 10; 11, 12; two phases of 13; national services of 13, 19; and mercantalism, 14; attitude to absolutism, 12; fertility of Indian, 22; composite nature of, 23; literature of, 25; traditional origin of, 26; significance of, 28; comparison of western and eastern, 54; cameral source give dulish ton of, 37: hower hard he is the 87, 127; sociological aspect of Indian, 16; value of comparative study of, 17; practical nature of Indian, 21; political theory of German cameralists, 87; cameral aims of state, 89; comparison with Arthas'āstra, 90

Cameral Thought, widespread Influence of, 4 Cameralists The, 1, 3

Candragupta, 91, 166

Cannan, Dr., 3; view of cameralism, 8; 16, 156 Capital, 148; see Hoarding Candes'vara, 49, 53, 103 Caraka, 20 Caranavyuha, 29 Cārāyaņa, 23 Caste and office, 81 Catus's'atītīkā, 100 Chakladhar, H. C., 72, 79 Ciar Upanişad, 31 Citizenship, 126 Corruption, 117 Corporate organizations, 122 Courts, common law and cannon law, 39 Coyagee, Sir J. C., 5 Crime Its Causes and Remedies, 69 Cunningham, 48

#### D

Danda, 94 Dandanīti, definition of, 25; 35, 36 Dandin, 118 Darjes, 9, 120 Dasakumāracarita, 118 Dāyabhāga, 141 Debts, 69, 70; repayment of, 121 Devas, C. S., 130 Devalasmṛti, 52 Dhāres'vara, 49 Dharmas'āstra--artha content of, 50 Dharmas'āstra, and Arthas'āstra, method of, 47 Dharmasthiya, 59, 111 Dharma Vijaya, 93 Dialogues of the Buddha, 20 Dictionary of Political Econo-112y, 79 Dighanikāya, 95 Diplomacy, 116

Distribution, 151 Divorce, 40, 42, 67 Drona, 33, 51

#### Ε

Economic History, 4
Economic History of Frederick
the Great, 2
Encylopaedia of Social Sciences, Vol. III, 3
Economics and Sociology, 16
Fibritum, and to be killed, 124
Efficies, 89
Length Grella, Duke, 9
Escheat, proporty of Brähmanas
does not escheat to King, 74;
97

#### F

Exchange, 149

Exchequer, 118

FAMILY and individual, 72; as an economic unit, 140: see Joint fauily Fietcher, Prof., †
Founders of Political Economy, 4
Frederick the Great, 9
Fuebrer, A.A., 78

#### G

GARHASTHVA, 58, 60, 67 Gautama, 33, 50, 80, 70, 96, 120, 122, 140, 142, 143, 152 Ghoţamukha, 23, 37 Goviendacandra, king, 30 Government in Transition, 175 Grotius, 2; on freedom, 88 Group organizations, 122 Guilds, 192 Gupta, K.M., 164 H

HANEY, L.H., 8 Hārīta, 39, 49 Harsacarita, 78 Harsavardhana, 78 Henry IV, 160 Heretics, tolerance of 34; precedence in audience to, 34; not an example to follow, 35; land owned by, 43; treatment of a heretic mother, 74; 124 Heresy, attitude to, 62 Hindu Law and Custom, 138 Hindu Political Theories, 95 Hindu Political Thought, 99 Hindu Polity, II, 95 Hindu Sociology, 45 Historical Enquiry into National Economy, 1 History of Dharmas astra, II, 52 History of Economic Thought, 8 History of Hindu Law, 138 History of National Economy in Germany, 1 Hoarding, 152 Hofkammer, 8

Ι

ILBERT, 4 Innsbruck, 8 interest, 76, 122

J

Jansen, 87 Jayaswal, K.P., 30, 52, 66, 69, 78, 91, 95, 107 Jimutavähana, 141 Joint family, as automatic poor relief, 141 Jolly, J., 105, 109, 138 Justi, 9; on the value of religion, 10; 11, 54, 55, 56, 65, 88, 162, 165

K Kamandaka, 22, 37, 56, 96; on kingship, 98 Kāmandakīya, 116, 117 Kä:::sī:tra, 23, 28, 32, 72, 74, 79, 142 Kane, P.V., 52, 111 Kantakas'odhana, 39, 111 Kātyāyana, 37 Kätyäyanasmytisärodihara. Kaupapadanta, 37 Kausikasūtra, 30 Kaujilīya-effects of the discovery of, 5; 121, 127, 132, 134, 136, 147, 161, 166 Kautilya, condemns a fatalist king, 11; 17, 20, 21, 22, 23; on Vidyas, 29; on trivarga, 32; 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, . 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 62, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 73, 75, 77, 80, 84, 90, 91, 92, 97, 101, 102, 104, 106, 110, 111, 112; on the carenally of the Commit 115, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 132, 140, 145, 146, 149, 160, 170 Kautz, 1 Kā japartsa, 29

king, as the maker of the age, 45, glorification of, 96; checks on absolutism of, 99; in Council, 112; anarchy decried, 96; power to make new law, 103; income from demessie, 166

Kiñjalka, 37 Kppa, 33 K*rtyakalpataru*, 30 Kṣatriya, position of, 81,-83 Kṣirasvāmi, 25 L

Labour, 145 arthas'āstra as Laksmidhara, sixth veda, 30 Lalor, J. G., 1 Law, civil, 119; criminal, 123 Law Commissions, 109 Law and Custom of Hindoo Castes, 110 ' Law of Nations, 55 Law, power to make, 103; scrappiness of, in smrtis, 110; civil, 119; criminal, 123 Learning, gains of, 120 Lectures on Justice, Police, Revenue and Arms, 3, 6, 15, Lewinski, 4 Liberty and citizenship, 126, 127 Limitation, law of, 121 Lokāyata, 40, 41, 45 Lombroso, 69

M

Luther, 61

MACHIAVELLI, 40, 54 Mahābharata, 29, 30, 41, 82, 100, 126; sabhāparva, 1+3; s'alyaparva, 77; s'āntiparva, 25, 26, 27, 32, 93, 94, 96, 100, 101, 119, 126, 133, 156, 170, 171 Mahābhāsya, 169 Mahāvīra, 82 Maine, Sir Henry, 4, 6; denies historicity to smrtis, 48 Majority, age for, 146 Malthus, 65 Mānavāh, 37 Mandalik, V.N., 28 Mantrayuddha, 117 Manu and Yājnavalkya, 52, 66, 69, 104

Manu-Prācetasa, 26 Manusmṛti, origin of 27; 30; on trivarga, 32; 33, 40, 49, 50, 52, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80; 91, 97, 98, 99, 101. 104, 107, 111, 112, 114, 117, 120, 121, 123, 138, 140, 142, . **143,** 146, 147, 148, 149 Manu Svāyambhuva, 27, 28 Marchet, upheld cameralism as a study of Politics and administration, 3 Markapanya, 17 Liarriage, actionie co, 65; life in, 67; a sacrament, 40; 69; and stridhana, 73 Maria Theresa, 9 Marshall, Dr., 139  $Matagaha \cdot \overline{a}$  g.r. 32 Mercyan Felley, 39, 93, 117, 119 Maxmillian, 8 Maxmuller, 30, 133 Medhātithi, 25; on classification of dharma, 31; 42, 107, 108; on booty in war, 116, 145, 167 Mercantalism, absent in India, 131; identified with cameralism, 2, 131 (دا S., ۱۵۶۰ ز ۱۲۵۶۰ III. Sara, 25, 50, 68, 74, 81, 107, 141 Monopoly, 132 Montesquieu, 2, 4, 62 Mortmain, 80

N

NANDI, 28 Nārada—see also Pisuna, 42 Nāradasmṛti, 27, 28, 49, 50, 98, 104, 105, 111, 134, 144, 148 Natural History, 132 Nielson, 3
Niyoga, condemned by Manu, 68
Nthisāra, 22, 37, 56
Nitisāstra, alleged absence of philosophic and religious background of, 7; 25
Niticālyālarie 55, 82, 97, 98, 100, 114, 137, 143

О

OBRECHT, 11, 19 Official Secrecy, 117 Old Testament, 9 Ordeals, 42 Osse, 8

P

PALGRAVE, 79 Pārāsarāḥ, 37 Paräsarasmṛti, 37; for the kali age, 52, 92 Paras'aras (astronomers), 23 Parāsara Mādhavīya, 108 Parisads, 109 Patañjali, 23, 168 Paternalism, 157 Payne, 86 People, importance of, 102 Percy, Lord Eustace, 175 Periplus of the Erythrean Sea, Pis'una, 37 Pivz...z. 1, 45 Pfan, 132 Felyment, 71 Post, ir Population, 65, 69, 70 Poverty, a curse, 133 Pratāparudra Gajapati, 49 Profits, 152 Property, limitation of, 139, 180: self-acquired, 141; as theft, 142

Public Finance, 154
Punjab Customary Law, 110
Purohita, as judge, 38; not one
of the seven Prakṛtis, 39; as
a minister, 41
Puṣyamitra, 78

R

Rajanttiratnākara, 30, 53, 103

Raghuvainsa, 30, 82 Rājanīti, 25

Rājas'ekhara, on kāvyapuruşa, Rājataranginī, 80, 102, 161, Rājendra Cola, 164 Ramachandra Dikshitar, V. R., 39, 93, 117, 119 Ramāyaņa, Ayodhyākānda, 96 Ranke, merged Cameralism with Economics, 1 Ro, -...., 20 Reflections on the French Revolution, 86 Reformation, 8, 19 Rent, 152 Review of Economic Theory, 8 Rock Edicts, 107 Rohr, 120 Roscher, stressed economic aspect of Can . ". " . " , 1, 2 

S

SALVAGE, 151
Sarkar, B.K., 45
Survadarsanasamgraha, 133
Saunaka, 29
Schmoller, 2
Schoff, 132
Schroder, 9, 120
Science of Social Organization, 31
Seckendorff, 6, 8, 9

Secret service, 119 Sen, A.K., 99 Shama Sastri, 105, 119 Syr. 130, 130 Six Systems of Indian Philosophy, 133 Slavery, treatment of slaves, 47; 120, 139 Small, A.W., 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 19, 88, 89, 120, 158, 162, 165 Smrticer \*\* Lat. 48, 108 Social Community Social i. . . . . . . . India, 72, 79 Social Postulates, 86 Social Sciences, unity and interdependence of (Indian), 129 Sociology, Indian literature in, 16 Somadeva, treatise of, mainly ethical 49; 56, 82, 99, 100; sword not to control policy, 114; 124, 126, 143, 161, 163, 168 Sommer, Louise, 3 Sonnenfels, on religion, 10 Sorel, + Spann, Othmar, 2 Spirit of Laws, 4, 62 State, Catheral aims of 89; Indian theory of origin of, 93, 136; province of 154; aim of Indian, 154; also see Anarchy Steele, 110 Stridhana, 73, 138, 151 S'ūdra, masquerading as a Brāhmaņa, punished, 43; position of, 83-85 S'uicide, to be punished, 43 Sukrai.iti, 21, 26, 27, 36, 37; secularism of, 44: 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 54, 53, 74, 81, 82, 33, 85, 95, 96, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 104, 108, 111, 116, 119, 125, 134, 140, 1+1, 142, 143, 148, 150, 152, 153, 156, 158, 159, 161, 162, 164, 167, 168

Sully, 120 Sumati Bhārgava, 27 Sus'ruta, 20

#### Т

Taittarīyasamhitā, 59
Taxes—exemption from, 97, 164, 165; paid in money, 148
Theory of Moral Sentiments, 6
Thirty Years War, 8, 87
Todar Mal, 49
Trade, foreign, 150
Triple Debt, doctrine of, 59
Trivarga, concept of, 31, 37, 47
Tupper, Sir Charles, 110
Types of Economic Theory, 2

## U

UDDHAVA, see Vätavyädhi 51, Usury, 147 Utthāna, 41

#### ۲7

VAIS'YA, 83

Vākāţakas, 91
Varņāsaramadharma, to be maintained, 44; ordained divinely, 58-61; 75, 136
Vārtā, 29, 34, 35, 36
Vasistha (Atharvanidhi), 30
Vasisthasmṛti, 50, 70, 76, 91, 120, 141
Vātaypādhi, 37
Vātsyāyana, 28, 72, 79, 83
Vienna, 8
Viigāṣu, 93
Vijīāapsvara, 50

Vistories, 37 Vistoriem, 50, 78, 91, 148 Vistoriem, 53 Vistoriem, 68 Vistoriem, 68 Vyasa, 120

W.

WAGES, low deprecated by Sukra, 153 Watren, F.A., 130 Warren, Fi.C., 58, 82 Wealth of Nations, 2, 3, 15, 16, 55, 130, 172

Women, treatment of, 46; position of, 73; free women, 69 Woolf, Leonard, 174

Y

Yāfītavalkyasmṛti, 25, 33, 68, 74, 75, 77, 79, 91, 97, 101, 103, 111, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 138, 140, 142, 143, 154
Yogi—Yājñavalkya, 60

Z

ZIELENZIGER, 3 Zincke, 9, 120

# PUBLICATIONS OF THE ADYAR LIBRARY

# (The Theosophical Society, Adyar, Madras, 20, India)

A،	Rs.		
o	2	A PRELIMINARY LIST OF THE SANSKRT AND PRĀKRT MSS. in the Adyar Library. (Saṃskṛt Devanāgari) Boards 1-8 Cloth	19101.
U	4		1012 4
		A DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE OF THE SAMSKAT MSS, in the	1912-2.
		Adyar Library. By F. O. Schrader, Vol. I. Upanisada	
0	5	Cloth	
		THE MINOR UPANIŞADS (Samskrt) critically edited for the	3,
		Adyar Library. By F. O. Schrader, Vol. I Sarmylesa	
0	10	Cloch	
		AHIRBUDHNYA-SAMHITÄ OF THE PÄÑCARÄTRA ÄGAMA	1916-4.
٥	10	(Samskrt), 2 Vols. Cloth	
•		INTRODUCTION (English) TO THE PANCARATRA AND THE	5.
0	3	AHIRBUDHNYA SAMHITÄ. By F. O. Schrader. Cloth	٥,
Ų	3	•	
		YOGA UPANIŞADS-20-with the Commentary of S'ri	19206.
		Upanişad Brahma Yogin. Edited by Pandit A. Mahadeva	
0	5	Sastri	
		Sāmānya Vedānta Upaniṣads-24-with the Com-	1921-7.
		mentary of S'ri Upanişad Brahma Yogin. Edited by	
0	5	Pandit A. Mahadeva Sastri	
		VAIŞNAVA UPANIŞADS-14-with the Commentary of S'ri	1923-8.
		Upanişad Brahma Yogin. Edited by Pandit A. Mahadeva	
٥	4	Sastri	
		S'AIVA UPANISADS-15-with the Commentary of S'ri	1925-9
		Upanisad Brahma Yogin. Edited by Pandit A. Mahadeva	
٥	2	Sastri	
٠	,	S'AKTA UPANISADS-8with the Commentary of S'ri	10
		Upanisad Brahma Yogin, Edited by Pandit A. Mahadeva	10.
8	2	Sastri	
	_		1926-11
12	3	(Revised). 2 Vols. Each	
			<b>1929</b> —12.
		Upanişad Brahma Yogin. Edited by T. R. Chintamani,	
٠0	4	and the Papdits of the Adyar Library	
			13.
		Edited by the Pandits of the Adyar Library and T. R.	
0	2	Chintamani	
		. UNPUBLISHED MINOR UPANIBADS with the Commentary	1933-14
		of S'ri Upanisad Brahma Yogin. Edited by the Pandits	
0	5	of the Adyar Library	

13

<sup>\*</sup> Published under the auspices of the Adyar Library Association.

		Rs.	A.
29.	VYAVAHĀRANIRŅAYA OF VARADARĀJA—Edited by Rao Bahadur K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar and A. N. Krishna Aiyangar		0
30.	SAMGITARATNĀKARA—With the Commentaries of Catura Kallinātha and Simhabhūpāla. Edited by Paņdit S. Subrahmanya Sastri. Vol. I. (Adhyāya 1)	,	0
<b>1942</b> —31.	CATALOGUE OF THE ADYAR LIBRARY, Western Section, part 1	5	
32.			
33.	Some Concepts of Alankāra Sastra by V. Raghavan, University of Madras	4	0
34.	VEDĀNTAPARIBHĀSĀ—with English translation and Notes by Prof. S. S. Suryanarayana Sastri	2	12
35.	A DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE of the Sanskiri Mannerius in the Adyar Library by K. Madhava Krishna Sarma. Vol. I—Vedic	- 15	0
36.	S'rı Pancaratra Rakşa of S'rı Vedanta Des'ıka—Edited by Vaidyaratna Pandit M. Duraiswami Aiyangar and Vedanta Siromani T. Venugopalacharya	4	•
37.	Vedānta Siromaņi T. Venugopalacharya  CATALOGUE OF THE ADYAR LIBRARY, Western Section, part 2	6	8
38.	CATURDAS ALAKŞANÎ OF GADÂDHARA with three commen- taries—Edited by Pandit N. Santanam Aiyar. Vol. I	4	8
L943—39.	PHILOSOPHY OF VIS'IŞTĀDVAITA by Prof. P. N. Sriniva- sachari	10	0
40.	VĀDĀVALĪ OF JAYĀTĪRTHA with English translation and Notes by P., Nagaraja Rao	4	0
41.	Spinozian Wisdom or Natural Religion by James Arther	2	12
42.	USÄYIRUDDRA of Rämapāņivāda, Edited by Paņdit S. Sabrahmanya Sasiri and Prof. C. Kunhan Raja:	3	8
L944-43.	SAMGITARATNĀKARA—With the Commentaries of Catura Kallinātha and Simhabhūpāla. Edited by Paņdit S. Subrahmanya Sastri. Vol. II. (Adiyāyas 2—4)	9	0
44.	Asyalāvanagunvasūtra—With the Commentaries of Devasvāmin and Nārāyaṇa. Edited by Svami Ravi Tirtha. Vol. I (Adhyāya 1)	6	4
45.	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF SANSKRIT MANUSCRIPTS in the Adyar Library	10	0
46.	CATALOGUE OF THE ADYAR LIBRARY, Western Section, Part 3	7	0

		Rs.	Á.
47.	ATMAN IN PRE-UPANISADIC VEDIC LITERATURE by H. G. Narahari	8	0
1945-48.	RĀGAVIEODHA OF SOMANĀTHA with his own commentary Viveka. Edited by Paṇḍit E. Subrahmanya Sastri	6	0
49.	ACYUTARĀYĀBHYUDAYA OF RĀJANĀTHA DIŅDIMA—Sargas 7 to 12. Edited by A. N. Krishna Aiyangar	3	8
50.	ANCIENT WISDOM OF WALES by D. Jeffrey Williams	1	4
51.	SAMGITARATNĀKARA OF S'ĀRNGADEVAVol. I. Chapter 1. English Translation by Prof. C. Kunhan Raja.		
52.	Cloth Rs. 4-8. Boards VAISNAVA UPANISADS. Translated into English by T. R.	4	0
	Srinivasa Aiyangar and G. Srinivasa Murti	10	0
1946—53.	NYĀVAKUSUMĀÑJALI of Ulkņa terujus Titus tak titik English by Svami Ravi Tirtha. (Vol. I – Books i and ii)	4	0
54.	PRĀKŖTAPRAKĀSA OF VARARUCI with the Commentary of Pharmonaphina. Librer, 4, Grof. C. Kunhan Raja		
. 55.	ned Paris K. Russ chan in Spring SPHOTAVĀDA OF NĀGES'A—Edited by Pandit V. Krishna-	4	4
33.	macharya with his own Commentary	3	12
194756.	THE CRADLE OF INDIAN HISTORY by C. R. Krishnamacharlu	3	
57.	UNMATTARĀGHAVA OF VIRŪPĀKŅA—Edited by Paņdit V. Krishnamacharya	1	12
58.	ORIGIN AND SPREAD OF THE TAMILS (Sankara-Parvati Lectures, 1940, University of Madras) by V. R. Rama- chandra Dikshitar	3	8
59.	JIVĀNANDANAM OF ĀNANDARĀYAMAKHIN. Edited by Vaidyaratna Pandit M. Duraiswami Aiyangar with his own Commentary	20	0
60.	A DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE of Sanskrit MSS. in the Adyar Library, by Pandit V. Krishnamacharya, Vol.		Ū
61.	VI-Grammar, Prosody and Lexicography RGVEDAVYĀKHYĀ of Mādhava, Vol. II, edited by Prof. C.	25	0
62.	Kunhan Raja DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE OF PALI MSS, in the Advar	15	. 0
	Library, by Dr. E. W. Adikaram	6	0
<b>1948</b> —63.	HARICARITA OF PARAMES'VARA—Edited by Pandit V. Krishnamacharya with his own Commentary	5	0
64.	GAUTAMA-DHARMASÜTRA-PARIS'ISTA (Second Pras'na)— Edited by A. N. Krishna Aivangar	9	0
65.	SAMKALPASCRYODAYA OF SRI VERKATANĀTHA with the Commentaries Prabhāvilāsa and Prabhāvāli. Edited by	9	·
	Papdit V. Krishnamacharya Part I	15	0
	Part II	15	ō

i	•	Rs	. А	
	Paprint of No. 12. Ventrus vin 1988 and Ventrus rando- 2000 de la companya Samuel Valenda de			
ĻĢ	English translation, Etc.).  3-66. INDIAN CAMERALISM (A Survey of some aspects of Artha-	5	(	)
•	s'āstra) by K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar	7	C	)
	PAMPHLETS			
	1939			
	A VARIANT VERSION OF THE ERÄGNIKÄNDA. (Reprinted from the Adjur Library Saulotin, October, 1939). Edited by K. Magliava Krishta Sarma.	0	3	ı
	1940			
	Rājamagānka of Borne (Roman and Alberta Krister) Bulletin, October, 1 Ud and M. M. J. Lova Krister and A.	0	4	ŧ
	1942			
	Fig. 5. The Law Strate Law of the Francis Grant of the Control of	0	5	
	PRAMĀŅAMAÑJARI OF SARVADEVA. (Repeinted from the Adyar Library Bulletin, May, 1942). Edited by K. Macheva Krishna Sarma			
	All the state of t	Ü	٠	,
	Contact of the Contac	1	C	)
	THE PATH OF GREATNESS. (Reprinted from the Adyar Library Bulletin, December, 1942), by Dr. G. S. Arundale	0	6	j
	1943			
	VISESAMRTA of Tryambaka Varia Varia and Ilexicon. Edited by H. G. Narahari. H. A. Varia varia Library Bulletin, May, 1943)	0,	12	;
	A SANSKRIT LETTER OF MOHAMAD DARA SHUKOH with English Translation and Notes by Prof. C. Kunhan Raja. (Reprinted from the Adyar Library Bulletin, October, 1940, May and			
	October, 1943)	0	12	
	DHARMACAURYARASĀYANA—Edited by H. G. Narabari. (Reprinted from the Adyar Library Bulletin, October and December, 1946)	1	12	:
	1948		_	
	SAUSAR PAGRANTINIVIGHATANA-by Prof. C. Kunhin Raja	0	8	
	CANDYAVARYAS OF VARARUCI-Edited of Prof. C. Kanhan Raja with Appendices	2	0	i
	(MARATA PASTRA-SANGRATANA (Indian Constitution in Sanskrit varios)—a sample—by Prof. C. Kun'ar Raja	1	8	
	LANGUAGE PROBLEM IN INDIA - (Reprint from the Adyar Library Bulletin, Vol. XII, Part 4, December 19-8.).	1	ò	
	SANSKRIT IN INDIA—by G. Srinivasa Marti. (Reprint from the Adyar Library Bulletin, Vol. XII, Par. 3, October, 1948)	1	0	
	INFLUENCE OF INDIAN THOUGHT ON UNEXE LITERATURE— by Prof. Louis Renon. (Reprint from the Adyar Library Bulletin, Vol. XII and XIII)	0	12	!

#### 1040

THE FUTURE ROLE OF SANSKRIT (Sanskrit Langua, e) -- \(\delta\_3\);
C. Kunhan Raja. (Reprint from the Adyar Library Bulletin,
Vol. XIII. Part 1. February. 1949)

## IN THE PRESS

TIRUKKURAL—Translated by V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar, Professor of Indian History and Archaeology, University of Magazi

CATURDAS'ALAKSANI OF GADADHARA with three commentaries. Vol. II by Pandit N. Santanam Aivar.

APASTAMBASMETI-Edited by A. N. Krishra Aivinger.

Samgitaratnākara—With the Commentaries of Catura Kallinātha and Simhabhūpāla. Edited by Pangit S. Subrahmanya Sastri, Vol III.

HORĀSĀSTRA—with the commentary Apūrvārthapradars'ikā by A. N. Srinivasaraghava Aiyangar.

Sälistambhasutra—Restored from Tibetan and Chinese Sources—by Pandit N. Aiyaswami Sastri.

SAMGITARATNĀKARA, English Translation—Vol. II, by Prof. C. Kunhan Raja, A DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE of Sanskrit MSS. in the Adyar Library, by H. G. Narahari, Vol. V—Poetry. Drama and Poetics.

ARISTOTLE'S KATHARSIS AND BHARATA'S STHĀYIBHĀVAS—by Prof. C. Kunhan Raja.

LANGUAGE-BARRIER OR BRIDGE-by A. H. Peterson.

### READY FOR THE PRESS

ASVALĀVANAGRHYASŪTRA with the Commentaries of Devasvāmin and Nārāyaṇa, Vol. II. Edited by Svami Ravi Tirtha.

NYĀYAKUSUMĀÑJALI OF UDAYANA with the Commentary of Varadarāja. Edited by Prof. C. Kunhan Raja.

CATURDAS'ALARSANI OF GADADHARA—with Commentaries—Edited by Pandit N. Santanam Aiyar, Vol. III.

PAKSATÄ OF GADÄDHARA—with four commentaries Edited by Pandit N. Santanam Aiyar.

SIDDHĀNTALAKSANAM OF GADĀDHARA with commentaries. Edited by Pandit N. Santanam Aiyar.

Sāmānyanirukti of Gadādhara with commentaries. Edited by Paṇḍit N. Santanam Aiyar.

VYUTPATTIVĀDA OF GADĀDHARA with commentaries. Edited by Paṇḍit N. Santanam Aiyar.

Saiva and Sakta Upanisaps—Translated into English by T. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar and G. Srinivasa Murti.

TEN MAJOR UPANISADS—English Translation by T. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar and G. Srinivasa Murti.

Agents for our fublications?

# THE THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE Adyar, Madras, 20.

